A Study on the Inter-relationship of Lint Quantity Genes in G. arboreum L.

by
M. KADAMBAVANASUNDARAM 1 and P. VELUSWAMY 2

Introduction: The genetic basis of lint production in Asiatic cotton is extremely wide and is governed by a number of genes. Occurrence of lintless types in Asiatic cottons has made it possible to identify some of the genes responsible for lint production. So far, eight lintless mutants have been noted and their genetics studied. Hutchinson and Gadkhari (1937) recognised two types of behaviour which resulted in lintlessness. In the most extreme case, the whole hairiness mechanism of the plant is disturbed including production of lint hairs, resulting in glabrous lintless types. This is governed by two recessive genes ha and ho which are, however, complementary to each other for development of hairiness. In the second case, plant body hairs develop normally but the production of lint hairs is controlled. A number of recessive genes like lia, liu, lid, lie and lif have been identified to be responsible for the hairy lintless types. Ramiah and Kaiwar (1942) from further studies in the genetics of lintlessness in Asiatic cotton, recognised a third type of behaviour which brings about a general reduction in the growth rate from flowering time onwards and the arrest of lint development happens to be one of the effects of this gene. The gene responsible for this pleotropic effect was found to express dominance. This was located G. arboreum race bengalense and was called the Punjab Hairy Lintless (Afzal and Hutchinson, 1933). The genetical relationship of this dominant mutant gene with other lintless mutants has been studied by Gadkhari (1950) and Krishnamurthy and Ramachandran (1964).

During a survey of Coconada Tract, a number of mutants affecting lint quantity and quality were located in the *indicum* race (Balasubramanian, et al 1946). CST-1 (Coconada Survey Type 1) is a short linted type governed by a recessive gene lish (Balasubramanian and Santhanam, 1952). CST-4 is an immature linted type governed by a recessive gene lim (Balasubramanian et al 1950). A sparse linted mutant, 1711, is governed by a recessive gene lish (Balasubramanian and Santhanam, 1950). A study on the genetical relationship of these three mutant types with the Punjab Hairy Lintless type was taken up and the results are presented in this paper.

Material and Methods: From the collection of economic types and mutant forms maintained at the Cotton Breeding Station, Coimbatore, the short linted CST-1, immature linted CST-4, sparse linted 1711 and Lintless

¹ and 2. Assistants in Cotton, Agrl. College & Research Institute, Coimbatore-3. Received on 24-7-68.

Downy (homozygous form of Punjab Hairy Lintless) besides K 2, a normal linted type, formed the material for this study. A short description, indicating the important characters in which these types differ, is given in Table 1

| - Parents | | Fibre characters | | | , T |
|----------------|---|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Types | Origin | Mean halo length (mm) | Ginning % | Lint Index (g) | Distinct Gene symbols |
| CST-1 | G arboreum race indicum | less than 10 | 6-9 | 0.4-0.6 | Short lint li _{sh} • |
| CST-4 | — do — | 15 | 5-9 | 0.3-0.4 | Immature fibre 1 m |
| 1711 | — do — | 10-15 | 3-4 | less than 0.2 | Sparse lint 11 _{sp} * |
| K. 2 | Inter racical derivative of indicum×cernuum | 23-25 | 31–32 | 3.0-3.5 | Normal lint |
| Lintless Downy | G.arboreum race indicum | ! . | . i . | | Lintless li _e @ |

TABLE 1. Parents, their characters and their Gene symbols

Observations were made on F₁s, F₂s and the test cross progeny of the following combinations:

i) CST-1×Lintless Downy, ii) CST-4×Lintless Downy, iii) 1711× Lintless Downy and iv) K.2×Lintless Downy. Reciprocal crosses were also made. The test crosses were effected with both the parents and studied. The segregants were classified into phenotypic groups by visual observations and from the study of their fibre characters like halo length, ginning per cent and lint indices as indicated by Kalyanaraman et al (1956).

Results: The different classes of segregants in each of the combinations and the X² values on the expected ratios are presented in Table 2. In F₁s all of them were fuzzy lintless.

In the F₁s, the CST-1×Lintless Downy gave a 13:3:48 ratio for normal, short and lintless. CST-4×Lintless Downy gave a similar ratio of 13:3:48 for normal, immature and lintless types. The 1711×Lintless Downy combinations segregated into linted, sparse and lintless types in the ratio of 15:1:48. The normal linted K. 2 was found to be a simple recessive to the Lintless Downy giving a 1:3 ratio for linted and lintless types. The reciprocals also behaved in a similar manner.

^{*} Balasubramaniam et al (1952, 1950a and 1950b) @Hutchinson and Gadkari (1937)

Backcross progenies with the recessive parents gave a 1:1:2 for linted, short and lintless, a 1:1:2 ratio for linted, immature lint and lintless, a 3:4:1 for linted, lintless and sparse and a 1:1 ratio for linted and lintless, respectively for the short, immature, sparse and linted combinations.

TABLE 2. Class of Segregants

| Combinations | | | egants | Ex- pected ratio | X ² value | P between |
|---|----------------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| I. Crosses with Sho | rt lint | | | | | |
| Section of the s | Linted | Short | Lintless | - | | |
| CST-1×LLD-12 | . 11 | 1 | 38 | 13:3:48 | 0.805 | 0.70 - 0.50 |
| CST-1×LLD-13 | 11 | 1 | 46 | — do — | 1.268 | 0 70 - 0.50 |
| CST-1×LLD-14 | 29 | 8 | 96 | — do — | 0.816 | 0.70 - 0.50 |
| CST-1×LLD-15 | 17 | 8 | 78 | - do - | 2.867 | 0.30 - 0 20 |
| CST-1×LLD-18 | 33 | 5 | 119 | — do — | 1.171 | 0.70 - 0 50 |
| Total for family | 101 | 23 | 377 | - do - | 0.035 | 0.98 - 0.9 |
| LLD×CST-1 | 9 | 1 | 24 | — do — | 0.952 | 0.70 - 0.50 |
| LLD(CST-I×LLD) | | | 56 | | , j - 1 | |
| CST-1 (CST-1×LLD) | 23 | 17 | 46 | 1:1:2 | 1.256 | 0.70 - 0.50 |
| CST-4×LLD-29 CST-4×LLD-30 Total for family LLD (CST-4×LLD) | 14 39 53 | 3 7 | 57 103 160 43 | 13:3:48 — do — — do — | 0.174 5.482 2.750 | 0.95 - 0.90 0.10 - 0.03 0.30 - 0.20 |
| CST-4 (CST-4×LLD) | 16 | 14 | 25 | 1:1:2 | 0.581 | 0.80 - 0.70 |
| III. Crosses with spar | se lint | | | * | | |
| | Linted | Sparse | Lintless | | | |
| 1711×LLD | 28 | 2 | 72 | 15:1:48 | 0.829 | 0.70 - 0.50 |
| LLD×1711 | 27 | 1 | 84 | - do - | 0.380 | 0.90 - 0.80 |
| LLD (1711×LLD) | | - | 61 | | - | 120 A - 120 A - 1 |
| 1711 (1711×LLD) | 18 | 5 | 29 | 3:1:4 | 0.807 | 0.70 - 0.50 |
| | nal lint | | ÷ 1 | | | |
| IV. Crosses with norr | - 2.2 | ited . | Lintless | | | |
| IV. Crosses with norr | Lit | | | | | 2.000 |
| IV. Crosses with norr | 1000 | 79 | 198 | 1:3 | 1.811 | 0.10 - 0.05 |
| | | 79 12 | 198 36 | 1:3 do | 1.811 | 0.10 - 0.05 |
| LLD×K.2 | | | F 10. 10. | | 1.811 | 0.10 - 0.05 |

Note: i) The lintless class contained both downy and fuzzy lintless seeds.

ii) LLD-Lintless Downy.

All backcrosses to the Lintless Downy gave only lintless types. The observed frequencies gave a good fit on the basis of assumed ratios.

Discussion: The Genetics of Punjab Hairy Lintless mutant was of considerable interest as it was found to be dominant mutant unlike other lintless mutants which were recessives (Afzal and Hutchinson, 1933). Gadkhari (1950) from his further studies on this lintless type reported that in Fas of the various crosses which happened to be both inter-racial and inter-specific, there was significant excess of the hairy linted segregants over the ratio expected on the basis of the simple gene segregation. Crosses with other lintless types gave a 13:51 ratio for linted and lintless types instead of a 3:13 ratio. This alteration in the ratio was attributed by him to the play of a member of the gene complex responsible for lint quantity behaving as modifier of the other hairy lintless types involved in the crosses. Silow (1939a) had established the presence of such lint quantity genes in Asiatic cottons by establishing a linted haha line (glabrous linted type). Krishnamurthy and Ramachandran (1964) using the Punjab Hairy Lintless (Lintless Downy) in their crosses with some other lintless types arrived at the segregation ratio of 13:51 ratio for linted and lintless types as indicated by Gadkhari (1950). In the present study the types used are distinguishable from lintless types and as such, the ratio that is expected on similar basis of supression due to the gene responsible for lintlessness in Lintless Downy will be 12:3:1 for lintless, linted and the respective mutant types. The results presented in Table 2 shows an excess of linted forms. This is possible if the assumption of the modifier action of the lint quantity genes as indicated by Gadkhari (1950) is extended to these cases also as with other lintless types. Here also, the homozygous form of the lint quantity genes appears to modify the expression of the genes lish and lm. But in the case of lisp, even heterozygous form of the lint quantity gene appears to act as modifier resulting in the 48:15:1 ratio for lintless, linted and sparse. This assumption is supported by the backcross ratio which is different with 1711. While it is 1:1:2 for linted, short or immature and lintless forms with CST-1 and CST-4 backcrosses, it is a modified ratio of 3:4:1 for linted intless and sparse, the heterozygous forms of lint quantity genes acting is modifiers in backcrosses to 1711. Thus, the magnitude of modifier action of he lint quantity genes appear to vary in the presence of different genes. This confirms the "Theory of Gene Interaction" postulated by Silow (1939b). According to him, the degree of dominance of a gene is a direct function of he magnitude of its effect. This magnitude of effect of a given quantity gene s again dependent upon the portion of the range of variation in which it s working. The distinction in the degree of dominance is thus, a direct result of differences in potency of the genes. A gene of low magnitude of effect will 10t appreciably alter the range in which a second dose of the same gene

will act. But, if the magnitude of effect of the gene is higher than the other gene, even the first dose (heterozygote) gives full saturation of character expression resulting in complete dominance.

The magnitude of effect of the lint quantity genes appear to be low in the presence of either lish or lm and requires a second dose of the genes, that is homozygosity, to express dominance over them. Whereas, even the heterozygous forms are potent enough to express dominance over the gene lish. Apparently, the magnitude of effect of the genes lish and lm is higher than lish in relation to the lint quantity genes. But the magnitude of effect of lint quantity genes in homozygous condition is higher than lish and lm though in heterozygous condition its effect on these genes are lower. On the other hand, even in heterozygous condition its effect is felt in the case of 1711. In any case, it appears to alter or effect the expression of all the three genes and counteracts their adverse effect in the production of lint of normal length, normal maturity and normal density.

Summary: The genetic relationship between the mutants like short lint, immature lint and sparse lint with the Lintless Downy spotted in G. arboreum L has been studied and the results discussed. Supporting evidence is furnished for the suppression effect on lint production by a dominant allele in the Lintless Downy. The F₂ segregation in crosses of short linted mutant and the immature mutant with the Lintless Downy was 48:13:3 for lintless, linted and short. In the case of sparse linted 1711, the segregation is found to be 48:15:1 for lintless, linted and sparse. Differential modifier action of a gene complex for lint quantity is attributed to the differential ratios obtained. The differential effect of the lint quantity genes is explained as due to the magnitude of effect in relation to other genes involved. A possible function of the gene complex for lint quantity in counteracting the adverse effects of some of the major genes in the production of lint of normal length, normal maturity and normal density is also indicated.

Acknowledgement: Grateful thanks are due to Mr. K. Kanniyan, Cotton Specialist, Coimbatore, for his critical examination and valuable suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- Afzal, M. and J. B. Hutchinson. 1933. "The Inheritance of 'lintless' in Asiatic cottons".

 Indian J. agric. Sci., 3:1124-32.
- Balasubramaniam, R., V. Ramasamy Mudaliar and C. Jagannatha Rao. 1946. A survey of the Coconadas Cotton in the Madras Presidency. Proc. 3rd Conf. Cott. Grow. Prob. in India, Indian Centt. Cott. Comtt. Bombay, 75-185.
- & V. Santhanam. 1950. Inheritance of Sparse lint mutant in Coconadas Cotton. Curr. Sci., 19:60-7.

- Sci., 21:16-7.
- ———, V. Santhanam and S. Mayandi Pillai. 1950. Inheritance of three new characters in the Coconadas Cotton. Indian Cott. Gr. Rev., 4:154-66
- Gadkhari, P. D. 1950. Further Studies in the Genetics of lintlessness. Indian J. agric. Sci., 20:415-20.
- and K. Ramiah. 1942: Further Studies on the Genetics of the Punjab Hairy Lintless. Indian J. Genet., 12:39-49.
- Hutchinson, J. B. and P. D. Gadkhari. 1937. The Genetics of lintlessness in Asiatic Cotton. J. Genet., 35: 161-75.
- Kalyanaraman, S. M., V. Santhanam and K. Kamachandran. 1956. Inheritance and Interrelation of mutant genes affecting Lint Length and Fibre Maturity in G. arboreum. Indian Cott. Gr. Rev., 10: 1-10.
- Krishnamurthi, J. K. and C. K. Ramachandran. 1964. Inheritance studies on Lintless Downy—a lintless mutant. Ibid., 18: 167-71.
- Ramiah, K. and D. R. Kaiwar. 1942. Studies on the Punjab Hairy Lintless mutant. Indian J. Genet., 2:98-110.
- Silow, R. A. 1939a. The genetics and taxonomic distribution of some specific lint quantity genes in Asiatic Cottons. J. Gent., 38:277-98.
- interaction. *Ibid*; 38:229-76.

A Preliminary Account of Pests of Apple in Madras

by

E. V. ABRAHAM 1 and M. D. PADMANABAN 2

In recent years, apple cultivation is getting an impetus on the Nilgiris and Kodaikanal hills in Madras. A number of pests have been noted attacking the apple and an account of them is given in this paper.

Literature on pests of apple in Europe and America is too voluminous to be cited. Misra (1919) lists about three dozen insects on apple in North India, some of the important ones being Lymantria obfuscata Wlk, Euproctis spp., Belippa laleana Mo., Laspeyresia pomonella L. and Myllocerus 11. pustulata Fst., Fletcher (1919) mentions Lithocolletis gonodes Meyr. as occurring on apple at Parachinar. Pruthi and Batra (1938) mention SanJose scale, Quadraspidiotus perniciosus C., the woolly aphis, Eriosoma lanigera H, the codling moth, Cydia (Laspeyresia) pomonella L. and a few other pests occurring on the

^{1.} Assistant Entomologist (Hill crops), Ootacamund.

^{2.} Assistant in Entomology, Ootacamund.