

Extent of Adoption of Recommended Practices in Respect of Hybrid Sorghum Cultivation in the Selected Taluk of Mysore District*

By

B. SUNDARA SWAMY¹ and K. N. DORAISWAMY²

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted in Chamarajanagar taluk of Mysore district to find out the extent of adoption of recommended practices in respect of hybrid sorghum cultivation. The results revealed that majority of the farmers did not adopt all the recommended practices. Lack of knowledge and finance were the main reasons for non-adoption and or partial adoption of the recommended practices.

INTRODUCTION

The high yielding varieties have brought revolution in Indian agriculture and the farmers' response towards high yielding varieties has been found quite encouraging. The level of adoption of package of practices of high yielding varieties however varies considerably among the farmers. An attempt has been made to obtain as realistic a picture as possible about the situations prevailed in this district of Mysore with respect to the cultivation of hybrid sorghum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in Chamarajanagar taluk of Mysore district where hybrid sorghum was cultivated

more extensively. Stratified two stage sampling method was adopted for sampling purpose, village level workers' circle within the taluk as strata, the villages as primary and the holdings as secondary units formed the basis for sampling. A list of all the villages in each V. L. W.'s circle wherein at least five farmers who had harvested a minimum of two crops of hybrid sorghum was prepared with the assistance of extension officer and gramasevaks of the taluk. A total of 20 villages, one from each V. L. W.'s circle was selected by random method. Five farmers were selected randomly in each village which formed 100 respondents in total. The respondents were interviewed with the help of a pretested schedule. The extent of adoption is measured in terms of adoption quotient.

* Part of the M. Sc. (Ag.) dissertation in Agricultural Extension, University of Madras.

1. Division of Extension, Agricultural College, UAS, Hebbal, Bangalore-24.

and 2. Formerly Director of Extension Education, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore-641003.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Categories of adopters:

Only 17 per cent of the farmers were high adopters compared to medium (62 per cent) and low adopters (21 per cent). It shows that majority of the farmers did not adopt all the practices recommended for hybrid sorghum cultivation. This indicates that more efforts on the part of the extension worker are essential to encourage and help low and medium adopters to adopt the recommended practices to bring them on par with high adopters which would help in increasing production (Table 1).

Table 1. Categories of adopters based on their adoption quotient

| Range of adoption quotient | Adopters categories | No. of farmers | Percentage of farmers |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 10—40% | Low adopters | 21 | 21 |
| 50—80% | Medium adopters | 62 | 62 |
| 90—100% | High adopters | 17 | 17 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 |

Number of farmers adopting recommended practices:

Practices like application of farm yard manure, use of certified seeds, proper seed rate, intercultivation and weeding were adopted by all farmers (Table 2). Nearly 50 per cent of the farmers adopted proper spacing, used

Table 2. Number of farmers adopting recommended practices

| Practices | Respondents | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | No. | Per cent |
| Deep ploughing with iron plough | 45 | 45 |
| Application of farm yard manure | 100 | 100 |
| Use of certified seed | 100 | 100 |
| Proper seed rate | 100 | 100 |
| Proper spacing | 45 | 45 |
| Fertilization—both basal+top dressing | 35 | 35 |
| Basal dose only | 13 | 13 |
| Top dressing only | 12 | 12 |
| Intercultivation and weeding | 96 | 96 |
| Removal of side tillers | 1 | 1 |
| Plant protection measures | 58 | 58 |

iron plough to plough the land and took plant protection measures. Only one per cent of the farmers adopted the practice-removal of side tillers. Sixty per cent of the farmers used fertiliser at different levels. Since application of recommended dose of fertilizers plays an important role in increasing production, the practice was studied in detail by calculating adoption index separately.

Number of farmers adopting fertilizer application:

It is seen that 40 per cent of the farmers applied recommended dose of fertilizers. The remaining 51 per cent of the farmers applied fertilizer at diffe-

opting recommen,

| Respondents | |
|-------------|----------|
| No. | Per cent |
| 45 | 45 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 100 | 100 |
| 45 | 45 |
| 35 | 35 |
| 13 | 13 |
| 12 | 12 |
| 96 | 96 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 58 | 58 |

and and took
. Only one
adopted the
illers. Sixty
d fertiliser at
application of
ilizers plays
sing produc-
ied in detail
index sepe-

ting ferti-

cent of the
ded dose of
51 per cent
zer at diffe-

rent levels but not as recommended. This was revealed by their low adoption index in that practice (Table 3).

In the present study none of the farmers followed the recommended schedule of plant protection measures. Plant protection measure was followed

by 58 per cent of the farmers once or more than once but never as per recommended schedule.

The reasons expressed by the respondents for the non-adoption and partial adoption of the practices are briefly discussed in the following paragraphs.

Table 3. Number of farmers adopting fertilizer application

| Fertilizers | Respondents | | Adoption |
|--|-------------|----------|----------|
| | No. | Per cent | |
| No fertilizer | 40 | 40 | — |
| Recommended dose of NPK | 9 | 9 | 100 |
| Recommended dose of N+ different levels of P and K | 5 | 5 | 55.3 |
| Top dressing recommended dose | 15 | 15 | 28.9 |
| Recommended dose of P+different levels of N and P | 13 | 13 | 57.0 |
| Recommended dose of K+different levels of N and P | — | — | — |
| Different levels of fertilizers apart from the above said categories | 18 | 18 | 32.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | — |

Hundred per cent of the farmers stated lack of knowledge as the reason for not adopting the practices like proper spacing and removal of side tillers. Eighty per cent of the farmers who did not apply fertilizer stated lack of finance to purchase as the reason for non-adoption. Majority of the non-adopters (79 per cent) of plant protection measures

stated that there was no pest and disease attack, hence not adopted the practice. This could be attributed to the lack of adequate information about the benefit of plant protection measures. Fiftyeight per cent of the non-adopters expressed their doubt about the superiority of iron plough over the local and 42 per cent complaint about the

Table 4. Reasons for non-adoption of recommended practices

| Practices not adopted | No. of farmers | Reasons cited by the farmers | No. of farmers cited | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------|----------|
| | | | No. | Per cent |
| Deep ploughing with iron plough | 55 | a) Not proved superior over the local | 32 | 58 |
| | | b) Cost of the implement is high | 23 | 42 |
| Proper spacing | 55 | Lack of knowledge | 55 | 100 |
| Fertilizer application | 40 | a) Organic manures better to the crop | 4 | 10 |
| | | b) Organic manures available in plenty | 4 | 10 |
| | | c) Lack of finance to purchase | 32 | 80 |
| Removal of side tillers | 99 | Lack of knowledge | 99 | 100 |
| Plant protection measures | 42 | a) No pest and disease attack | 33 | 79 |
| | | b) Non-availability of finance and spraying equipments | 9 | 21 |

high cost of the iron plough as reasons for not using the same.

Fifty six per cent of the farmers were not fully convinced about the benefits of the use of recommended

dose of fertilizer. Lack of finance was also expressed by 29 per cent of the farmers as reason, for partial adoption. Majority of the partial adopters (31 per cent) stated that they had not adopted the practice as recommended because

Table 5. Reasons cited by the farmers for partial adoption of recommended practices

| Practices | No. of farmers | Reasons cited by the farmers for partial adoption | Farmers cited | |
|---------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|----------|
| | | | No. | Per cent |
| Fertilizer application | 55 | a) Not fully convinced about the practice | 31 | 56 |
| | | b) Lack of finance | 16 | 29 |
| | | c) No timely guidance by extension agency | 2 | 4 |
| | | d) No incentives from the government | 6 | 11 |
| Plant protection measures | 58 | a) Lack of finance and equipments | 15 | 26 |
| | | b) No incentives from the government | 13 | 22 |
| | | c) No timely guidance by extension agency | 18 | 31 |
| | | d) There was no pest and disease attack | 12 | 21 |

there was no timely guidance by extension agency. The other reasons advanced by them were lack of purchasing power, little knowledge about the occurrence of pests and diseases. Similar findings were reported by Bedi and Saxena (1965), Rai (1965), Rajagopalan and Jaspal Singh (1967) and Basram and Capner (1968) who identified lack of capital and lack of knowledge as reasons for non-adoption.

| farmers cited |
|---------------|
| Per cent |
| 58 |
| 42 |
| 100 |
| 10 |
| 10 |
| 80 |
| 100 |
| 79 |
| 21 |

ance was
nt of the
adoption.
s (31 per
adopted
because

| farmers cited |
|---------------|
| Per cent |
| 56 |
| 29 |
| 4 |
| 11 |
| 26 |
| 22 |
| 31 |
| 21 |

REFERENCES

BEDI, I. S. and Saxena, 1965. Improved agricultural practices behaviouristic pattern of farmers in U. P. *A. I. C. C., Eco. Rev.*, 16. 21-3.

BASRAM, G. S. and HAROLD R. CAPNER 1968. Factors related to the acceptance of new ideas and techniques in farming, Punjab, India. *Indian J. Extn. Edu.*, 4.

RAI, M. N. 1965. Diffusion of information and farmers response in relation to an improved farm practice (hybrid maize). *Indian J. Extn. Edu.*, 1: 140.

RAJAGOPALAN, C. and JASPAL SINGH. 1967. *Adoption of Agricultural Innovation* (A sociological study). Dept. Humanities Soc. Sci., Indian Inst. Technology, New Delhi.