# Profeessional Choices Among Graduate Students in Agriculture

to rank order method, the rank position vanefession by the lagricultural graduates

mined on the basis or meen, st\*NAYNAMARBUS S. Vighest scale value of 1.464. Em-

## dentis were asked to select the firanzanculture was held in a high esteem

The study revealed that there was strong tendency on the part of agricultural graduates to generally prefer the employments in the nationalised banks and state department of agriculture. Employments in private firms dealing with fertilizers and pesticides, in quasi-government organizations such as Food Corporation and in private estates and plantations received low preference. The majority of agricultural graduates were not interested to work in their own farms.

## INTRODUCTION W Builseb mil elsving

f

е

31

d

Several types of jobs are open to the agricultural graduates, but their choice seem to differ to a great extent. Sandhu and Sinha (1970) concluded that a job on research side was most preferred by agricultural graduates while they were not much inclined towards extension work or teaching. The present investigation concerns with the different types of jobs assuming that each such type consists of one or more of the three fold functions such as research, teaching and extension.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted on 204 final year B.Sc. (Ag) students of the two Agriculture Colleges at Coimbatore and Madurai in Tamil Nadu. While selecting these students, it was, pre-

sumed that they all were aware of the nature and scope of the different types of jobs open to them. The study was made during the year 1972. Six types of jobs were considered in the investigation (Table 1). Both paired comparison and rank order methods were employed to determine the professional choices among the sample respondents. As for paired comparison method, the procedure suggested by Edward (1969) was adopted. The six selected jobs required a total of fifteen comparisons. They were presented to the respondents in such a way that no job is repeated twice in succession. The respondents were individually asked to check the profession they liked in each pair. In computing the scale values of the six professions, the first step was to construct F matrix. The F matrix was then expressed as P matrix which was converted into z values. From the mean Z

Assistant Professor of Agricultural Extension, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Columbatore-641003.

value, the rank scale value for each profession was determined. As regards to rank order method, the rank position of a particular profession was determined on the basis of mean score earned by that profession. The respondents were asked to select the first three professions out of six in the order of preference. The preferences were then converted to scores by assigning tnree points for the first preference, two points for the second and one point for the third. Thereafter, mean score was computed for each profession taking into consideration the frequencies of all the three preferences.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The Z values obtained through F and then P matrixes are furnished in Table 1.

TABLE 1. The Z matrix for the six professions

| Professions* 1 | 2    | 3     | 4    | 5     | 6     |
|----------------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 1. 000         | .659 | .786  | .856 | 1.185 | 1.265 |
| 2659           |      |       |      |       |       |
| 3. 0           | 111  | .000  | .111 | .643  | 1.094 |
| 4856           | 098  | 111   | .000 | .838  | .820  |
| 5. 18601.185   | 571  | 643   | 838  | .000  | 02    |
| 61.265         | 820  | -1.09 | 4820 | .025  | .00   |
| Sums -4.751    |      |       |      |       |       |
| Means792       | 157  | 159   | 099  | .544  | .663  |
| Means*         |      |       |      |       |       |
| 792 .000       | .635 | .633  | .693 | 1.336 | 1.45  |

<sup>\*</sup> Profession 1. Working in one's own farm 3. employment in private estates and plantations 3. employment in private firms dealing with fertilizers and pesticides 4. employment in quasi-goverdment organization such as Food corporation 5. employment in the State Department of Agriculture and 6. employments in nationalised banks.

It can be noted that emsloyment in banks was the most preferred profession by the agricultural graduates among the six professions thus earning the highest scale value of 1.454. Employment in the state department of agriculture was held in a high esteem by the respondents. Most of the respondents were not interested in working in their own farms and it was the least preferred profession. The third, fourth and fifth over all choices were credited to the employment in quasigovernment organization like food corporation, and the employment in private firm dealing with fertilizers and pesticides (Table 1).

Further the students, in general, preferred the professions in government or semi-government than the private enterprises. The rank order method was also employed to find out the relative preferences for the six professions among the agricultural graduates.

Thus the trend obtained in the paired comparison method also hold good in the rank order method. Employment in bank was the most overall preferred profession by the respondents with the highest mean score of 19.46 out of a maximum of three. Almost earning the same score value of 1.931, the employment in state department of agriculture ranked close to the job in banks and working in one's own farm happened to be the last choice among the agriculturel graduates. The fourth rank went to t<mark>he</mark> employment in private estate and plantations. However, the ranks of the remaining two employments that occupied the third and fifth

TABLE 2. The three preferences, mean score, rank order among the professions

|  | I Pref  | erence      | II Prefei  | ence     | III Pre | eference             | Mean                                      | Rank              |
|--|---------|-------------|--|----------|---------|----------------------|---|-------------------|
| Professions  | (No.)   | (per cent)  | (No.) (p   | er cent) | (No.)   | per cent)            | score                                     | order             |
| Employment in<br>nationalised banks                              | 65      | 31.86       | 82   | 40.20    | 38      | 18.63                | 1.946                                     | 1                 |
| Employment in state<br>Dept. of agriculture                      | ms101hi | 49.51       | go b78k to   | 18.14    | 17 83   | 9 8.33 V             | 1.931.01                                  | 11 11             |
| Dmployment in<br>Erivate firms (Pesti-<br>pides and fertilizers) | 8 .     | 3.92        | the graduates and a constant and a c | 14.17    | 47      |                      | emmevog berre<br>workension work<br>0.642 |                   |
| Employment in orivate estates and olantions                      | ng bne  | 6.86        | upogg ler  | 10.78    | 34      | 16.67                | UCTION,<br>882.0                          | IV                |
| Employmen in garaguasi-govenment prganization (Food              |         | ctors o     | these fa   |          |         | re jobs<br>gricultur | view to secu                              |                   |
| Life of Agricultural C   | 12      | and Magazin | RESULT   | 6.37     | 14      | 6.86                 | 0.519<br>0.373                            |                   |
| d that 97.74lato   | 204 d   | 100         | 204 .  | 100      | 204     | 100                  | es about the                              | ipirauc<br>aduate |

places in the paired comparison method were interchanged as the fifth and the third positions. Excepting this difference, the psttern of professional choices obtained by the two methods was alike.

d

11

s 6

it

f

'n

n

g

h

e

h

Quick promotion, high salary, prestige or recognition, more freedom and good treatment were reported as the principal reasons for preferring a job in banks. Those who had opted for the state department of agriculture opined that job security, interest in

extension work, and opinion for rural life and easy availability of the job were the main considerations for the choice.

# REFERENCES TOTAL MINISTRAL

EDWARDS, A. L. (1969) Techniques of Attitude

Scale construcion. Vakils, Feffer and
Simons, Hague Building, 9 Sprott Road,
Bellard Estate. Bombay.

sand P. R. R. Sinha (197)

Sand P. R. R. Sinha (197)

Sand P. R. R. Sinha (197)

"Job preference between Teaching, Research

and Extension by Agricultural under-gradu
ates" Ind. of Extn. Edu. 6: 56-61.