Resource Variable Programming for Different Water Supply Positions

By

S. A. RADHAKRISHNAN¹ and an anomalog and

, notice to eside Od. I . msi ABSTRACT

Irrigation water is a crucial factor in gardenland farming in Coimbatore Taluk, (Tamil Nadu-India). Optimum crop plan to maximise farm income is illustrated by using programming technique.

INTRODUCTION

AGE

53.

Water is the crucial factor determining the choice of crops in the farms especially where well irrigation is prevalent. Acreage allocation for different crops in a farm is determined with an optimistic view about the water resource in the well. When the farmer is to consider the net profits of different crops and the other restrictive resources, the decision making process is complicated. Under such situation, resource variable programming may be adopted. In this technique the optimum plans can be determined as the supply of one or more resources are varied continuously with the other restricting resource supplies and prices of products assumed constant. The objective of this study is to develop a resource variable programme considering the availability of water for irrigation from zero to infinity with restricted labour supply.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A farm in Sarkarsamakulam Block, Coimbatore District having 15.70 acres of land with limited labour and irrigation water is selected for the case study. The input-output co-efficients and net profit per unit crop area for

different crops that are profitably grown in the farm and total available resources are given in Table 1. Land is classified into three seasons so that crops that can be grown in different seasons can be considered. season labour viz., during the month of October only is considered as a restriction. It is assumed that if labour during October is available there will not be any difficulty for labour during other months. Irrigation water that is available for irrigation during different months are specified to correspond with different seasons. July and March irrigation are considered to vary and determine the crops to be grown in the farm. While July irrigation restricts khariff-crop, March irrigation restricts the summer crop.

The procedure for the Resource Variable Programming is to find out the ridge line tracing out the optimum plans as the supply of July irrigation increases and with production not limited by March irrigation. A modified 'simplex method' allows us to follow all changes in the optimum plan as the irrigation water in July and March increases from zero to any finite estimated amount. The basic principle is: each acre of water (each unit of the

^{1.} Assistant Professor of Agricultural Economics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University.

Jul

marginal return is the its where highest.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

continuous plans/solutions obtained in the exercise are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 will allow us to construct the plans in real terms necessary for It also indicates maximum income. both July and March Irrigation necessary for each plan. Using the coordinates July and March irrigation we can locate the points which corresponds to each section of the simplex solution available for the problem considered. (iterative process of the problem is not furnished in the article). If the optimum plan for point 't' required, this plan correspond to the optimum plan for 'u'. 't' is a point where March irrigation is 35.00 units and July irrigation is 11.60 units. The optimum plan at point 'u' is having OPQUU, as the boundary. This plan can be obtained precisely by:

- (i) Finding the section of the Table 2 in which absolute value of July irrigation was just smaller than the irrigation available at 't'
- Adding the quantity of irrigation (ii) water available at July and March at 't' to the July and March irrigation level entries of the B. column of the section specified in (i) and
- (iii) Completing the simplex solution.

In the example section 3 and section 4 are considered. The optimum plan will lie between these two

resource being varied) must be utilized sections. An iterative process from section 3 will give the intermediate optimum plan between section 3 and section 4.

> The result of the iterative process is a plan having 4.10 acres of dry cholam, 11.60 acres of cotton, 12.10 acres of summer cholam and 3.60 acres of maize (III season). The net profit is Rs. 35,106/-.

In the graph if the point 't' is extended it will cut the production boundary OPORS at U and the income line at point U2, which gives the optimum income for the intermediate optimum plan. It is in confirmity with the level of income derived from the iterative process. Thus it is possible to specify optimum activity-mix for any level of irrigation, the mechanism being Resource Variable Programming.

Paddy, being less profitable and requiring a large quantity of water than cotton, is not profitable to cultivate. Sugarcane gives a high per acre profit. But, when water requirement is considered two crops viz., cotton and maize can be cultivated instead a single crop of sugarcane and more profit can be realised. Out of the crops considered in March - June season, summer cholam eventhough less profitable finds a place in the optimum solution whenever water available in the farm is not sufficient to cultivate maize. Ragi, cumbu and maize require same amount of water. Since maize is more profitable than cumbu or ragi it finds the place. The mechanism of entry or exit of these three crops will be governed by the net profit. If the net profit of maize due to any change in its price falls short of cumbu, cumbu will be preferred for maize.

from ediate 3 and

ocess f dry 12.10 3.60

't' is iction come the diate with

n the ssible rany being

and than vate. rofit. and ad a more crops

rofitmum le in

ivate

in its

e net

differ t is

ason, .

quire ize is agi it

n of ill be e net

I will

uly, I	370.														
*	961) W	Maize III season	1 1 5	00:1	min	3.00	tance in S	Income	Rs. P.			33,720 00	46,377 80	
.E.1. Input-output coefficient and net profit of activities with resources availability in the farm	843		Cumbu	1 1 5	0.1	vydit.	3.00	, GOUD! and M. J.	W.4.		ا يم	- 24		15.70 46	
	576	of crop	Summer Cholam	cross S	1.00	set of thir	2.00	with the five gene two parents diffe generates of v	Maize III	di bal	study revel	A . tudy	he s	, t	2
	719		Ragi	iting the	1.00	dd.) ad ser red in	3.00	irrigation and corresponding income	ner Cumbu	s Acres	add, - ontrol sugges	i o ci	eneti stim	0	
	978	fficient pe	Maize II season	1:00 US	1A 8	ULT	RES		Summer	(896)	NO	10	15.70	ba i	N
	380	Input-output co-efficient per acre	Dry N Cholam	1.00	- n t 1	2–3 s) i ole d	day: (Tal	tion and oeuello	Apr. q	rl mi	in orgh	ent s	ure ure	brd fut	nu to
	1993	Input-o	Cotton	1.00 1.00 0.1	the	1.00	towi	f dxcessive	10 .70	Acres	inal b e	dir di	uny sils ing	doi:	160 100
	1252	oac tha	Paddy	1.00	F. T	8.00	dow	recommendation of the second s	Dry	Cholam	15.70	0 4.10	4.10	4.10	laq ant
	2548	nsv Se us	Sugar- F	1.00 86.1	1.00	3.00	3.00	with specified	Cotton	Acres	resu perite	11.60	11.60	11.60	15.70
	resu n p	F.	Total availa- bility o	nimile yldi	15.70	78.00	20.88	Optimum plans with	800	Acres	DUA	.s.	IAII	l ITE	I AM
	Net profit Rs.	un leni soc	maxim	were all had the	ns s, F,	cale	gene the s	set up.	Sugar-	Cane	gene 112-3 958)	ve GN (1	e fi k n's	dT ulle	Sha Hay
	S spec	12 3-1 an		Acres	Acres	Man-days Acres	Acres	TABLE Was A (Good ays to 50	March Control	irrigation 6	of to	si ni o.	31.40	47.10	47.10
TABLE 1.		ing ne e gen	flower ion in the	er ebruary	of of numerical	speci not n	in re was of n	sured as not from ring was	e pla e pla Inklowe	by the	0	11.60	11.60 %	11.60 da	15.70
o) n	18 0		Resources	Land July October Land November February	Land March June	October labour July irrigation	March irrigation	cle.			AD V		pue	1	15
				Land N	Land N	October 1. Infv ir	March	392		Section	-	2	8	4.	<u>ن</u>