Note on Socio-personal Factors of Farmers in Relation to Adoption

The object of this study was to determine the influence of a few sociopersonal factors on the adoption of improved farm practices. The study was conducted in Pattukkottai community development block of Thanjavur district in Tamil Nadu. Data were collected by personal interview with 100 farmers selected randomly from five villages.

Variables:

Socio-personal factors: In this study, only six socio-perso factors like age, education. size of land holding, farm income. social participation and media participation were selected for assessing their impact on the adoption of prac-Non-membership or membership in only one organisation was described as low social paticipation. Membership in more than one was treated as medium social participation. More than this participation as other office bearers was named as high social participation. Farmers exposed to 2 information media were placed under low media participation. Farmers exposed to 3-5 information media were categorised under medium level of paticipation and above 5 media were placed under high media participation. The categories of the remaining four socio-personal characteristics were as found in the table.

(b) Adoption index: The study was confined to the six recommended farm practices for paddy. The extent of adoption of the selected practices was assessed by means of 'adoption index'. For every year of adoption of each practice, an adoption index of one score was given. On limiting the number of years of adoption to 3, the total adoption index score for each farmer may range from 0-18. Based on the total adoption score the farers were then categorised as low adopters (0 - 6 scores), medium adopters (7 - 12 scores) and high adopters (13 - 18 scores).

Of the six socio-personal factors of farmers studied, except age, all the remaining five factors *viz.*, education, income, farm size, social participation and media participation had shown significant positive association with adoption. Among the farmers with low education, majority (50.0 per cent) were low adopters. Contrastingly in the high level educational

Table 1. Socio-personal factors of farmers in relation to the extent of adoption of farm practices

Socio-personal charac- teristics and their cate- gories	Low adopters %	Medium adopters	High adopters	Statistical value
Age: Solizine Detector	ledogned otao	8 10	noitgoba e	ent no aroteut lanoarec
Age:	send in thous	10.00	00.57	X ² value
Young (upto 30 years)	28.57	42.86	28.57	
Middle (31-45 years)	91.95	51.22	26.83	2.80
Old (above 45 years)	34.62	50.00	15.38	Non-significant
Education:				
Low (Illiterates)	50.00	39.47	10.53	X ² value
Medium (Primary)	13.89	66.67	19.44	17.9
High (above primary)	19.23	42.31	38.46	Significant at 1 per cent level
Income:				msdesegarati
Low (upto Rs. 2500)	40.98	54.98	4.04	X ² value
Medium (Rs. 2501-5000)	16.00	56.00	28.00	27.53
High (above Rs. 5000)	ne sous ver	21.43	78. 57	Significant at 1 per cent level
Farm size:			E14026, 101	
Small (upto 5 acres)	45.09	45.09	9.82	X ² value
Medium (5—7.50 ac)	16.22	56.76	27.02	18.31
Large (above 7.50 ac)	ta racvital	50.00	50.00	Significant at 1 per cent leve
Social participation:				
Low Length Low Low	33.33	53.33	13.34	X ² value
Medium	26.67	46.66	26.67	11.01
High	rightimienter et	30.00	70.00	Significant at 1 per cent leve
Media participation:				participation Fermers
	65.00	35.00	ne baosia	X ² value
Low	41.38	31.72	6.90	21.52
	7.84	54.90	37.26	Significant at 1 per cent leve
High	of Stew tine		lavel mu	bem lebnu besitopate:

group, only one-fifth (19.23 per cent) were with low adoption while the medium and high adopters were almost double each. It can, therefore, be inferred that the adoption level increases with the raise in educational level. Among those with high income 79 per cent were high adopters while the rest belonged to medium level of adoption. On the other hand, among those with low income, 41 per cent were low adopters and the high adopters accounted for a negligible percentage. Therefore, it can be concluded that the economic factor such as income is of great importance in determining adoption. Whose to saltelist wan jund

There were no large size farm holders with low level of adoption. In contrast, of the small farm holders 45 per cent were low adopters while the high adopters accounted for 10 per cent only. Farmers tend to become high adopters if the farm size is large. Among the farmers with high social participation nearly three-fourths (70 per cent) were high adopters while the rest happened to be mediocre. There were no low adopters with high social participation. Reverse was the case in the low social participation group. The

significant result of chi-square test also strengthened the existence of positive relationship.

In the case of farmers with low media participation, nearly two thirds (65 per cent) were low adopters and all the others were medium and no representation under high adopter category. But among farmers with high media participation, more than one third (37 per cent) were all high adopters and low adoptors were relatively less. Therefore, it is confirmed that more media participation, higher the adoption level and vice versa.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The senior author is thankful to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University for the permission accorded to publish this from his M. Sc. (Ag.) thesis.

K. CHANDRAKANDAN V. S. SUBRAMANYAN

Department of Extension Education,

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University

Coimbatore-641003.