silkworm, Bombyx mori. Indian J. Seric. 17: 7-14.

Naik, S.L. (1997). Bioecology of thrips infesting mulberry. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore 77p.

Reddy, D.N.R. and Kotikal, Y.K. (1988). Pests of mulberry and their management. *Indian Silk*, 10: 9-15.

Sathyaprasad, K. and Manjunath, D. (1993). Monitoring the incidence of pests of mulberry. Annual Report, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Mysore, India 39p. Sharma, P.P. (1989). Insect pests of sericulture and their control. *Pesticides*, 23: 19-23.

Subramanian, A. (2000). Screening of mulberry genotypes against the thrips. Pseudodentrothrips mori (Nawa). Paper presented at National Workshop on Management of Sericultural Germplasm for Posterity, held on 26 and 27th July 2000 at Central Sericultural Germplasm Resources Centre, Hosur, Abstract. 20p.

(Received: September 2002; Revised: September 2003)

Madras Agric. J. 90 (10-12): 748-750 October-December 2003

Research Notes

Studies on heterosis for yield and quality parameters in brinja (Solanum melongena L.)

V. KANTHASWAMY, S. NATARAJAN, K. SRINIVASAN AND A. ANANTHALAKSHMI Dept. of Vegetable Crops, Hort. College & Res. Inst., TamilNadu Agrl. Univ., Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu

In India, brinjal is cultivated in an area of about 5.0 lakh hectares with an annual production of 78.8 lakh tonnes (Anon. 2001). In Tamil Nadu, the area is estimated around 8006 ha with an annual production of 97,550 tonnes. The productivity of eggplant in India is very low (15.80 t/ha) as compared to 300 tonnes in the Netherlands, where the F, hybrids constitute most of the economical cultivars. In egg plant, the consumer preference is region specific and as such there is a need to develop F, hybrids for different regions. With these views as the background, the investigations was carried out at the College Orchard, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore during 2000-2001.

Seven lines viz. L₁-EP 45, L₂-EP 65, L₃-EP 113, L₄-CO 2, L₅-SM 8, L₆-APAU Bagmathi, L₇-Pusa Anupam were crossed with four testers viz. T₁ Aruna, T₂-Arka Nidhi, T₃-Surya and T₄-Pusa Uttam and the resultant twenty eight hybrids were evaluated to study the per se performance and heterosis over better parent (heterobeltiosis) for various characters and presented in the Table 1.

The results indicated that higher percentage of long styled flowers was observed in the parents L_{τ} (44.55), L_{6} (44.05) and L_{1} (41.70) and L_{1} (51.20) L_{7} (50.55) and L_{2} (48.55) produced comparatively more number of fruits per plant. The yield per plant was high in L_{1} (3.20kg), L_{6} (3.10 kg) and L_{3} (2.61 kg). The fruit borer incidence was very less in L_{6} (35.7), L_{7} (36.55) and L_{4} (36.55).

Among the parents, high ascorbic acid content was observed in L_4 (12.53 mg), L_2 (12.25 mg) and L3 (12.17 mg). The parents L_1 , L_6 and L_3 showed lower total phenol. Based on per se performance, the line L_3 and L_7 and the testers L_2 & L_4 could be considered superior.

The hybrid L₃ x T₁ accounted for the highest heterobeltiosis for percentage of long styled flowers. Similar results were reported by Ponnuswami (1990) and Jerard (1996). Among the crosses, significant positive heterobeltiosis observed in L₂ x T₄ for fruits per plants. These results conform to the earlier findings

lable 1. Per se performance of parents for yield and quality parameters in brinjal

Parent :	% of long styled flowers	Fruits/ plant	Yield per plant (kg)	Fruit borer incidence (%)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)	Total phenol
1 .	41.70	51.20	3.20	52.65	11.29	1031.5
2	40.80	48.85	2.41	55.60	12.25	1139.5
3	30.80	42.80	2.61	48.45	12.17	1130.5
4	35.45	27.00	2.20	36.55	12.53	1118.5
5	39.10	44.55	2.38	43.95	10.68	1111.5
6	44.05	36.05	3.10	35.70	11.78	1046.5
7	44.55	50.55	2.21	36.55	11.21	1173.5
1	29.35	31.15	1.71	53.35	9.84	1056.5
	27.90	37.15	2.06	56.55	9.15	1222.5
2 3	29.25	35.30	1.92	48.90	10.08	1052.0
3	37.10	36.50	2.16	55.65	9.12	1210.5
4 x T,	45.85	65.85	2.80	44.55	9.52	1489.5
1 ~ ~1	(9.95)**	(28.61)**	(-12.69)**	(-15.58)**	(-15.71)**	(44.40)**
, x T,	40.90	60.00	3.67	29.55	11.22	1534.5
1 ~ -2	(-1.91)	(17.18)**	(14.68)**	(-43.87)**	(-0.65)	(48.38)*
v T	54.10	59.10	3.17	31.90	10.62	1582.0
X T ₃	(29.73)**	(15.43)**	(-0.88)	(-34.76)**	(-5.94)*	(48.13)*
v T	57.75	53.90	2.24	53.25	15.57	1540.5
x T4	(38.48)**	(5.27)**	(-30.20)**	(1.34)	(37.82)**	(49.35)**
w T		56.60	1.95	29.55	11.12	1004.5
$L_2 \times T_1$	52.25			(-44.61)**	(-9.21)**	(-4.92)
T.	(38.06)**	(15.86)**	(-19.02)**	26.90	10.60	1431.0
~ x T ₂	51.10	56.65	2.86		(-13.44)**	(25.58)*
	(25.24)**	(15.96)**	(18.75)**	(-51.09)** 35.60	9.61	1175.5
$_2 \times T_3$	45.85	68.45	1.95	(-27.19)**	(-21.51)**	(11.74)*
	(12.37)**	(40.12)**	(-19.16)**	24.90	16.46	1339.5
2 X T4	66.00	102.40	3.93		(34.18)**	(17.55)**
- 72 - 54 - 51 - 51 - 51	(61.76)**	(109.62)**	(62.93)**	(-54.72)**	10.40	1489.5
3 x T,	54.95	64.90	2.61	38.55	(-14.46)**	(40.98)**
i di	(78.40)**	(51.63)**	(-0.11)	(-20.43)**	12.83	1498.0
L ₃ x T ₂	54.10	59.20	3.57	25.40		(32.51)*
	(70.64)**	(38.31)**	(36.34)**	(-47.57)**	(5.47)*	1524.0
L, x T,	54.65	45.85	2.33	43.70	12.12	(44.87)**
	(77.43)**	(7.12)**	(-10.74)**	(-9.80)**	(-3.29) 10.87	1223.5
L ₃ x T ₄	48.20	52.50	2.32	45.45		(8.23)**
	(29.91)**	(22.66)**	(26.91)**	(-6.19)**	(-10.66)**	1319.5
4 X T,	45.70	36.35	1.91	57.45	9.28	(24.89)*
	(28.91)**	(16.69)**	(-13.40)**	(57.18)**	(-25.97)**	1543.5
4 X T2	51.15	42.15	2.73	23.80	14.12	(37.99)**
	(44.28)**	(13.45)**	(23.45)**	(-34.88)**	(12.67)**	1503.0
₄ x T ₃	47.95	35.75	1.28	29.80	10.27	(42.87)**
	(35.26)**	(1.27)	(-42.15)	(-18.46)**	(-18.05)**	1416.0
L ₄ x T ₄	51.15	45.80	2.83	56.05	10.94	(26.60)**
	(37.87)**	(25.47)	(26.05)**	(53.35)**	(-12.66)**	1455.0
L _s x T ₁	46.05	50.00	3.78	24.30	15.28	(37.72)**
	(17.77)**	(12.23)**	(58.67)**	(-44.71)	(43.02)**	1449.5
L, x T ₂	#1.53 E. SOCES	53.85	3.30	31.70	11.27	(30.41)*
, 2	(20.55)**	(20.87)**	(38.55)**	(-27.87)	(5.47)*	(30.41)

contd...

Parent	% of long styled flowers	Fruits/ plant	Yield per plant (kg)	Fruit borer incidence (%)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)	Total phenol
L ₅ x T ₃	56.00	39.30	2.46	38.45	12.40	1219.5
	(43.22)**	(-11.78)**	(3.19)	(-12.57)**	(16.62)**	(15.92)**
L ₅ x T ₄	61.20	71.35	3.85	23.50	15.18	1262.5
	(56.52)**	(60.15)**	(61.66)	(-46.53)**	(42.12)**	(13.58)**
L ₆ x T ₁	39.90	48.65	3.14	46.95	10.31	1496.5
	(-9.42)**	(34.95)**	(1.16)	(31.51)**	(-12.53)**	(43.00)**
L X T2	49.10	63.15	2.77	32.25	10.33	1003.5
	(11.46)**	(69.98)**	(-10.62)**	(-9.66)**	(12.30)**	(-4.10)**
L, x T,	48.85	57.75	2.18	28.15	11.47	1002.5
	(10.89)**	(60.19)**	(-29.56)**	(-21.14)**	(-2.68)	(-4.20)
L ₆ x T ₄	54.50	52.70	2.78	31.00	11.62	1505.0
	(23.72)**	(44.18)**	(-10.30)**	(-13.16)**	(-1.35)	(43.81)**
L, x T,	42.50	44.40	2.74	28.35	9.77	1465.5
	(-4.60)**	(-12.16)**	(23.82)**	(-22.43)**	(-12.82)**	(38:71)**
L, x T,	61.15	60.10	3.84	22.00	18.00	1001.5
		(18.89)**	(74.14)**	(-39.80)**	(60.60)**	(-14.65)*
L, x T,	(37.26)	59.50	3.13	27.85	16.06	1495.0
	48.00	The second secon		(-23.80)**	(43.31)**	(37.40)**
	(7.74)	(17.70)**	(41.34)**	22.70	14.47	1568.5
L, x T,	60.05 (34.79)	40.85	3.10 (40.14)**	(-37.89)	(29.06)**	(33.66)**

Values in the brackets indicates the heterobeltiosis per cent.

of Prasath (1997) and Jansirani (2000). The heterobeltiosis values were the highest positive and significant in the cross $L_2 \times T_4$ followed by $L_5 \times T_4$. The hybrid $L_7 \times T_2$ recorded negative and significant heterobeltiosis for total phenols.

The hybrids with high per se performance also registered high heterotic effect. Based on these, the hybrids L₂ x T₄ (EP 65 x Pusa Uttam), L₅ x T₄ (SM 8 x Pusa Uttam) and L₇ x T₂ (Pusa Antipam x Arka Nidhi) were found superior in respect of yield and quality contributing characters and these hybrids could be considered for exploitation of heterosis.

References

Anonymous (2001). Economic survey, Government of India. pp. 157.

Jansi Rani, P. (2000): Studies on heterosis and combining ability in brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) Ph.D. (Hort) Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.

Jerard, B.A. (1996). Studies on heterosis and combining ability in egg plant (Solanum melongena L.) M.Sc.(Hort) Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.

Prasath, D. (1997). Studies on per se performance, heterosis and combining ability in egg plant (Solanum melongena L.) M.Sc. (Hort) Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.

Ponnuswami, V. (1990). Studies of F1 and F2 generations in egg plant (Solanum melongena L.) Ph.D. Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.

(Received: October 2002; Revised: September 2003)