Night Soil Compost is as good as Farm Yard Manure for Paddy*

L. DHANARAJ¹ and R. SANKARANARAYANAN²

Synopsis: The results of trials carried out on paddy at Rice' Research Station, Tirur with night soil compost and farm yard manure on equal nitrogen basis are reported in this paper.

known and hence it is being widely converted into compost. This is one of the most valuable form of fertilizers as it is rich in nitrogen and all the necessary elements for plant growth. The night soil compost is a dark crumbly and inodorous material that is as valuable as cattle manure or even better. The nitrogen content of the compost ranges from 0.5-1.0 per cent. The farmers round about municipalities realise its value and there a is good demand for the compost produced. Experiments carried out in different parts of India with this form of fertilizer have shown that large increases in yields of crops are obtained by its application.

Materials and Methods: With a view to determining the relative merits of night soil compost and farm yard manure, an experiment was conducted at the Rice Research Station, Tirur in the year 1949—'50 with the following treatments:

- 1. No manure.
- 2. Night soil compost to supply 60 lb N per acre (0.24% to 0.73% N)
- 3. Farm yard manure to supply 60 lb N per acre (0.27% to 0.62% N).

The experiment was conducted in double crop wet lands in Sornavari and samba seasons with Co. 13 (Arupatham Kodai) and Co. 2 (Poombalai) and in single crop wet land in samba season with Co. 19 (Chingleput Sirumani) in randomised and replicated plots. At the end of three years, the residual effect of the application of night soil compost and farm yard manure was assessed. The yields vary in different years due to partial or complete failure of the North-East monsoon and lack of adequate irrigation. Annual rainfall all these years was much below average. In Sornavari season the yields were steady due to the limited area cultivated under lift irrigation. In 1951—'52 sornavari season the yields were low due to mealy bug attack. The results are presented below:

¹ Superintendent, 2 Assistant in Paddy, Rice Research Station, Tirur

^{*} Received on 5-8-1964.

SINGLE CROP WETLAND

Treatments:

- 1. No manure.
- Night soil compost to supply 60 lb N per acre.
- Farm yard manure to supply 60 lb N per acre.

1949-'50 : (Samba Beason

Rainfall : 44.29"

Planted : 16-10-

Variety : Co. 19

Harvested: 14-2-'50

Particulars	Prest- ment	Treat- ment	Treatment	Whether sig. or not Error Critical differences
Acre yield of grain in lb.	1509	2285	1853	1885 67.3 211 8
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	151.5	122.9	124.7 Yes 4.5 14.0
Acre yield of straw in lb.	1886	2679	2368	2310 138,6 436.5
Percentage on control	100.0	142.0	125.3	122.5 Yes 7.4 23.2
Flowering duration	134	133	133	the state of the same of the

Conclusion

: Grain 2, 3, 1

Straw 2, 3, 1

Visual observation: Crop growth was best in night soil compost plot followed by farm

yard manure and no manure plots.

1950-'51 : (Samba season)

Sown

Rainfall : 38.01"

Planted : 30-10-'50

Harvested: 25-2-'51

Particulars	Treat- Treat- Genl. Treat- Genl
etalasara, eta (nitaria	him taoquita lias adgin to neitecilgqu editio instri- lingsacov 1407/00ib1588 yasu427/bby of 7 41.450130.48
Acres is a control of the control of	10000 20010971 100025701 2011110 Xes 001312 214012
Acre yield of straw in 1b. Percentage on control (1)	2022 10 7 0 2206 fed 12444 2 2213 2 7 2210 622 121066 2 7 10000 0 2100 63 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 11
Flowering duration in days	100.0 109.0 119.3 109.4 CY68 2.6 38.2 C 100.1 100.1 100.0 1

Conclusion

waite Grain 3. 2. I wast . Stray to 3. 2. Aminus v anobastaira.

Visual observation: Treatment differences not apparant at the time of exepigrowth;

1951-'52 : (Samba senson)

Rainfall : 34.55"

Sown : 7-9-'51 Planted : 24-10-'51

Harvested: 12-2-'52

						200	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Particulars	Treat- ment 1	Treat- ment 2	Treat- ment 3	Genl. mean	Whether sig. or not	Standard	Critical difference P-0.05
Acre yield of grain in lb.	916	1372	1081	1123		14.0	45.0
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	149.8	118.1	122.7	Yes	1.6	5.0
Acre yield of straw in lb.	1624	2828	2000	2150		98.0	310.0
Percentage on control (I)	100.0	174.2	123.2	132.4	Yes	6.1	19.1
Flowering duration in days	128	128	128	***	devi		

Conclusion

: Grain 2, 3, 1

Straw 2, 2, 1

Visual observation: Farm yard manure plots and night soil compost plots appeared alike and had better growth than no manure plots.

1952-'53 : (Samba season - Residual effect)

Rainfall : 32.48"

Sown : 29-8-'52 Planted : 13-10-'52

Harvested: 11-2-'53

Particulars	Treat- ment 1	Treat- ment 2	Treat-, ment 3	Genl. mean	Whether sig.	Standard	Critical difference P-0.05
Acre yield of grain in lb.	1865	1778	2024	1889		45.3	144.0
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	95.2	108.5	101.2	Yes	2.4	7.7
Acre yield of straw in lb.	2113	1988 -	2201	2101		67.4	
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	94.1	104.1	99.4	No	3.2	***
Flowering duration days	125	125	125	***	374	***	***

Conclusion

: Grain 3, 1, 2

Straw: Not significant.

Visual observation: Treatment differences not evident during crop growth.

DOUBLE CROP WET LAND

Treatments:

1. No manure.

2. Night soil compost to supply 60 lb N per acre.

3. Farm yard manure to supply 60 lb N per acre.

1950-'51: (Samba season)

Rainfall: 38.01

Variety : Co.2

Sown Planted

30—9—'50 3-11—'50

Harvested 23-2-'51

various . Co.z					manage and	0100 20	
Particulars	Treat- ment 1	Treat- ment 2	Treat- ment 3	Genl. mean	Sig.	Standard Error.	Critical difference P=0.05
Acre yield of grain in lb	984	1037	1134	1052		17.3	54.4
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	105.4	115.3	106.9	Yes	1.8	5.5
Acre yield of straw in lb	1170	1430	1450	1350	4	26.3	83.2
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	122.2	123.9	115.3	Yes	2.3	7.1
Flowering duration in days	98	102	100	****	***	***	***

Conclusion

: Grain 3, 2, 1

Straw 3, 2, 1

Visual observation: Treatment differences not apparent during crop growth.

1951—'52: (Sornavari season) Rainfall: 34.55" Variety: Co. 13.		1		- 1	Sown on 25—4—15 Planted on 23—5— 5 Harvested 20—8—15				
Particulars	Treat- mont 1	Treat- ment 2	Treat- ment 3	Genl. mean	Whether Sig.	Standard Error.	Critical difference P=0.05		
Acre yield of grain in lb	1631	1958	1939	1844	-	63.0	197.0		
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	120.1	118.9.	133.0	Yes	3.8	12.1		
Acre yield of straw in lb	2769	3460	3314	3181	- ,"	64.0	200.0		
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	124.9	119.7	114.9	Yer	2.3	7.2		
Flowering duration in days	85	85	85	***	4.060		***		
1952—'53: (Sornavari season)		70(s. 111e	crop nau	an attack	Sown Planted Harves	27 5	-5'5		
1952—'53 : (Sornavari season)	Treat- ment	Treat- ment	Treat-	Genl.	Sown Planted Harves	27 5 ted 20	-5'5 -7'5 -9'5		
1952—'53: (Sornavari season) Rainfall: 32,48"	Treat-	Treat-	Treat-	Genl.	Sown Planted Harves	27 5	-5'5 -7'5 -9'5		
1952—'53: (Sornavari season) Rainfall: 32.48" Particulars	Treat- ment	Treat- ment	Treat-	Genl.	Sown Planted Harves	Error Error	Oritical difference 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,		
1952—'53: (Sornavari season) Rainfall: 32,48" Particulars Acre yield of grain in 1b	Treatment 1	Treat- ment	Treat- ment	Genl. mean	Sown Planted Harves	Standard Corp. 22	Oritical difference 101.0		
1952—'53: (Sornavari season) Rainfall: 32,48" Particulars Acre yield of grain in lb Percentage on control (1)	Treatment 1	Treat- ment 2	Treatment 3	Genl. mean	Nown Planted Harves	27 5 ted 20 Preor Preor 31.9	Oritical difference 101.0		
1952—'53: (Sornavari season) Rainfall: 32,48" Particulars Acre yield of grain in lb Percentage on control (1) Acre yield of straw in lb	Treat- ment 1 2701 100.0	Treat- ment 2 2995 110.9	Treat- ment 3	Genl. mean 2929 108,5	Nown Planted Harves	27 5- ted 20 purpuests 31.9 1.2	Oritical difference 101.0		
Particulars Particulars Acre yield of grain in lb Percentage on control (1) Acre yield of straw in lb Percentage on control (1)	Treat- ment 1 2701 100.0 2948	Treat- ment 2 2995 110.9 3387	Treat- ment 3 3091 114.5 3181	Genl. mean 2929 108,5 3172	Nos	27 5 ted 20 prepugg 31,9 1.2 60.3	-5-'5 -7-'5 -9-'5 -9-'5 -9-'5 -101.0 3.7 189.0		
Particulars Particulars Acre yield of grain in lb Percentage on control (1) Acre yield of straw in lb Percentage on control (1) Flowering duration in days	Treat- ment 1 2701 100.0 2948 100.0 86	Treat- ment 2 2995 110.9 3387 114.8 85	Treat- ment 3 3091 114.5 3181 107.7 86	Genl. mean 2929 108.5 3172 107.5	Sown Planted Harves Vou 10 You You	27 5 ted 20 prepared 31,9 1.2 60.3 2.0	-5-55 -7-55 -9-55 -9-55 -9-6-6 -101.0 3.7 189.0		
Particulars Particulars Percentage on control (1) Acre yield of straw in 1b Percentage on control (1) Flowering duration in days Conclusion : Grain 3, Visual observations: Manured	Treat- ment 1 2701 100.0 2948 100.0 86	Treat- ment 2 2995 110.9 3387 114.8 85 Straw 2,	Treat- ment 3 3091 114.5 3181 107.7 86	Genl. mean 2929 108.5 3172 107.5	Sown Planted Harves 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	27 5- ted 20 purpurg 31.9 1.2 60.3 2.0	-5-55 -7-55 -9-55 legistro 101.0 3.7 189.0 6.4		
Particulars Particulars Acre yield of grain in lb Percentage on control (1) Acre yield of straw in lb Percentage on control (1) Flowering duration in days Conclusion : Grain 3, Visual observations: Manured compost	Treat- ment 1 2701 100.0 2948 100.0 86 2, 1	Treatment 2 2995 110.9 3387 114.8 85 Straw 2, better grefarm yard	Treat- ment 3 3091 114.5 3181 107.7 86	Genl. mean 2929 108.5 3172 107.5	Yes Yes Tellification and alike.	27 5- ted 20 prepuests 31.9 1.2 60.3 2.0	-5-5.5. -7-5.5. -9-5.5. Resignation of the constraint of the const		
Particulars Particulars Percentage on control (1) Acre yield of straw in 1b Percentage on control (1) Flowering duration in days Conclusion : Grain 3, Visual observations: Manured	Treat- ment 1 2701 100.0 2948 100.0 86 2, 1	Treatment 2 2995 110.9 3387 114.8 85 Straw 2, better grefarm yard	Treat- ment 3 3091 114.5 3181 107.7 86	Genl. mean 2929 108.5 3172 107.5	Sown Planted Harves 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	27 5- ted 20 parpurate 31.9 1.2 60.3 2.0	-5-'5 -7-'5 -9-'5 leoigid 101.0 3.7 189.0 6.4		

Particulars	Treat- ment	Treat- ment	Treat- ment	Genl. mean	Signifi- cant	Standard Error	Critical difference P=0.05
Acre yield of grain in lb ,	2880	3138	3077	3033	11.1-	36.4	112.2
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	109.0	106.9	105.3	Yes	1.3	3.9
Acre yield of straw in lb	5304	5597	5837	5578	1	133.9	
Percentage on control (1)	100.0	105.5	110.1	105.2	No	2.5	
Flowering duration in days	82	82	82	- :			

Conclusion : Grain 2, 3, 1 Straw-Not significant.

Visual observations: Manured plots had better growth than no manure plots. Night soil compost plots and farm yard manure plots looked alike.

Results and conclusions: From the results of six trials, it is seen that with regard to grain yield, in two trials night soil compost has given statistically higher yield than farm yard manure and no manure; in two trials farm yard manure has given statistically higher yield than night soil compost and no manure which were on a par and in two trials night soil compost and farm yard manure were on a par and statistically above no manure. With regard to straw yield night soil compost and farm yard manure were on a par in three trials, night soil compost was statistically superior to farm yard manure in two trials, and farm yard manure was statistically superior to night soil compost in one trial.

When residual effects were tested, it is seen that with regard to grain yield, night soil compost and farm yard manure had residual effect both being on a par in double crop wet land. In single crop wet land, farm yard manure alone had residual effect while night soil compost and no manure were on a par. With regard to straw yield treatment differences were not statistically significant. It may be concluded that night soil compost is as good as farm yard manure.

Summary: Trials carried out at the Rice Research Station, Tirur in the years 1949—'50 to 1953—'54 to determine the relative merits of night soil compost and farm yard manure for paddy are discussed. It has been concluded that for paddy night soil compost is as good as farm yard manure.