Combining Ability Analysis of Yield and Its Certain Components in Pea* Components in Pea*

By

K. DASI and HRIDAY KUMAR2

ABSTRACT

Combining ability from parents, F_1 and F_2 generations was analysed from diallel crosses with five diverse varieties of pea, viz., T 163, Duke of Albany, Bonneville, Perfection new line and Satha. Yield and a few important components of yield studied. Though variance due to general and specific combining ability was significant, gca variance was predominant for all the characters except seed yield per plant for which sca variance was higher. The per se performance of the parents and crosses was not usually associated with the combining ability effects. Attempts to isolate pure lines from advanced generations for most of the characters may be worthwhile from crosses Bonneville x Satha. Perfection new line x Satha and Duke of Albany x Satha.

INTRODUCTION

In peas, variability with regard to yield and its components is yet to be adequately studied although some information is available on certain aspects of vield and its components from the work of Krarup and Davis (1970, 1970a), Marx and Mishanec (1962, 1967) and Zlamal (1972). Since information on some of the components is useful in adopting suitable breeding methodology, a study was undertaken to analyse com bining ability of five diverse varieties of garden pea commonly in use in this area. Results of an analysis of data from parents and F, and F, generations are described herein.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Diallel crosses were made between five diverse varieties of pea, T 163, Duke of Albany (DA), Bonneville (Bv), Perfection new line (PNL) and SATHA (S), a local variety. The 5 parents, 10 F₁ and 10 F₂ progenies were sown in the field in 1971-72 with 3 replications in a randomised block design. statistical anlysis of the parents and Fi data from the year 1970-71 showed no significant reciprocal difference (Kumar, 1973,) reciprocal crosses were not considered. Seeds were space planted 20 cm apart in 3m long rows. Each row was spaced 60cm apart. The parents and Fi had one row each whereas each F2 pro-

^{*} Part of a Ph. D. Thesis submitted to the Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi in 1973 by the second author.

¹ Participant in the UGC Scheme of retired teachers 2 Senior Research Fellow in the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005.

geny had 3 rows. Ten plants from each plot of parent and F₁ and 50 plants from each plot of F₂ in each replication were selected at random. Data on numbers of primary branches, pods per plant, seeds per pod, pod length, 25 seed weight and seed yield per plant, were recorded. Combining ability analysis from F₁ and F₂ data were made separately according to Griffing (1956), method II, model 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance for combining ability was carried out separately with F₁ and F₂ data (Table 1). The total genetic variance among the parents and crosses was partitioned into gca and sca components. Gca variance was significant for number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod while only sca variance was significant for seed yield

per plant. Both gca and sca variances were significant in F₁ and F₂ for number of primary branches, and 25 seed weight. sca variances were significant in F₂ for length of pod also.

Estimates of gca effects are presented in Table 2. High positive gca effect was shown by SATHA for number of primary branches, T. 163 for number of pods per plant, Duke of Albany for 25-seed weight and Bonneville for length of pod. SATHA also gave maximum gca effect for number of seeds per pod, although Perfection new line was a good general combiner for length of pod and number of seeds per pod. Though not significant, Duke of Albany and SATHA showed maximum promise for seed yield per plant.

TABLE 1 ANOVA of combining ability for yield and yield components in a 5x5 diallel set of pea

Sources of	DF	Gene-	Characters — M. S. value X v8 bms (]							
seg in betroger	ents ere const	ration e	No. of pri- mary bran- ches	No. of pods per plant	Length of pod	No. of seeds per pod	25-seed weight	Seed yield per plant		
General combining	4	(Frer)	0.59**	28.61**	1.41**	0.61**	0.45**	7 81		
ability 10 Idego		F ₂ visible	0 33**	28.96**	2.17	0.47**	0 55**	2.91		
Specific combining	10	ser tosi	0.06*	4.51	0.06	0.05	0.10**	12.54**		
ability		F ₂ elvsO	0.06*	2.27	2.91**	0.03	0.14**	4. 87**		
Error & maid dimo	28	F ₁	0.02	2.36	0.03	0.02	0.02	2.93		
		F ₂ coasb	0.02	1.96	0.01	0.02	0.03	1.69		
gca/sca ed lo -	o ige	FredTon	9.83	6.34	19.00 bas	12.20	4.52	0.62		
- nother se perfor-		F ₂ bess	5.50	12.75	0.74	15.67	3.96	0.59		

^{*} Significant at 5%

^{**} Significant at 1%

TABLE 2. Estimates of gca effects for yield and yield components in a 5x5 diallel set of pea

	ionsid.	Albany	Bonne- ville	Perfection new line	Satha	SE gi	n + SE
900	0.206*	0.077	-0.194*	-0.394**	0.306**	0.048	2.293** ± 0.038
-	0.070	-0.003	-0.174*	-0.217*	0.326**	0.048	2.250** + 0.036
=,	2.326*	1.243	-2.043*	-2.186*	0.657	0.52	26.000** ± 0.388
	1.980*	1.566*	-1.966*	-2.406**	0.826	0.43	24.100** ± 0.361
og i	_0 .6 34**	-0.006	0.280*	0.4086**	-0.0486	0.062	6.64** + 0.475
SHIT			0.317**	0.3890**	-0.0543	0.032	6.13** + 0.024
F.	-0.134	-0.420**	0.009	0.237**	0.309**	0.050	4.20** + 0.04
0 8		-0.351**	0.077	0.263**	0.191*	0.048	4.03** ± 0.037
enr	-0.0229	0.3871**	-0.0100	-0.0257	-0.3249**	0.046	5.27** + 0 .036
9		d li mo		0.0191	-0.3594**	0.054	5.93** ± 0.04
0 1		BH-101 300	-1.4300	-0.6057	1.3057	0.5787	24.45** + 0.44
JAN .						0.4401	
	F ₁ F ₂ F ₁ F ₂ F ₁ F ₂ F ₁	F_1 0.070 F_1 2.326* F_2 1.980* F_1 —0.634** F_2 —0.583** F_1 —0.134 F_2 —0.180* F_1 —0.0229 F_2 —0.0770 F_1 0.1686	F_1 0.070 -0.003 F_1 2.326* 1.243 F_2 1.980* 1.566* F_1 -0.634** -0.006 F_2 -0.583** -0.0114 F_1 -0.134 -0.420** F_2 -0.180* -0.351** F_1 -0.0229 0.3871** F_2 -0.0770 0.4206** F_1 0.1686 0.5629	F_1 0.070 -0.003 -0.174* F_2 1.980* 1.243 -2.043* F_3 1.980* 1.566* -1.966* F_4 -0.634** -0.006 0.280* F_2 -0.583** -0.0114 0.317** F_4 -0.134 -0.420** 0.009 F_2 -0.180* -0.351** 0.077 F_1 -0.0229 0.3871** -0.0100 F_2 -0.0770 0.4206** -0.0038 F_1 0.1686 0.5629 -1.4300	F_1 0.206 0.077 0.174* -0.217* F_2 0.070 -0.003 -0.174* -0.217* F_1 2.326* 1.243 -2.043* -2.186* F_2 1.980* 1.566* -1.966* -2.406** F_1 -0.634** -0.006 0.280* 0.4086** F_2 -0.583** -0.0114 0.317** 0.3890** F_1 -0.134 -0.420** 0.009 0.237** F_2 -0.180* -0.351** 0.077 0.263** F_1 -0.0229 0.3871** -0.0100 -0.0257 F_2 -0.0770 0.4206** -0.0039 0.0191 F_1 0.1686 0.5629 -1.4300 -0.6057	F_1 0.200 0.071 -0.003 -0.174* -0.217* 0.326** F_2 0.070 -0.003 -0.174* -0.217* 0.326** F_3 1.980* 1.566* -1.966* -2.406** 0.826 F_4 -0.634** -0.006 0.280* 0.4086** -0.0486 F_2 -0.583** -0.0114 0.317** 0.3890** -0.0543 F_4 -0.134 -0.420** 0.009 0.237** 0.309** F_2 -0.180* -0.351** 0.077 0.263** 0.191* F_4 -0.0229 0.3871** -0.0100 -0.0257 -0.3249** F_4 -0.0770 0.4206** -0.0039 0.0191 -0.3594** F_4 -0.1686 0.5629 -1.4300 -0.6057 1.3057	F_1 0.208 0.077 0.174* -0.217* 0.326** 0.048 F_2 0.070 -0.003 -0.174* -0.217* 0.326** 0.048 F_3 1.243 -2.043* -2.186* 0.657 0.52 1.980* 1.566* -1.966* -2.406** 0.826 0.43 F_4 -0.634** -0.006 0.280* 0.4086** -0.0486 0.062 F_2 -0.583** -0.0114 0.317** 0.3890** -0.0543 0.032 F_4 -0.134 -0.420** 0.009 0.237** 0.309** 0.050 F_2 -0.180* -0.351** 0.077 0.263** 0.191* 0.048 F_3 -0.0770 0.4206** -0.0100 -0.0257 -0.3249** 0.046 F_4 -0.0770 0.4206** -0.0039 0.0191 -0.3594** 0.054 F_4 -0.1686 0.5629 -1.4300 -0.6057 1.3057 0.5787

^{*} Significant at 5%

Estimates of sca effects are presented in Table 3. In case of number of primary branches, crosses T 163 x DA (F₁) and Bv x PNL in both F₁ and F₂ while for number of pods per plant the cross T 163 x Bv (F1) showed high significant positive effects. Maximum promise for length of pod was shown by crosses By x S in F, and T 163x By in F2. With regard to the number of seeds per pod, only Bv x S showed high positive sca effects in F₁. In case of 25seed weight crosses T 163 x Bv. DA x Dv and PNLxS showed significant positive sca effects in both F1 and F2 . Crosses T 163 x Bv (F1), DA x S (F1) and DA x Bv (F₂) indicated high sca effects for seed yield per plant.

The results show that for all the important components of yield, there is a preponderance of additive gene action although a substantial contribution of non-additive components is also indicated. Similar results were reported in pea by Marx and Mishanec (1962, 1967) and Zlamal (1972) although predominant non-additive component for seed yield per plant was not observed by (Krarup and Davis 1970, 1970a).

The estimates of combining ability provide guidance in choosing parents for hybridization. The choice of the parents should be based on the *per se* performance of the parents, crosses, and combining ability effects. Results indi-

^{**} Significant at 1%

TABLE 3. Estimates of SCA effects for yield and yield characters in a 5x5 diallel set of pea

T163xDA	T163xBv	T163xPNL	T163xS	DAxBv	DAXPN	L DAxs	BVXPNL	BvxS	PNLxS	S.E.si
Further			hns ANO	. of pri	mary bra	nches		Plant Bre	Inc mino s	
0.420*	0.290*	-0.110	-0.010	-0.080		0.120	0.290*	-0.110	-0.210	0.130
0.170	-0.100	-0.010	-0.260	0.110	-0.140	-0.090	0.430**	-0.210	0.330*	0.120
			No No	of poo	is per pla	ant				
1.728	4.213*	-0.544	1.313	-0.601	0.442	-1.001	1.028	-1.815	1.328	1.34
-0.550	0.580	-0.480	2.000	0.090	-0.660	-0.790	0.960	-2.260	-2.220	1.22
			Lo	ngth of	nod					
0.133	0.248	0.319	-0.024	-0.181	0.191	0.048	.0.095	0.362*	-0.167	0.160
0.181	0.253*	0.081	Y 101 Villed		-0.190*	-0.247*	-0.219*	0.024	0,053	0.083
			Na.		ents	compon				
0.050	0.210	0.100			ds per po			arents dis		
-0.052 -0.095	0.219	-0.109	0.219	-0.195 0.047	-0.024 0.238	0.205	0.134	0.334*	-0.052	0.130
-0.095	.0.024	-0.010	-0.136	0.047	0.230	0.133	0.233	Cylogene	-U.201	RAMU)
			25-	seed w	eight					
0.017	0.364*	0.229	-0.409**	-0.354*	0.169	-0.153	-0.043	-0.436**	0.379*	0.120
0.270	0.334*	0.248	-0.154	0.443*	0.450*	-0 211	-0.045	-0.377*	-0.360*	0.143
			Se	ed vield	per plan	nt				
-1.39	6.33**	1.04	1.29	0.01	2.42	4.43*	0.74	-0.42	1.53	1.49
-2.53	1.26	0.19	2.42	3.84**	0.21	-2.08	0.54	-3.15*	1.14	1.14

^{*} Significant at 5%

Upper and lower values correspond to F₁ and F₂ respectively.

cated that the *per se* performance of the parents and crosses was not usually associated with the combining ability effects. Similar results were observed in cotton by Singh and Gupta (1970) although Miller and Marani (1963) reported contrary results. The present study has indicated that on the basis of *per se* performance and combining ability the crosses Bv x S, PNL x S and DA x S merit study for isolation of superior progeny.

Where both additive and non-additive components are important, reciprocal recurrent selection may be a useful method of breeding. This method may not, however, be effective in a highly self fertilized crop like pea. As already suggested by Athwal and Borlaug (1967) in wheat and Singh and Singh (1970) in peas, isolation of desirable pure lines from advanced generation of the selected crosses, exploiting mainly additive components, is likely to be helpful in the present material.

^{**} Significant at 1%

REFERENCES

- ATHWAL, D. S. and N. E. BORLAUG. 1967. Genetic male sterility in wheat breeding. Ind.

 J. Genet. Plant Breeding 27: 136-42.
- GRIFFING B. 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 9: 463-93.
- KRARUP, A. and D. W. DAVIS. 1970. Genetic control of Ovule Number in peas (Pisum sativum L.). Crop Sci. 10: 517-18.
- KRARP, A. and D. W. DAVIS. 1970a. Inheritance of seed yield and its important components in a six parents diallel cross in peas. J. 900 Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 95:795-7.
- KUMAR, H. 1973. Cytogenetical studies on certain translocation and mutant lines and diallel analysis of yield and yeild components in pea. Ph. D. thesis, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (Unpublished.)

- MARX, G. A. and W. MISHANEC. 1962. Inheritance. of ovule number in *Pisum sativum* L. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort, Sci.* 80: 462-467-
- MARX. G.A. and W. MISHANEC, 1967. Further studies on the inheritance of ovule number in pisum. Crop Sci. 7: 236-39.
- MILLER, P. A. and MARANI, A. 1963. Heterosis and combining ablilty analysis in diallel cross of upland cotton. *Crop Sci.* 3: 441-45.
- SINGH, R. B. and M. P. GUPTA. 1970. Combining ability for yield characters in upland cotton. Ind. J. Genet. Plant Breeding 30:608-18.
- SINGH, T. P. and K. B. SINGH. 1970. Heterosis in field pea. *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed*ing 30:619-24.
- ZLAMAL, P. 1972. (Testing the effects of additivity and dominance in peas by a gecetical analysis of variance). Genetika a Slechtene. 8 (4): 283-290.