Breeding for high fertility response in Rice *

 b_3

V. SRINIVASAN 1 and A. SUBRAMANIAN 2

Synopsis: A unique achievement in the breeding of paddy strains for high fertility conditions with japonica x indica crosses is described in this paper.

Introduction: Breeding of improved strains that respond to high fertility has become one of the main objectives of the rice improvement programme in most of the Rice Research Centres of the Indian Union. With the increased amount of fertiliser application for obtaining higher yields, the problem of developing suitable varieties that respond to higher dose of fertilisers has assumed greater importance. In Madras, breeding work for development of improved rice strains that respond to high fertility was taken up during 1952, when it became one of the participating States for carrying out selection work with the hybrid progenies of the japonica x indica crosses made at the Central Rice Research Institute, Cuttack under the International Rice Hybridization Project. The success achieved in selection work done at Aduthurai centre in Madras State is discussed in this paper.

Review of Literature: The indica rice varieties, though hardy and widely adaptable, do not generally stand heavy fertilization which induces rank vegetative growth resulting in severe lodging (Ghose et al, 1960). In a study on the effect of heavy manuring of rice, Srinivasan and Rajagopalan (1956) observed that heavy manuring not only increased vegetative growth but also made the plants more prone to lodging resulting in increased amount of chaff.

The japonicas on the other hand are noted for their response to heavy fertilization. They were, however, found to be unsuitable for direct introduction as they were poor in growth and tillering habit producing one or two small earheads per plant (Ghose et al 1960). Realising the need for combining the high fertilizer response of japonica types with the hardiness and adaptation to the tropical conditions of indicas, work on inter-racial hybridisation was initiated at Cuttack during 1951 (Anon., 1960). Rajagopalan (1955) while studying the F₂ and F₃ generation of different sets of inter-racial crosses involving japonica and indica parents met with a few fertile hybrid progenies combining good tillering, thick culm and non-lodging habit and indicated the possibility of fixing up progenies that respond favourably well to high fertility. Subramanyam and Roy (1956) studied the F₂ generation of japonica x indica rice hybrids which showed segregation in respect to many characters like plant height and flowering duration. They recorded in the progenies, the expression of awn character and presence of coloured grains although the parents involved did not show these

¹ Paddy Specialist, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore - 3.

² Crop Breeding Assistant, Regional Research Station, Aduthurai.

^{*} Received on 5-8-1964.

TABLE 1.

Statement showing details of Crosses between japonica x indica studied and selections made (a) japonica x indica — F₂ Progenies received in 1952;

	· ·	1952-'53	1952-'53 1953-'54	1954-'55 1955-'56		1956-'57	1957~'58	1958-*59	1957-758 1958-759 1959-760	1960~,61	1961-'62 1962-'63	1962-'63
- 1	Farticulars of crosses	E.	Ē.	E.	æ.	Ec	$\mathbf{F_{7}}$	F.	\mathbb{F}_9	F,0	FII	F12
1,	GEB, 24 x Norin 1	H	8	nill	nil	liu	lin	liu	liu	lii	lia	nii
c;	Norin 6 x GEB. 24	-	20	36	36	53	39	31	12	က	eı	-
e	Norin 18 x GEB. 24	-4	53	101	523	78	48	41	17	10	9	*
4	GEB. 24 x Norin 23	-	30	11	48	38	45	31	12	10	9	-1
2	Asahi x GEB. 24	-	27	65	30	35	16	12	6	ဗ	-41	- 1
6.	CEB, 24 x Asshi		27	15	13	23	13	10	က	3	-	н
7	GEB. 24 x Rikk-u, 132	e e	18	31	24	21	14	18	6	7	က	4
ŝ	Rikk-u 132 x GEB. 24		33	38	63	38	Ð	33	16	7	10	4
6	GEB. 24 x Tihoku. 6	Н	38	45	- 45	11	19	15	10	9	eo	, -d
10.	GEB. 24 x Taiohu. 65	-	56	1	10	9	9	6	80	s	জ	4
(b)	F ₂ Progenies received in 1953:											
	Particulars of crosses	F3	F3	F.	Fs	Fe	Ĕ.		FFS	F,	E10	F.11
i	Norin 6 x ADT. 12	1	30	28	37	65		et)	9	4	4	-
ci	Norin'8 x ADT, 12	-	32	10	32	10	13:	14	9	4	9	9
65	Norin 8 x GEB, 24	-	20	22	42	17		20	. 01	တ	10	4
÷	Norin 18 x ADT. 12	-	25	14	17	10		9	10	-#	ဗ်	ø
ю	Norin 20 x ADT. 12	-	26	12	13			80		10	က	-
6.	Norin 20 x GEB, 24	н	9	10		1		1	1	i.	í	į
r	Rikk-u 132 x ADT.	-	98	55	7	31	-	27	12	10		7
œ.	Asshi x ADT. 12	-	55	8	#	6		9	10	-Ņ	-	eo
6	Taichu 65 x ADT. 12	-	26	21	29	. 12	- 1	0	0	.	œ	7
10.	Taichu 65 x GEB. 24	н	. 21	17	21				en	4	ຕ	#
i	Ginbozu x ADT. 12	-		н,		F	1	1	1	1	ı	į
											The second second	2000

TABLE I (Conid.)

Particulars of crossos 1964-56 1966-96 1969-67 1969-70 1969-01 196	3	P ² Progenies received in 1954:	3	Тавке I. ((Conta.)						
Coliboral X APT: 12	l	Particulars of crosses	1954-'55	1955~'56 Tr.	1956-'57 F.	1967–768 E.	1958-'59 F	1959~'60	1960–'61 F	1961~'62 T	1962-63
Collaborary ADF, 12	-			*	***		0	1		0.4	01.4
Orien Sa CREB. 24 Norien 20 x GREB. 24 Sa X (Norien 20 x GREB. 24) Sa	Dir	ect Crosses:		_:		50 50		*			
Norin 8 x GEB. 24		Ginbozu x ADT. 12	H	31	300	17	10	10	10	60	
College Coll	zi c	Norin 8 x GEB. 24	el C	ಕ್ಕಾ ಆ			1 =	1 .	1 *	1.	۱۰
ADT. 12 x (Norin 8 x ADT. 12) ADT. 12 x (Norin 8 x GEB. 24	: }	ACID TO A CED. PE	1	5		4	-	•	3	Ħ	
ADT. 12 x (Norin 6 x ADT. 12) ADT. 12 x (Stati x ADT. 12) ADT. 12 x (Gimbozu x ADT. 12) ADT. 13 x (GEB. 24	205		,		,						
ADT. 12 x (Norin 8 x ADT. 12) ADT. 12 x (Norin 90 x ADT. 12) ADT. 12 x (Significant Colluro Numbor Colluro Origin Duration Duration Colluro Origin Duration Duration Colluro Origin Duration Duration Duration Colluro Origin Duration D	÷	24 x (-	2	1	1	ľ	.13	1	Ė	1
ADT. 12 x (Norin 20 x ADT. 12) 1 14 16 26 10 8 ADT. 12 x (Norin 20 x ADT. 12) 1 12 8 35 25 12 6 ADT. 12 x (Asabi x ADT. 12) 1 1 17 22 20 6 ADT. 12 x (Ginbozu x ADT. 12) 1 1 17 22 20 6 ADT. 12 x (Ginbozu x ADT. 12) 1 1 12 24 21 7 8 ADT. 12 x (Ginbozu x ADT. 12) 1 1 12 24 21 7 8 ADT. 12 x (Ginbozu x ADT. 12) 1 1 12 24 21 7 8 Culturo Origin Flower- Yield of grain per acre in Kg. Average for ling burshion 1959-'00 1960-'01 1961-'02 1962-'03 four years 2410 do. 84 1747 1746 2182 1608 1867 2425 do. 84 1690 1768 1895 1608 1887 2425 do. 84 1690 1768 1895 1608 1887 2425 do. 92 1608 1898 2061 1480 1736 1882 2915 do. D. if significant do. 18 x GEB. 24 73 1983 2270 1860 1885 2916 do. 1600 1800 1898 2017 1193 1956 1956 2916 do. 777 1837 2100 1860 1898 2916 do. 777 1837 2100 1800 1898 2017 1193 1956 1956 C. D. if significant do. 75 1905 2246 1820 1959 1956 1950 C. D. if significant do. 77 1837 2107 1193 1956 1956 C. D. if significant do. 74 1360 2246 1820 1950 1950 1950 1950 C. D. if significant do. 74 1360 1861 1891 1995 1966 1892 1966 1892 1966 1893 1966 1960 1960 1960 1960 1960 1960 1960	ć.	12 x (Norin 6 x ADT	-(+	62.	÷.	8	7 1	Ħ,	10	0.0	φ,
ADT. 12 x (Asabii x ADT 12) 1 1 28 35 25 12 6 3 3 4 4 7 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ė.	12 x (Norm 8 x AD1	- 7	27	- 4	010	101	nc	40	200	- 5
ADT. 12 x (Gimbozu x ADT. 12)		10	•	86	10	5 6	26		0 10	- 00	2
Culture	ó	12 x (Gimbozu x ADT.	•	12	22	20	1 10	9 60	o	***	110
Culture Culture Number Culture Number Saga Norin 6 x GEB. 24 Saga Covolved from Co. 18 x GEB. 24 Co. D. if significant Co. D. i	ó	12 x (Taiohu 65 x ADT.	, , ,	12	24	21	7	00	oc.	က	60
Culturo Origin Flower- Yield of grain per acre in Kg- Average for ing Culturo Norin 6 x GEB. 24 84 1747 1746 2182 1558 1807 2410 do. 18 x GEB. 24 84 1690 1748 1995 1696 1714 1714 2425 1600 1700 do. 18 x GEB. 24 1600 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700				TABLE				- 0		-4	*
Culture Origin Flower-ring Yield of grain per acre in Kg. Average for ing four years 2397 Norin 6 x GEB. 24 84 1747 1746 2182 1538 1803 2410 do. 82 1686 1993 2182 1608 1807 2410 do. 84 1747 1748 1995 1608 1867 2425 do. 84 1590 1748 1895 1608 187 2425 do. 84 1562 1768 1895 1669 1714 TKM. 6 (An improved strain (Control) 79 1516 1898 2051 1480 1736 O. D. if significant An improved strain (Control) 77 1837 2100 1835 2031 2914 Norin 8 x GEB. 24 73 1833 2270 1835 Average of three 2915 do. 77 1837 2100 1606 1848 2917 coolored from ADT: 2 x ADT: 3								4			
Number Origin	.01		Flower.		grain	r acre in		Average fo	1	ge yield ex	pressed
2397 Norin 6 x GEB. 24 84 1747 1746 2182 1538 1803 2410 do. 4do. 84 1696 1993 2182 1638 1867 2410 do. 84 1590 1748 1995 1516 1714 2425 do. (An improved strain (Control) 79 1562 1768 1829 1560 168 TKM. 6 (An improved strain Control) 79 1562 1768 1896 167 1430 1736 O. D. if significant 168 166 168 Average of three years 1983 2270 1835 Average of three years 2915 do. 77 1837 2107 1606 1835 1892 2917 do. 77 1837 2107 1696 1836 1656 2917 cvolved from ADT. 2 x ADT. 3 74 1369 2107 1193 1656 C. D. if significant 1860 1860 1860 </td <td>2'2</td> <td></td> <td>Duration</td> <td>1959-'60</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>four years</td> <td></td> <td>contage on</td> <td>control</td>	2'2		Duration	1959-'60	1			four years		contage on	control
2410 2403 2403 2403 2404 2405 2405 2405 2406 2406 2406 2406 2407 2407 2407 2407 2408 2426 C. D. if significant O. D. if significant ADT: 20 (Control) an improved strain C. D. if significant C. D. if significant O. D. if significant C. D. if significant O. D. if significant ADT: 20 C. D. if significant O. D. if significant ADT: 20 C. D. if significant ADT: 30 ADT: 40 ADT: 40 ADT: 40 ADT: 40 ADT:		Norin 6 × GEB.	84	1747	1746	2182	1538	1803		103.7	
2403 do. 640. 84 1599 1748 1995 1516 1714 2425 do. 640. 82 1562 1768 1829 1569 1682 TKM. 6 (An improved strain (Control) 79 1516 1808 2051 1430 1736 O. D. if significant O. D. if significant O. D. if significant ADT. 20 (Control) an improved strain O. D. if significant O. D. if significant 1000. 18 × GEB. 24 73 1983 2270 1835 2031 1900 1500 1600 1848 1193 2017 1193 1650 1650 1650 1848 1193 2007 1193 1650 1650 1650 1650 1650 1650 1650 1650		do.	82	1686	1993	2182	1608	1867	*	107-5	
TKM. 6 [An improved strain (Control) 79 1562 1768 1829 1569 1682 1786 240 1786 1736 1786 1736 1786 1736 1786 1736 1786 1736 1736 1736 1736 1736 1736 1736 173			84	1699	1748	1995	1516	1714		. 00 7	
TKM. 6 [An improved strain (Control) 79 1516 1898 2051 1430 1736 1736 1736 20.D. if significant Co. 18 x GEB. 24 341 99 147 Not significant 1900. 61 1961-62 1962-63 Average of three years 2915 do. 77 1983 2270 1885 2031 1892 1820 1696 1848 174 1369 2107 1193 1656 1 1656 1 1656 1 1656 1 1950 C. D. if significant ADT. 2 x ADT. 3 x ADT. 4 x ADT.	Ąĵ.	do.		1562	1768	1829	1569	1682		96.5	
O. D. if significant 341 99 147 Not significant 2914 Norin 8 x GEB. 24 73 1960-'61 1961-'62 1962-'63 Average of three years 2915 do. 77 1983 2270 1835 2031 2916 do. 77 1837 2100 1660 1848 2917 do. 77 1837 2100 1606 1848 2917 do. 74 1369 2246 1820 1990 ADT. 20 (Control) an improved strain 74 1369 2107 1193 1656 C. D. if significant C. D. if significant 149			79	1516	1898	2051	1480	1736		0.001	
2914 Norin 8 x GEB. 24 73 1983 2270 1835 2031 1892 2011		O. D. if significant		341	66		Not signi	ficant	4.5	k	4
2914 Norin 8 x GEB. 24 73 1983 2270 1835 2031 2915 do. 77 1837 2100 1606 1892 2916 do. 77 1837 2100 1606 1848 2017 do. 75 1905 2246 1820 1990 ADT. 20 (Control) an improved strain 74 1369 2107 1193 1656 C. D. if significant		9		1960-181	1961-,62			srage of th	ree years		
2915 do. 1892 1860 1892 2916 do. 77 1837 2100 1606 1848 2917 do. 77 1837 2100 1606 1848 2917 do. 75 1905 2246 1820 1990 1990 ADT. 2 x ADT. 3 x ADT. 3 x ADT. 3 186 Not significant 149	-:		73	1083	2270	1835	İ	2031	ŗ.	122.7	
2017 do. (Control) an improved strain 74 1369 2107 1193 1656 coolved from ADT. 2 x ADT. 3 186 Not significant 149	ei e		75	1833	2182	1660		1892		114.3	
ADT. 20 (Control) an improved strain 74 1369 2107 1193 1656 cyolved from ADT. 2 x ADT. 3 186 Not significant 149	÷ 4		75	1905	2246	1820	e 46	1990		120-2	
Irom ADI. 2 X ADI. 3	i io		1.4	1369	2107	1193		1656		100.0	
		C. D. if significant			Not signific						

characters. Pawar et al (1959) studied the progenies of crosses involving seven japonica varieties and two indica parents HR. 19 and HR. 22 and recorded a wide range of variability in F, generation for height of plant, habit, number of tillers, lodging, shedding, grain type and duration. Three of the cultures possessing non-lodging and non-shedding characters were also found to be superior to the indica parent HR.22 with 44 to 48% higher yield of grain.

Materials and Methods: Seed materials of 31 cross combinations of iaponica x indica rices comprising of 10 F, progenies received in 1952, 11 F, progenies in 1953 and 10 F2 progenies in 1954 from Central Rice Research Institute, Cuttack were grown in successive generations with a view to select plants that respond to high fertility. These 31 cross combinations involve 11 japonica parents, namely Norin 1, Norin 6, Norin 8, Norin 18, Norin 20, Norin 23, Gimbozu, Asahi, Rikku 132, Taichu 65 and Taihoku 6 and two premier indica strains of the State namely GEB. 24 and ADT. 12. These materials were grown and studied in plots of 5' x 5' size, heavily manured with 30 lb. N in the form of green leaves and 36 lb. P2Os per acre as basal dressing and 40 lb. N per acre in the form of ammonium sulphate, half applied at planting and the other half applied 20 days after transplanting. Seedlings were planted in singles with a spacing of 6" x 6" either way. The observations with regard to growth, tillering, lodging, flowering, sterility, awning, rice colour and other economic characters were recorded. Selection of single plants during early generation was confined to those possessing the desirable economic attributes such as erect habit, heavy tillering, stiff straw, free emergence and good seed setting. The details of the 31 cross combinations studied and the number of single plants selected and grown in each of the subsequent generations are presented in table 1.

Results and Discussion: The 10 F₂ families of 10 cross combinations received in 1952-53 together with fresh sets of crosses received in 1953-54 and 1954-55 were critically examined for their morphological, physiological and economic characters. In the F2 generation a wide range of variability was expressed for plant growth habit, number of tillers, lodging character, duration, glume colour and grain size. Sterility was present in most of the crosses and was more pronounced in 13 sets of direct crosses where the japonica parents Gimbozu, Norin 8, Norin 18, Norin 20, Asahi, Taichu. 65 and Rikku 132 and indica parent ADT. 12 were involved, while it was less in back crosses. Presence of red rice in eight sets and awning in 19 sets of cross combinations was recorded though the parents were devoid of red rice and awns. From the data recorded it was observed that most of the progenies reached a level of homozygosity in F, generation. Thirteen of the homozygous derivatives were advanced to yield tests during 1958-'59. Nine were added in 1959-60, nine in 1960-61 and twenty three in 1961-62.

The yield tests were conducted under heavily manured condition in randomised plots replicated six times. Out of a total number of 54 homozygous cultures tested only eight promising ones had completed trials for a period of three years and more. The grain yield data of the eight cultures are given in table 2. The data show that six cultures have recorded significant increase in yield with 5 to 23 per cent increase over their standards. Out of these, culture 2914 of cross Norin 8 x GEB. 24 and 2410 of cross Norin 6 x GEB. 24 were found to be of great promise combining in them the desirable characteristics of the japonica and indica parents with average increased yields of 23% and 8% over the popular departmental strains ADT. 20 and TKM. 6 respectively. Culture 2410 possesses fine grain similar to TKM. 6 while culture 2914 is similar to ADT. 20 in grain characters and maturity. Seeds of these two cultures have been supplied to other rice breeding centres of the country to ascertain their performance in the different regions of the union. Arrangements have also been made to conduct trials with the two cultures in cultivators' holdings of the State.

Summary: Selection work with the hybrid progenies of japonica x indica crosses received from Central Rice Research Institute, Cuttack under the International Rice Hybridisation Project, was taken up at Regional Research Station, Aduthurai during the year 1952. As a result of work done in the past ten years a number of economic progenies combining the desirable features of the japonica and indica parents was under study for their yield performance. Two cultures, 2914 and 2410 have proved to be promising giving consistently higher yields of grain over the local improved strains ADT. 20 and TKM. 6 respectively with which they were compared. Besides high response to fertility, these cultures also possess non-lodging habit. Culture 2410 is similar to TKM. 6 and culture 2914 resembles ADT. 20 in duration and grain and rice characters. The culture 2914 has since been released as strain ADT. 27 for cultivation by ryots and for replacing ADT. 20.

Acknowledgements: The authors wish to record their gratitude to the Food and Agricultural Organisation and the Director of Central Rice Research Institute, Cuttack for the supply of seed materials under the International Rice Hybridisation Project.

REFERENCES

	REFE	RENCES
Anonymous	1960	Final report on the International Rice Hybridisation Project. I.R.C. News letter, IX (1): 12-23.
Ghose, R. L. M., M. B. Ghatge and V. Subramaniam	1960	Rice in India. Indian Councial of Agrl. Research, New Delhi.
Rajagopalan, K.	1955	A preliminary note on the study of inter-racial hybrids in rice. Madras agric. J. XLII (5): 177-81.
Srinivasan, V. and K. Rajagopalan	1956	A note on the heavy manuring of rice at Agrl. Res. Station, Aduthurai, Madras agric J. 43; 155-57.
Subramanyam, K. N. and S. K. Roy	1955	Observations on inter-racial rice hybrids. The japonica x indica rice hybridisation. J. Indian bot. Soc., 32 (2): 158-64.
Pawar, M. S., K. Ramiah and K. Rajagopalan	1959	Evolution of indica x japonica hybridisation in Andhra Pradosh. I. R. C. News letter, 7:6-11.