A Study to Evaluate the Extent of Utilisation of the Gramasevak's Implements in the Blocks

Ву

V. SRINIVASAN1 and K. N. DURAISWAMY2

ABSTRACT

The study revealed that 45 per cent of the farmers in the villages were aware about the availability of implements with gramasevaks and 16.3 per cent of them only had utilised. The equipments available with the gramasevaks were not in working condition at the time of need.

INTRODUCTION

In the present day context, the intensive cultivation implies the use of efficient implements that are necessary to replace the old traditional methods involving considerable manual labour, time and cost. Plant protection equipments have also became inevitable in successful crop production. (Harris, 1966). In view of the poor economic conditions of the farmers in the villages the Government of Tamil Nadu provided sufficient funds to equip the gramasevaks with a set of improved implements and plant protection equipments for demonstration as well as for hiring them to needy farmers in the villages. This study was initiated to find out the availability and the extent of utilisation of the implements by the farmers in the villages.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was undertaken in Perur Block of Coimbatore district at four different levels *viz.*, farmers, local panchayats, gramasevaks and block, through separate well structured questionnairs for each level. At farmers' level 109 farmers from randomly selected ten revenue villages were selected and the percentage analysis was made for the different aspects studied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study revealed that all the gramasevaks in the villages were provided with all the important implements and equipments as the hand operated sprayers and dusters, bund formers, ridge ploughs and melur ploughs for more than a decade. The

^{1.} Associate Professor of Agricultural Extension, and 2. Formerly Director of Extension Education, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003.

extent to which the equipments were utilised over a period of three years is furnished in Table 1.

Table 1. Extent of ulilisation of plant protection equipments

			40 Milesaour	
Gramasevaks' Headquarters	196 A	6-67 B	1967- 6 8 A B	1968-69 A B
Vedapatty	2	2	es. The?	measth no
Kurichi Other eight	nao r i	o ne en	one one	34 34
gramasevaks	MILE I	amp P	di vd ene	nments lo

- A. Number of farmers who have utilised
- B. Number of days used.

Thus it was evident that only 2 out of 10 gramasevaks issued the equipments to the farmers in only one year for a few farmers and days. The other eight gramasevaks have not made use of the equipments available with them.

The difficulties in utilising the plant protection equipments are furnished in Table 2.

Table 2. Difficulties in using the plant protec-

Nature of difficulties expressed	Number of farmers	Percentage (n=49)
The equipments were fo	und	b bas b
to be under repairs whe	n- 100	
ever approached	6	12.2
Could not get the equipr	nento	12.2
whenever approached	I lenne	101810
Difficulties	due 6,5	10.3
Difficulties not expressed	d 38	77.5

In general 12.3 per cent of the respondents have expressed that they

always found the equipments under repairs and an another 10.3 per cent of them have stated that they could not avail them for their use whenever they approached the gramasevaks and the rest (77.5 per cent) of them have not offered any reasons.

It is observed that nine of the gramasevaks has issued his equipments for demonstration purposes only. Further, out of these nine gramasevaks, only seven have conducted the demonstrations personally (Table 3).

Table 3. Mode of utilisation of the equipments available with the gramasevaks

Mode of utilisation	Gramasevaks		
ent to guote ybi	Nos.	Percentage (n=10)	
Issued for demonstration purposes only	9	90	
Issued neither for demons-		priolad ub	
tration nor for hire	1 01	10	

The studies clearly revealed that the implements and equipments provided with the gramasevaks were neither used for demonstration purposes nor for hiring them to the farmers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The senior author is thankful to Madras University for the permission for this publication.

REFERENCE

HARRIS M. HERBERT. 1966. How important is Plant Protection Rural. Youth Ann. No. New Delhi Youth Farmers' Association pp. 34-35.