

# Effect of times of sowing and nutrient levels on growth, yield attributes and yield of dryland sorghum varieties

L. RAJA AND T.N. BALASUBRAMANIAN

Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu

**Abstract:** Field experiments conducted at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore in dryland Vertisols during North-East Monsoon (NEM) seasons of 1999 and 2000 to investigate the performance of sorghum varieties under different sowing times and levels of nitrogen and phosphorus revealed that the variety CSV 15 sown before the receipt of monsoon rainfall during 1999 NEM season with 60:30:0 kg N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> had registered enhanced growth attributes and produced earheads with higher number of grains and in turn registered higher grain yield. The resource use efficiencies were also higher with CSV 15 sown before the receipt of monsoon rainfall resulting in high B:C ratio. During 2000 NEM season, sowing of CSV 15 before the receipt of monsoon rainfall with 40:20:0 kg N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> produced appreciable growth attributes and produced lengthier earheads with more number of grains and finally recorded higher grain yield. The resource use efficiencies and B:C ratio were also higher for this combination.

**Key words :** Pre-monsoon sowing, *Sorghum cultivar*, CSV 15.

## Introduction

Sorghum is one of the most important cereal crops grown in arid and semi-arid tropics of India. The productivity of sorghum is relatively low and highly unstable as being mostly grown under dryland condition. The major constraints for higher productivity in the dryland regions are the lack of adequate soil moisture during the critical crop growth period coupled with poor fertility status of the soil. Hence it is desirable to select a suitable variety for this situation so as to accommodate within the particular length of growing period (LGP) identified. In other words, sowing the seed at appropriate time in order to utilize the rainfall and available nutrients most efficiently is the most important key management practice for dryland sorghum. Hence, the 'sowing date' could be manipulated to increase the yield of dryland crops without any additional input cost. Dry seeding in anticipation of rain was one such advance risk free agronomic practice, which helped to establish the crop at the earliest opportunity of first seasonal rain and ensured better utilization of rainfall without any plant protection loss. Durairaj *et al.* (1993) obtained lowest grain yield of 627 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in sorghum when sowing was done one week after the onset of monsoon and highest

grain yield of 2033 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded when sowing was done two weeks before the onset of monsoon in vertisols of Kovilpatti. Though productivity of dryland sorghum is mainly limited by soil moisture availability, the experiences gained so far from the dryland research had shown that under given rainfall situation, crop yields could be still enhanced with the application of nutrients. Therefore, to increase crop yields in the drylands, it is not only necessary to increase moisture availability but also to ensure the availability of required nutrients. At present, in Tamil Nadu for dryland sorghum, application of 40:20:0 kg N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> is being recommended irrespective of varieties and rainfall amount. But sorghum crop responds differently under varying times of sowing and different cultivars have varying magnitude of response to nutrient application. This study was taken up to generate information on the response of high yielding sorghum varieties to nutrient levels under different times of sowing with varying rainfall situation which is lacking at present.

## Materials and Methods

The field experiments were carried out at Agricultural College and Research Institute,

ADU AGRICULTURAL

-166786-



**Table 2.** Effect of varieties, times of sowing and nutrient levels on yield attributes of sorghum

Treatments	Ear head length (cm)		No. of grains earhead <sup>-1</sup>		100 grain weight (g)	
	I year	II year	I year	II year	I year	II year
<i>Varities</i>						
V <sub>1</sub>	22.8	14.5	906	304	26.4	23.3
V <sub>2</sub>	23.0	16.7	1120	389	26.1	23.2
SEd	0.47	0.26	29.6	19.1	0.57	0.53
CD (P=0.05)	NS	0.63	72.4	46.6	NS	NS
<i>Times of sowing</i>						
D <sub>1</sub>	23.3	15.3	1102	369	26.2	23.3
D <sub>2</sub>	22.4	14.9	924	324	26.3	23.3
SEd	0.47	0.26	29.6	19.1	0.57	0.53
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	72.4	NS	NS	NS
<i>Fertilizer levels</i>						
F <sub>1</sub>	21.6	13.7	895	281	26.0	23.1
F <sub>2</sub>	22.6	14.1	1028	388	26.2	23.2
F <sub>3</sub>	24.4	17.6	1116	371	26.5	23.6
SEd	0.59	0.57	51.4	27.4	0.51	0.49
CD (P=0.05)	1.24	1.21	109.0	58.2	NS	NS

**Table 3.** Effect of varieties, times of sowing and nutrient levels on grain and straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index of sorghum

Treatments	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Straw yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Harvest index	
	I year	II year	I year	II year	I year	II year
<i>Varities</i>						
V <sub>1</sub>	1910	614	8560	5359	18.43	10.29
V <sub>2</sub>	3021	750	9512	5595	26.39	11.64
SEd	165.6	41.7	591.2	600.8	1.897	0.855
CD (P=0.05)	374.7	102.1	NS	NS	4.643	NS
<i>Times of sowing</i>						
D <sub>1</sub>	2691	712	9591	5574	23.29	11.06
D <sub>2</sub>	2240	652	8481	5379	21.53	10.87
SEd	165.6	41.7	591.2	600.8	1.897	0.855
CD (P=0.05)	374.7	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>Fertilizer levels</i>						
F <sub>1</sub>	1862	503	8794	4973	21.10	9.97
F <sub>2</sub>	2502	796	8729	5863	22.97	11.67
F <sub>3</sub>	3033	746	9586	5594	23.16	11.25
SEd	114.4	43.9	478.3	407.6	1.434	0.920
CD (P=0.05)	236.1	93.1	NS	NS	NS	NS



distribution was 367.6 mm (21 rainy days), 41.6 mm (3 rainy days) and 18.4 mm (3 rainy days) for  $D_1$  sowing and it was 331.0 mm (17 rainy days), 45.6 mm (4 rainy days) and 14.4 mm (2 rainy days) for  $D_2$  sowing in respect of vegetative, flowering and maturity stages, respectively of first crop. The initial soil moisture during I year for 0-15 cm depth was 21.5 mm and for 15-30 cm depth was 22.6 mm. In II year, a quantum of 284 mm (18 rainy days) and 123.3 mm (13 rainy days) of rainfall were recorded under  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  sowings, respectively and the rainfall received at vegetative, flowering and maturity stages was 207.7 mm (11 rain days), 63.5 mm (5 rainy days) and 12.8 mm (2 rainy days) for  $D_1$  sowing and 47.6 mm (6 rainy days), 62.9 mm (5 rainy days) and 12.8 mm (2 rainy days) under  $D_2$  sowing. The initial soil moisture during II year for 0-15 cm depth was 26 mm and for 15-30 cm depth was 26.3 mm. Biometric observations like plant height, dry matter production, leaf area index, yield components and yield were recorded at harvest in all the experimental plots and statistically analysed.

## Results and Discussion

### *Varietal performance on the growth and yield parameters and yield of sorghum*

Varieties did not exert any significant difference in plant height throughout the crop growth period (vegetative, flowering and maturity stages) in both the years. With regard to dry matter production (DMP), the variety CSV 15 produced significantly higher DMP than CO 26 at all stages of both the years (Table 1) except at vegetative stage of first year. The DMP is a function of numerous interactions between environment and genetic factors. The optimum LAI coupled with well developed root system enabled the variety CSV 15 to produce more drymatter than CO 26.

The varieties did not differ in terms of earhead length during I year (Table 2). This might be due to the efficient utilization of resources by both the varieties evaluated. During II year, the variety CSV 15 produced lengthier panicles than CO 26. This might be due to the well developed root system of CSV

15 which enabled the crop to obtain more soil moisture during panicle initiation to flowering stage as compared to CO 26. The variety CSV 15 contained significantly higher number of grains earhead<sup>-1</sup> as compared to CO 26 in both the years. The variation in this character between CSV 15 and CO 26 might be due to the difference in assimilate partitioning and assimilate redistribution capacity. Similar results were also reported earlier by Somasundaran (2000) in maize. In respect of test weight there was no difference between CSV 15 and CO 26 and this was because the size and weight of grains were essentially varietal characters which were not influenced by any of the treatments imposed. However, if soil moisture became limited especially after heading, development of grain size and weight were adversely affected and resulted in reduced test weight. This was the reason for the lowest test weight in II year as compared to I year. Similar result was also reported by Pushpanathan (1987).

In respect of grain yield, the variety CSV 15 outyielded CO 26 in both the years and the increase in grain yield was 58 and 22 per cent, respectively during I and II years (Table 3). The straw yield also showed similar trend as that of grain yield though the difference was not significant during both the years. Higher grain and straw yields in CSV 15 over CO 26 was due to higher level of growth contributing characters viz. drymatter production (Table 1) and yield contributing characters (Table 2) in both the years. This also corroborated with the findings of Thombre *et al.* (1982) who concluded that panicle length, panicle girth, number of primaries and secondaries, panicle weight, number of grains panicle and 100 seed weight did influence either directly or indirectly the per plant grain yield of a particular genotype. Results of the advanced varietal trial conducted under All India *kharif* 1996 also revealed that CSV 15 recorded higher grain yield (3016 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than CO 26 (2896 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) though not significant and produce significantly higher fodder yield (13 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to CO 26 (10.7 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Annual Report, 1997-98). During I year, the variety CSV 15 registered significantly higher harvest index than CO 26 and this might be due to considerable increase in DMP in CSV 15 after anthesis.

### Effect of times of sowing on the growth and yield parameters and yield of sorghum

Times of sowing did not alter the plant height significantly throughout the crop growth period in both the years. In respect of DMP,  $D_1$  sown crop produced higher DMP than  $D_2$  sown crop in both the years. During I year,  $D_1$  sown crop produced significantly higher DMP than  $D_2$  sown crop at most of the crop growth stages. This was due to higher and optimum distribution of rainfall for the crops sown under  $D_1$  date of sowing. During II year, in all the stages  $D_1$  sown crop produced higher DMP than  $D_2$  sown crop.

The times of sowing had no significant influence on earhead length in both the years. The crop sown under  $D_1$  sowing recorded significantly higher number of grains earhead<sup>-1</sup> than crop sown under  $D_2$  sowing during I year. This was because, in  $D_1$  sowing, there was no soil moisture stress observed upto 77 DAS, whereas it was only upto 70 DAS in  $D_2$  sowing. But such significance was absent during II year. The existence of moisture stress condition during panicle development and grain filling stages would be the reason for such non-significance results.

In respect of grain yield,  $D_1$  sown crop had recorded significantly higher grain yield over  $D_2$  sown crop during I year whereas the difference in yield was not significant during II year. During I year, both grain and straw yields were higher to an extent of 20 and 13 per cent, respectively under  $D_1$  sown crop over  $D_2$ . With sowing before the onset of the monsoon, by nature the crop had been given an opportunity to utilize all the environmental resources effectively. This finding was in agreement with the findings of Balasubramanian *et al.* (1993) who reported 33 and 8 per cent increase in grain and straw yields due to sowing before the onset of monsoon (during 38th-39th MSW) over sowing after the monsoon rain under Coimbatore condition. During II year, irrespective of treatments, the crop growth and yield were affected because of the prolonged moisture stress experienced by the crop. During II year also,  $D_1$  sown crop performed better than  $D_2$  and  $D_1$  recorded 9 and 4 per cent higher grain and straw yields over  $D_2$ . This was due

to lesser moisture stress experienced by  $D_1$  sown crop than  $D_2$  sown crop. This result is in accordance with the findings of Das *et al.* (1993) who reported that sorghum yield was inversely proportional to the stress period and the larger the stress duration, the lower was the grain yield.

### Effect of nutrient levels on the growth and yield parameters and yield of sorghum

Nutrient application positively influenced the sorghum plant height during I year and application of 60:30:0 kg N:  $P_2O_5$ :  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> had produced taller plants over control (no nutrient application) at vegetative and flowering stages. The increased plant height with NP fertilization was because of increase in cell division (Levitt, 1972).

In respect of Dry Matter Production (DMP), during I year, higher level of nutrient application ( $F_3$ ) resulted in higher DMP as compared to  $F_2$  and  $F_1$  levels at all the stages of crop growth. During II year,  $F_3$  level of nutrient application produced higher dry matter at vegetative stage and thereafter  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  levels were at par and produced higher DMP than  $F_1$  level. This indicated that  $F_3$  level was not so efficient as observed in the first year because of reduced soil moisture noted in the second year. The results were in agreement with the findings of Myers and Asher (1982) who reported lower response of sorghum crop to fertilizer application under lower rainfall amount. In both the years, higher level of nutrient application improved earhead length and number of grains earhead<sup>-1</sup>. The result was in agreement with the findings of Rama Mohan Rao *et al.* (1995).

During I year, the grain and straw yields increased significantly with increasing NP fertilization (Table 3). The nutrient level  $F_3$  (60:30:0 kg N:  $P_2O_5$ :  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) gave the highest grain yield followed by  $F_2$  (40:20:0 kg N:  $P_2O_5$ :  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the increase was 63 and 34 per cent over  $F_1$  (control).  $F_3$  also recorded the highest straw yield, though the difference was not significant. The higher yield of grain under higher nutrient level ( $F_3$ ) was due to higher growth and yield attributes obtained.  $F_3$  recorded an average of 21,8,15 and 51,13,25 per cent higher total DMP, panicle length and

number of grains per panicle over  $F_2$  and  $F_1$ , respectively. Porwal and Pushpendra Singh (1992) also gave similar findings from their experimentation.

During II year,  $F_2$  registered the highest grain yield ( $796 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) which was at par with  $F_3$  ( $746 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and significantly higher than  $F_1$  ( $503 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). Higher grain yield at  $F_2$  was through obtaining higher values in the growth and yield components, especially number of grains per panicle. Similarly, highest straw yield was also recorded with  $F_2$ , though the difference was not significant. The insufficient soil moisture condition during the crop growth period had resulted reduction in grain and straw yields under increased nutrient levels beyond  $F_2$ . This was because soil moisture had a pronounced effect on the uptake of plant nutrients. The results are in agreement with the findings of Rama Mohan Rao *et al.* (1995).

### Economics

The B:C ratio was higher (2.68) when  $V_1$  (CO 26) entry was sown at  $D_1$  under  $F_3$  nutrient level ( $60:30:0 \text{ kg of N:P}_2\text{O}_5:\text{K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ ) and still higher performance was observed for  $V_2$  (3.17) in the I year. While in the II year, it was  $D_2$  sowing with a nutrient level of  $40:20:0 \text{ kg of N:P}_2\text{O}_5:\text{K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$  ( $F_2$ ) for the variety CO 26 (1.27) and it was  $D_1$  sowing with  $40:20:0 \text{ kg of N:P}_2\text{O}_5:\text{K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$  ( $F_2$ ) for the variety CSV 15 (1.43) which had recorded higher B:C ratio.

### References

- Annual Report (1997-98). National Research Centre on Sorghum (NRCS-ICAR), Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh.
- Balasubramanian, A., Ramamoorthy, K. and Purushothaman, S. (1993). Effect of dry seeding and nitrogen level on improved genotype of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) under rainfed condition. *Indian J. Agron.* 38: 210-213.
- Das, H.P., Kale, A.N. and Ponkshe, A.S. (1993). Effect of soil moisture stress on growth and yield of dryland sorghum. *Mausam*, 44: 261-264.
- Durairaj, S.N., Pandian, J.S.R., Narayanan, A.M. and Iyemperumal, S. (1993). Effect of pre-monsoon sowing on the yield of sorghum under different methods of sowing in vertisols of southern districts. *Madras Agric. J.* 80: 306-311.
- Levitt, J. (1972). Responses of plants to environmental stresses. Academic Press, New York, 697 pp.
- Myers, R.J.K. and Asher, C.J. (1982). Mineral nutrition of grain sorghum macro nutrients. In: Sorghum in the eighties. L.R.House, L.K.Mughogho and J.M.Peacock (Eds.). ICRISAT, Patancheru, A.P., India, pp.161-177.
- Porwal, B.L. and Pushpendra Singh (1992). Study on response of sorghum genotypes to levels of nitrogen. *Madras Agric. J.* 79: 332-335.
- Pushpanathan, J. (1987). Integrated moisture and nutrient management in rainfed sorghum. *M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis*, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.
- Rama Mohan Rao, M.S., Agnihotri, R.C. and Patil, S.L. (1995). Effect of sources and levels of nitrogen on Rabi sorghum in vertisols of semiarid tropics of Bellary. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* 29: 145-152.
- Somasundaram, S. (2000). Sustainable dryland management through water balance and agro advisory approaches. *M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis*, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore India.
- Thombre, M.V., Patil, R.C. and Joshi, B.P. (1982). Association of panicle components with grain yield in sorghum. *Sorghum Newsletter*, 25: 17-18.

(Received: July 2002; Revised: January 2003)