No. 10-12

cultural agen-

farmers in a

. Agr. Exp.

ne extension

duction and

sis. IARI.

Utilization

as selected

d. J. Extn.

YS. 1955.

U. S. D. A.

gton. D.C.

Madras agric. J. 62 (10-12): 687-690, Oct-Dec., 1975.

Effectiveness of Sources and Channels for the Adoption of Package of Practices for Sugarcane

Ву

K. NANJAIYAN1, V. SRINIVASAN2 and J. OLIVER3

in the case of selectiTDARTSBA (varieties and season

The study was designed to find out the effectiveness of sources and channels in the adoption of improved agricultural practices with respect to sugarcane cultivation. The study revealed that for the practices like seed treatment, intercropping with sunnhemp, foliar spray with urea and plant protection measures, most of the respondents utilized the channels followed by informal and formal sources and personal experience. Radio accounted very much to the adoption of almost all the practices for sugarcane crop.

INTRODUCTION devices noitspinumage

The various research findings indicate that farmers use different sources of information and channels of communication in the adoption of innovations. This study was taken up to find out the effectiveness of the sources and channels in the adoption of improved agricultural practices with respect to sugarcane cultivation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS HIM WITH

The study was undertaken in Karamadai block of Coimbatore district. Importance of the sources of information are (1) formal source like Deputy Agricultural officer (Extension), Deputy agricultural officer (Sugarcane), viillage level workers, (2) informal sources

like friends and village leaders, (3) channals of communication like radio, demonstration, literature and (4) personal experience, were taken to assess their effectiveness for the adoption of improved agricultural practices with respect to sugarcane cultivation. The respondents were selected by proportionate sampling technique based on the total number of sugarcane growers in the selected villages. A total of 120 respondents were selected from the list of farmers who have cultivated sugarcane in the year 1971-72 at random. The percentage analysis was used as statistical interpretations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The percentage analysis in Table 1 reveals that for all the package of pra-

^{1.} Instructor 2. Associate Professor and 3. Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003.

Oct-

ctices of Sugarcane crop except variety and season, seed rate and spacing and fertilizer application, most of the respondents utilized the channels followed by informal and formal sources and personal experience. In the case of selection of variety and season, the farmers utilised informal sources followed by channels and formal sources. In adopting the seed rate and spacing, channels ranked first in the utilization followed by personal experience of the farmers and informal and formal sources. With regard to fertilizer application the farmers utilized the channels for gaining information followed by personal experience, informal and formal sources.

Thus it is apparent that radio accounted very much to the adoption of almost all the practices namely seed treatment, seed rate and spacing, fertilizer application, intercropping with sunnhemp, foliar spray with urea and plant protection measures (Table 1). In the case of practice, however, selection of varieties and season, neighbours and friends were found to be the most important sources for the adoption. Neighbours and friends ranked second next to the radio in the adoption of the practices like seed treatment, inter-cropping with sunnhemp, foliar spray with urea and plant protection measures. But the sources personal experience ranked

second next to radio in the adoption of practices like seed rate and spacing and fertilizer application. Radio ranked second next to neighbours and friends in the adoption of the pactice, selection of variieties and season. This showed that there was slight variation in utilization of sources and channels from practice to practice. These findings are in agreement with findings of Hoffer (1946) and Sharma (1966) who generalised that mass media are supporting communication devices in the adoption of improved practices.

Similarity was also noticed in the utilization of sources and channels for the practices seed treatment and plant protection measures, intercropping with sunnhemp and foliar spray with urea and seed rate and spacing and fertilizer application. There was no similarity in the utilization of sources and channels for the practices, but there was similarity in utilization of Deputy agricultural Officer (Extension) and Government literature for the practices variety and season and seed rate and spacing. Similarity was also noticed in the utilisation of Government literature and training, for the practices fertilizer application and foliar spray with urea.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The senior author expresses his gratitude to the Tamil Nadu Agricultural Unidoption of

ranked or ranked or ranked or ranked selection selection or ranked on in utilinels from or ranked or ranke

ed in the nnels for and plant oing with ith urea fertilizer ilarity in channels s similaicultural ent liteety and g. Simiilisation training, lication

is grati-

ral Uni-

Oct-Dec., 1975]

Table 1. Effectiveness of sources and channels for the adoption of different practices

rees and communication shows in edge	- 101 MOTHY ALL SHI HERODO				-	-	
Sourcess and channels	Varieties and season (%)	Seed treat- ment (%)	Seed rate and spacing (%)	Fertilizer application(%)	Intercropping with sunn-hemp (%)	Foliar spray with urea (%)	Plant protection measure
account.	n=120	n=91	n=92	n=89	n=97	n=83	n = 92
Sources							
Formal sources							
Deputy Agricultural Officer (Extension)	19.17	16.48	20.65	16.85	9.28	10.84	19.5
Deputy Agricultural Officer (Sugarcane)	6.66	4.40	10.87	7.87	5.15	7.23	7.6
Village Level worker	23,33	8.79	17.39	15.73	12.37	14.46	14.1
Other Agricultural department staff	2.50	4.40	2.17	0.00	5.12	6.02	3.2
Office Management							
nformal sources							
Neighbours and friends	72.50	46.15	70.65	76.40	57.73	50.60	58.
Village leaders	0.83	_	1.09	1.12	-	1.20	-
Web-of-word-of mouth	1.66	2.20	3.26	17.98	24.74	21.69	7.
Fertilizer and pesticide agents	2.50	1.10	1.09	4.17	on sink	-	1.
Channels							oter
Demonstration	ouro a.	1.10	2.17	(RL)	1.03	ago ni es	1.
Radio	43.33	72.53	76,09	79.78	62.89	57.83	76.
Exhibition	1.66	predi	ice by	atilizino	channe	ls and	nier
Government literature	18.33	21.98	19.57	28.09	17.53	16.86	22.
Written materials issued by other agenci	es 1.66	9.89	7.61	8.99	7.22	8.43	11.
Tours and visits	ISTRICE	80.11	1.09	1.12		-	
Lecture	OSIVE .	1.10	1.09	13.48	18.56	0011-	2.
Training	TC B TO	20.88	8.70	17.98	8.25	15.66	21.
Personal experience	llage s -	6	72.83	77.53	2.06	3.61	1.

NB: Multiple responses are taken. So the percentage may not add upto 100

Madr

to ag nis tu De fa m W

th

versity. Coimbatore for according per- annu SHARMA, D. K. 1966. Role of Information mission to publish the work which formed part of the M.Sc.(Ag.) dissertation.

REFERENCES

HOFFER, C. R. 1946, Social organisation in relation to extension service. Mich. Agrl. Expt. Stn. Spl. Bull.

sources and communication channels in adop. tion of improved practices by farmers in M. P. State. Indian J. Extn. 2: 140-8.