Influence of Weather Factors on the Incidence of Yellow Vein Mosaic Disease of Bhendi

ve addition of all the three nutrients is not

S, CHELLIAH¹, SELLAMMAL MURUGESAN² and M. MURUGESAN³

uprost snaw yield was repelled in no harman and deficient nutrients may be preferred namere soil (Tr 22) added with threTDARTSBA

Fortnightly sowing of bhendi and record of incidence of Yellow Vein Mosaic disease and the vector, *Bemisia tabaci* Genn., were carried out for a period of two years. Using important weather factors, simple correlations and multiple regression analysis were worked out to identify the factors in predicting the disease in 30, 45 and 60 days old crop. Simple correlation studies revealed negative association between disease incidence and relative humidity in all the three periods of crop growth and positive association between maximum, minimum temperature and the disease incidence in 45 and 60 days old crop. Multiple regression analysis led to the conclusion that in 30 days old crop, increase of white fly population by one number brought about 18.5 per cent of the disease, while 1 per cent decrease in relative humidity increased the disease incidence by 1.2 per cent. In 45 days old crop, minimum temperature alone exerted positive influence on disease incidence. During 45-60 days of crop age, increase in minimum temperature by 1°C resulted in 6.3 per cent increase of the disease.

INTRODUCTION

Bhendi (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench) is infected by a few diseases among which, yellow vein mosaic caused by the virus is the most important. Chelliah and Sellammal Murugesan (1975 a) reported that infection by this virus in 30 days old bhendi crop resulted in 88 per cent loss in yield. Studies on the seasonal incidence of this disease revealed that the disease occurred in a more extensive scale in crop sown from March to May, at Coimbatore (Chelliah and Sellammal Murugesan, (1975 b). To investigate further on the possible reasons for the increased incidence of the disease during summer months, a study was carried out at Agricultural College and Research Institute Coimbatore in which the relationship between the incidence of the disease and certain important weather factors viz., maximum temperature, minimum temperature, relative humidity, rainfall and number of rainy days was assessed and the results presented in this paper.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was laid out in a randomised block design with 48 treatments viz,, fortnightly sowings for two years from the first fortnight of July, 1972 to second fortnight of June, 1974, replicated thrice. Bhendi variety, H15 was sown on 1st and 16th of every month. Observations on the percentage of diseased plants in

Assistant Professors (Entomology), and 3. Assistant Professor (Agricultural Statistics), Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 641003.

experimental plots were recorded 30, 45 and 60 days after sowing. The white fly (Bemisia tabaci G.) vector population in three leaves selected at random at top, middle and bottom regions of the plants was recorded from ten randomly selected plants in each replication at 10 days interval from 10th to 40th day of sowing.

Data on mean maximum temperature (°C) minimum temperature (°C), relative humidity (%) and total rainfall (mm) prevailing one week prior to each white fly population recording were collected to study the association of these factors individually with the white fly population. The mean incubation period of the virus in the plant under field conditions was observed to be 15 days. The weather influenced by the above four factors, prior to 15 days of the disease recording was considered to study the relationship between these factors individually with the disease incidence. Multiple regression analysis

X2 = Mean maximum temperature (°C)

X3 = Mean minmum temperature (°C)

X4 = Mean relative humidity (%)

X5 = Total rainfall (mm)

X6 = Total number of rainy days

DAS — Days after sowing

carried out to find out the important factors that are useful in predicting the yellow vein mosaic incidence in bhendi in 30, 45 and 60 days old crop. The following variables have been used in the regression analysis.

Y1 = Yellow vein mosaic disease per cent (30 DAS)

Y2 = Yellow vein mosaic disease per cent (45 DAS)

Y3 = Yellow vein mosaic disease per cent (60 DAS)

X1 = Mean white fly population (per plant)

For 30 DAS - Mean of 1st and 2nd count (10 DAS and 20 DAS)

For 45 DAS – Mean of 2nd and 3rd count (20 DAS and 30 DAS)

For 60 DAS – Mean of 3rd and 4th count (30 DAS and 40 DAS)

Prevalent 15 days earlier to the disease recording

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The population of the white fly and the percentage of incidence of yellow vein mosaic at different crop growth stages are persented in Table 1. The results of simple correlation studies of disease with weather factors

and white fly population are presented in Table 2. The results revealed that there was a significant negative correlation (-0.342) between the yellow vein mosaic incidence and relative humidity in 30 days old crop.

TABLE 1. Population of *B. tabaci* and percentage of yellow vein mosaic incidence in bhendi in different periods (Pooled data, 1972-74)

nd 60 days eld mep.	Mean population of B. tabaci/plant				beroel	Percei	sease noitsludod		
Treatment	Day	s after sow	ving	bei		Day	s after sov	ving	
vem mosaic disease	10&20 (Mean)	20&30 (Mean)	30&40 (Mean)	ni lev	Mean	30	45	60	Mean
July 1	0.09	0.03	0.03		0.05	1.66	4.12	8.30	4.69
July 16	0.09	0.05	0.12		0.09	0.55	1.81	3.23	1.86
August 1	0.32	0.05	0.04		0.14	0.18	1.18	1.26	0.87
August 16	0.13	0.11	0.08		0.11	0.51	0.97	3.19	(1.56)
September 1	0.22	0.12	0.04		0.13	0.83	3.62	9.44	4.63
September 16	0.26	0.15	0.13		0.18	1.94	11.83	23.12	12.30
October 1	0.29	0.06	0.12		0.16	0.80	7.33	16.29	8.14
October 16	0.05	0.05	0.05		0.05	0.00	3.54	9.18	4.24
November 1	0.06	0.07	0.10		0.08	2.30	3.04	9.46	4.93
November 16	0.13	0.17	0.15		0.15	0.00	0.10	5.34	1.81
December 1	0.14	0.10	0.03		0.09	0.00	0.41	1.00	0.47
December 16	0.33	0.07	0.08		0.16	0.00	0.00	1.46	0.49
January 1	0.18	0.17	0.16		0.17	2.74	3.64	3.95	3.44
January 16	0.29	0.11	0.07	901	0.16	0.00	0.50	0.28	0.26
February 1	0.40	0.12	0.08		0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
February 16	0.34	0.09	0.05		0.16	0.00	0.25	0.75	0.33
March 1	0.59	0.55	0.50		0.55	0.52	7.17	30.38	12.69
March 16	0.46	0.43	0.40		0.43	2.34	30.23	55.22	29.26
April 1	0.56	0.36	0.17		0.36	19.78	60.60	78.82	53.07
April 16	0.46	0.71	0.45		0.54	4.80	47.82	74.35	42.32
May 1	0.40	0.44	0.06		0.30	24.12	58.56	81.69	54.79
May 16	0.40	0.18	0.08		0.22	25.25	52.90	68.09	48.75
June 1	0.13	0.13	0.07		0.11	6.05	13.72	24.50	14.76
June 16	0.09	0.11	0.06		0.09	3.90	14.88	19.26	12.68

In 45 days old crop, there existed a highly positive correlation between the disease incidence on one hand and the white fly population, maximum

temperature and minimum temperature on the other. However, the incidence of the disease was negatively correlated with the relative humidity. Similar X, 013,0+

CI

_	
48	
11	
5	
rs	
r factors (n = 48)	
weather	
and	
ice with white fly population and weather	
fly	
white	
with	
cider	
n matrix of disease in	
of	
matrix	
FABLE 2. Correlation	
TABLE 2.	

TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease Induction with mind by population and weather factor of the control matrix of disease Induction with mind by population and weather factor of the control matrix of disease Induction with mind by population and weather factor of the control matrix of disease Induction with population and weather factor of the control of the co	odi	yrini	60 DAS	-0.022	0.032	**	** 0.372	0.670	***	
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease including with white hypophatum and washing including. X ₁ X ₂ X ₄ X ₅ 30 45 60 30 45 60 30 45 60 30 45 60 30 45 60 30 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		lora	ab UL	28 -0						
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	X	×	45 DAS	-0.13	-0.1	-0.40	0.2	0.5	5 0.	Sign or 1100 county water
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁		Fair Nove	30 DAS	0.058	-0.380	**-0.403	0.134	.0.548	0.77	not throw-much and
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁		espi ds	30 AS	.045	.039	**	.205	**	uni, r	eultai sew doidw
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁		9891	US# 451	35 -0	61 0	**	0 09		iaserus ida bo	factors, multiple was parried out a
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	081	×	45 DA	10,10	9.7	4.0-	1 0.3	3 0.5	regi	a hedrow enclisupe
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	Noar I	985 69W	30 DAS	0.173	*	-0.387	0.094	0.563	terid,	e noitaibarg
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	9 9	a grid	itsinen	390	.028	**			i ya qe iqitiya	vent mossic incid old grop: The
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	iation	4		* -0- 4/	** 0	0- 00	39 -0		A 2011/154	lames FAIBAT
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	ndod	×	no (s	-0.3	-0.3	4.0-	3 -0.1			No House of the Control of the Ad
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	lle II		30 DAS	-0.342	-0,251	-0.415	-0.063			up o in en un ora i reachail
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	II WI	op to	60 SAS	**	.346	.342	leitie! rees			olusianV
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	ce wi	×		**	225 0	* 342 0				aterjec (il) gildW .X*
TABLE 2. Correlation matrix of disease X ₁	ncider	Special Special		0.60	**	61 0.				X. Maximum tempe
x ₁ X ₁ 30 45 60 DAS DAS DAS ** 0.232 0.469 0.241 np. (°C) np. (°C) ignificant at P = 0.05 ignificant at P = 0.01	asse	Jay		The second second second second	1 -0.0	0.1				A Similarian Conservation
x ₁ X ₁ 30 45 60 DAS DAS DAS ** 0.232 0.469 0.241 np. (°C) np. (°C) ignificant at P = 0.05 ignificant at P = 0.01	or dise	Alexander Age	60 DAS	0.370	0.38					X. Rainfall
x ₁ X ₁ 30 45 60 DAS DAS DAS ** 0.232 0.469 0.241 np. (°C) np. (°C) ignificant at P = 0.05 ignificant at P = 0.01	atrix	×	45 DAS	***	**					X_0 . No. of rank dep
x ₁ X ₁ 30 45 60 DAS DAS DAS ** 0.232 0.469 0.241 np. (°C) np. (°C) ignificant at P = 0.05 ignificant at P = 0.01	on m	FRI		0.024	**					Constant xeriaria
TABLE 2. X ₁ 30 45 DAS DAS Np. (°C) ignificant at P = 0.0 ignificant at P = 0.0	rrelati	enp cific	60 DAS	241 0						3021 X = 0.029 3
TABLE 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		×	45 AS	**						0.01
mp. (°C)	BLE 2	edi	ar me	32 0.						11 11
asse %% 88% %% 8	bes	bş	3	0.2		(i)				cant at
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%		XB		sy ines	190	mp. (°	du	vitege e trans		ignific
air in ax ax iii air		0291		%	X ₁ White fly populatio	ах. Те	in. Ter	X ₄ Relative humidity	X ₅ Rainfall	* *
Y Disease % X1 White fly populati y Max. Ter X2 Max. Ter X4 Relative humidity X5 Rainfall ** \$				Y Dis	X ₁ W	X M	X _s M	X4 Re	X ₅ R ₆	

W-

		1				+ 0	* 0	*0	
nechependeni ser	erin Ker	60 DAS	-0.022	0.032	-0.290	**	0.670	0.780	(30)
d crop ((Vj.) nivas of assis listerator	×e	45 DAS	0.058 -0.128 -0.022	** ** ** ** ** ** ** 0.469 0.457 0.381 -0.072 0.225 0.346 -0.251 -0.324 0.028 -0.366 -0.161 0.039 -0.380 -0.177 0.032	0.161 0.342 0.342 -0.415 -0.400 -0.406 -0.387 -0.402 -0.403 -0.403 -0.402 -0.290	0.278	**	**	02
X ORTHIX (121	A. S.	0- 850	380 -0	**	134 0		**	11200
= 48) R* Evalue	nve ess		5 0.0	0- 6	** +0.4	5 0.	** ** 0.556 0.548	OM. B	AB H
u c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	dis aaan	60 DAS	-0.04	0.03	*-0.40	0.20	0.55	3497D8	auli 1 3
factor	×	45 DAS	0.135	0.161	-0.402	* 0.360 0.205 0.134	**		0.0
Correlation matrix of disease incidence with white fly population and weather factors ($n=48$)	988	30 DAS	0.241 0.024 0.522 0.370 0.009 0.494 0.578 -0.342 -0.374 -0.390 0.173 -0.135 -0.045	**	**	.094	**		0
and we	3 110	60 DAS D	0 061	28 -0	0- 901	-0.139 -0.075 0.094	in 30		old
ation 8			* -0.3	* 0.0	0 -0.4	9 -0.0			
lo nominado	X	45 DAS	-0.37	**	**	-0.13			SU TO
te fly		30 DAS	0.342	-0.251	**	-0.063			T OF
motudismoo da se	tiogo	60 DAS	**	.346	.342	Patrial			91
ce wi	×s	45 DAS I	**	225 0	* 342 0				Blance
ncider		30 DAS	000 00	** 072 0.	161 0.				ведт
ease	ı deş		** 70 0.0	**	0				tecn Nabi
of dis	4	60 S DAS	* * * 2	** 57 0.38	0.110#*				
natrix	×°°	45 DAS	**	* 0.45					deyab
tion n	100	30 DAS	0.024	**					7929
orrela		60 DAS	0.241						9 16
	×	45 DAS	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T						0 05
TABLE 2.	uda A	30 DAS	** 0.232 0.469						* Cionificant at P = 0.0
to sulsy 127 5	pla	ka rido	W .1		(o,c)				1000
ted in disease by six variables	X0 Dažiuo		ew ins	fly	emp.	emp	ve ity	illego.	100:0
	10291		Y Disease	X ₁ White fly population	X ₂ Max, Temp. (°C)	X ₃ Min. Temp	X4 Relative humidity	X ₅ Rainfall	***
	1		\ \ \	X	2115	×°	×	××	1

0.05 11 Significant at P * * Hwy babagan

a had sed To the to pecasib to Significant a association between disease incidence and maximum temperature, minimum temperature and relative humidity was also observed in 60 days old crop.

As simple correlation studies did not throw much light on the incidence of the yellow vein mosaic disease which was influenced by multiple factors, multiple regression analysis was carried out and the prediction equations worked out.

Prediction of bhendi yellow vein mosaic incidence in 30 days The multiple regression old crop:

equation fitted with six independent variables to predict the incidence of disease in 30 days old crop (Y1) was

 $Y_1 = 123.239 + 18.476 X_1 - 0.692 X_2$ $-0.081 \quad X_3 - 1.210 \quad X_4 + 0.110 \quad X_5$ +0.221 X_s with a R² value of 0.4231.

The partial regression co-efficients, proportional errors. and standard variable contribution of each regression of disease per cent in 30 days old crop on weather factors and white fly population are presented in Table 3.

TABLE 3. Partial regression coefficients, standard errors and proportional contribution of each variable to regression of disease per cent (30 DAS) on weather factors and white fly population (n=48)

Variable	Partial regression coefficients	Standard errors	Proportional contribution to R ² (Per cent)		
X ₁ White fly population	18.476*	7.422	5.37		
X ₂ Maximum temperature	- 0.692	0.577	0.92		
X ₃ Minimum temperature	- 0.081	0.248	0.23		
X ₄ Relative humidity	- 1.210**	0.328	12,10		
X ₅ Rainfall	0.110**	0.040	23.58		
X ₆ No. of rainy days	0.221	0.830	0.10		

Constant term 'a' = 123.239

 $R^2 = 0.423$

The partial regression coefficient of disease per cent in 30 days old crop for white fly population was significant, while high negative and positive regression coefficients were recorded with relative humidity and

The regression rainfall respectively. function fitted had a R2 value of 0.4231, which explained that 42.31 per cent variation existed in disease per cent was contributed by six variables considered in the present study.

^{*} Significant at P = 0.05

^{**} Significant at P = 0.01

In the early stage of the crop (30 DAS), white fly population, in addition to relative humidity and rainfall was found to influence the The contribution of white fly population, relative humidity and rainfall to the R² were 5.37, 12.10 and 23.58 per cent respectively to the total variation in the disease incidence. It could be inferred that increase of white fly population by one number brought about 18.5 per cent of disease, 1 per cent decrease in relative humidity brought up the disease by 1.2 per cent and addition of 1 mm rainfall brought about 0.11 per cent disease.

Prediction of bhendi yellow vein mosaic in 45 days old crop: The multiple regression equation fitted to predict the incidence of disease on 45 days old crop (y_o) was

$$Y2 = -89.288 + 26.831 \quad X_1 + 1.873 \quad X_2$$

$$+3.893 \quad X_3 - 0.490 \quad X_4 - 0.0599 \quad X_5$$

$$+0.507 \quad X_6$$
with a R² value of 0.4616.

regression coefficients, The partial standard errors, proportional contribution to regression of disease incidence in 45 days old crop on weather factors and white fly population are presented in Table 4.

TABLE 4. Partial regression coefficients, standard errors and proportional contribution of each variable to regression of disease per cent (45 DAS) on weather factors and white fly population (n=48)

Partial regression coefficients	Standard errors	Proportional contribution to R ² (Per cent)	
26,831	15.304	21.973	
1.873	1.567	11.934	
3.893*	1.758	9.979	
	0.687	1.995	
American th	0.100	0,371	
annoulles out 11	1.961	0.088	
	26.831 1.873 3.893*	coefficients errors 26.831 15.304 1.873 1.567 3.893* 1.758 - 0.490 0.687 - 0.059 0.100	

Constant term 'a' =
$$-89.288$$
R² = 0.4616
Significant at P = 0.05

The partial regression coefficient of minimum temperature alone was found to be significant. The maximum contribution of 9.979 per cent to R2 by minimum temperature indicates its importance in predicition.

Prediction of bhendi yellow vein mosaic in 60 days old crop: The multiple regression equation to predict the incidence of disease in 60 days old crop (Y3) was days over

$$Y3 = 36.322 + 10.092 X_1 + 0.756 X_2 + 6.319 X_3 - 2.021 X_4 + 0.055 X_5 - 0.155 X_6$$
 with a R³ value of 0.4641.

The partial regression coefficients, standard errors, proportional contribution of each variable to regression of disease per cent in 60 days old crop on weather factors and white fly population are presented in Table 5.

TABLE 5. Partial regression coefficients, standard errors and proportional contribution of each variable to regression of disease per cent (60 DAS) on weather factors and white fly population (n=48)

	Variable (V) govo	Partial regressi coefficients	on	Standard errors	Proportional co to R ² (Per	ntribution cent)
Xı	White population	10.092	bns 0	25.441	5.825	ainfall to
X_2	Maximum temperature	0.756	total	1.815	9,038	
X_3	Minimum temperature	6.319**		2.061	21,897	
X	Relative humidity	2.021*	to ea	- 0.979	9,221	
X_5	Rainfall	0.055		0.113	0,424	
X ₆	No. of rainy days	— 0.155	los n	3.212	0.003	

Constant term 'a' = 36.322

2101061 TendseW R2 = 0.4641 2/6b 04 mb

* Significant at P = 0.05

** Significant at P = 0.01

In the late stage of the crop (60 DAS) the partial regression coefficient of disease per cent on minimum temperature was highly significant which explained for 22 per cent of the total variation (46.4 per cent) in disease incidence. The partial regression coefficient relative humidity was significant at 5 per cent level but negative, and it had a low contribution of 9 per cent only to the total variation.

White flies prevalent on 30th and 40th day of the crop did not have any influence on the disease recorded on 60 days old crop. This might probably be due to the significant influence of white fly occurrence during the early stage of the crop viz., 10th and 20th day population which brought up the disease in 30 day old crop.

In simple correlation as well multiple regression analysis, the minimum temperature was observed

to have a positive association with the incidence of yellow vein mosaic disease in 45 and 60 days old crop. Simple correlation studies further revealed that maximum temperature also positively correlated with disease incidence at these two stages of crop growth. Higher temperature prevailing during summer was reported to influence the fecundity of B. tabaci by Pruthi and Samuel (1942) which might be attributed to increased disease spread. In support of this finding, Trehan (1944) also reported that the rate of development and reproduction of B. tabaci was positively correlated with temperature upto 45°C. His studies further revealed that at higher temperatures during summer among the developing adults, the females out numbered the males substantially. As female white flies were more efficient vectors than the males (Varma, 1952; Cohen and Nitzany, 1966 and Nene, 1972), this gave further evidence to increased

disease spread during summer due to higher temperatures. The high negative correlation between relative humidity and disease incidence in 30 and 60 days old crops also emphasised the role of this weather factor in the disease incidence.

The maximum contribution of 22 per cent to R² by minimum temperature indicated the importance of this weather parameter in prediction. When the night temperature increased by 1°C during 45-60 days of the crcp age, it resulted in a significant increase of disease by 6.3 per cent, in 60 days old crop.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Dr. T. K. Kandaswamy, Professor and Head, Department of Plant Pathology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University for providing facilities for this investigation and to Thiru M. V. Jayaraman, Associate Professor of Agricultural Meteorology for the help in collecting meteorological data.

Anino Acid Analysis: Amino acid contents were determined by conventional procedures with a Perkin-Elmer Model KLA-38 amino acid analyzer. Accurately weighed 0.2 g of the finely ground rice sample was weighed into hydrolysis tubes and 6 ml of 5 N HCI was added. The acid sample of 5 N HCI was added. The acid sample

REFERENCES

- CHELLIAH, S., and SELLAMMAL MURUGESAN, 1975 a. Estimation of loss due to yellow vein mosaic disease in Bhendi. *Annamalai* Univ. Agrl. Res. Annu., 6&7 (In press).
- CHELLIAH, S., and SELLAMMAL MURUGESAN. 1975 b. Studies on the seasonal incidence of Bhendi Yellow Vein Mosaic. Annamalai Univ. Agri. Res. Annu., 6&7 (In press).
- COHEN, S. and F. E. NITZANI. 1966. Transmission and host range of the tomato leaf curl virus. *Phytopathology* **50**: 1127—1131.
- NENE, Y. L. 1972. A survey on viral diseases of pulse crops in Uttar Pradesh. Technical Report. Research Bulletin No. 4, 191p.
- PRUTHI, H. S. and C. K. SAMUEL, 1942. Entomological investigations on the leaf curl disease of tobacco in N. India. Biology and population of white fiy. Indian J. agric. Sci., 12: 35—57.
- TREHAN, K. N. 1944. Further studies on the bionomics of Bemisia gossypiperda, the white fly of cotton in Punjab. Indian J. agric. Sci., 14: 53-63.
- VARMA, P. M. 1952. Studies on the relationship of the bhendi yellow vein mosaic virus and its vector the white fly (*Bemisia tabaci* Genn). Indian J. agric. Sci., 22: 75—91.

Chariff season of 1973. All the