## Research Notes

## Crop geometry to reduce drip irrigation system cost for banana var. Karpuravalli

S. NAGALAKSHMI, D. PALANISAMY, N. NANDAKUMAR AND S. SENTHILVEL

Precision Farming Dev. Centre, Dept. of SWCE, College of Agrl. Engg., TNAU, Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu

India is the large producer of banana. Banana cultivation involves huge investment in abour, planting, irrigation, fertilizer application, weeding etc. At present when the water table is depleting very rapidly, judicial use of water as become very much essential. Patel et al. (1993) reported that drip irrigation economises water use and increases water use efficiency n banana. The concept of increasing the density by planting more number of suckers per pit as proved successful in increasing the yield vithout detriment to quality of banana (Belalcazar et al. 1994; Manivannan, 1994). Many farmers who are aware of the advantages of adopting drip irrigation are giving a second thought due to the higher capital investment involved in acquiring the accessories for laying out the drip irrigation system. The cost of laterals alone when designing the irrigation systems works out to nearly 40% though the water and labour saving over the crop period is 40-70%. Hence, lo increase the water use efficiency and to reduce the cost involved in laying out the drip irrigation, studies were taken up at the Plasticulture Development Centre, College of Agricultural Engineering, TNAU, Coimbatore.

Field experiments were conducted between 1997-2000 at farmer's field near Thondamuthur, Coimbatore with sixteen treatments as follows.

- T1 2A Lateral spacing of 2m with plant to plant spacing of 2m & 1 sucker/ pit
- T2 2B Lateral spacing of 2m with plant to plant spacing of 4m & 2 suckers/ pit
- T3 2C Lateral spacing of 2m with plant to plant spacing of 6m & 3 suckers/ pit

- T4 2D Lateral spacing of 2m with plant to plant spacing of 8m & 4 suckers/ pit
- T5 3A Lateral spacing of 3m with plant to plant spacing of 1.33m & 1 suckers/ pit
- T6 3B Lateral spacing of 3m with plant to plant spacing of 2.66 & 2 suckers/ pit
- T7 3C Lateral spacing of 3m with plant to plant spacing of 4.00m & 3 suckers/ pit
- T8 3D Lateral spacing of 3m with plant to plant spacing of 5.33m & 4 suckers/ pit
- T9 4A Lateral spacing of 4m with plant to plant spacing of 1m & 1 suckers/ pit
- T104B Lateral spacing of 4m with plant to plant spacing of 2m & 2 suckers/ pit
- T11 4C Lateral spacing of 4m with plant to plant spacing of 3m & 3 suckers/ pit
- T12 4D Lateral spacing of 4m with plant to plant spacing of 4m & 4 suckers/ pit
- T13 5A Lateral spacing of 5m with plant to plant spacing of 0.8m & 1 suckers/ pit
- T145B Lateral spacing of 5m with plant to plant spacing of 1.6m & 2 suckers/ pit
- T155C Lateral spacing of 5m with plant to plant spacing of 2.4m & 3 suckers/ pit
- T165D Lateral spacing of 5m with plant to plant spacing of 3.2m & 4 suckers/ pit

Table 1. Yield parameters of banana under various crop geometry (Pooled data of three days

Treatments	Bunch weight (kg)	No. of hands/ bunch	No. of fruits/ bunch	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)
5-1-3/W-31-5-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		9.73	140.82	16.63	14.40
2A	18.87	10.80	132.60	16.80	13.85
2B	25.30	9.40	126.93	11.25	8:30
2C	19.22		134.35	13.23	10.85
2D	17.02	9.00	122.20	16.98	14.15
3A	23.55	10.60	126.95	14.40	12.55
3B	15.82	9.75	141.90	14.25	12.75
3C	17.00	9.70		17.75	15.55
3D.	21.50	10.23	154.35		13.45
4A	17.20	9.53	122.20	14.85	
4B	16.80	9.40	116.75	14.30	12.50
4C	15.27	8.38	108.70	13.03	11.60
4D	14.65	7.93	99.75	13.03	11.60
5A	14.73	8.35	113.70	9.90	8.90
5B	14.33	9.10	132.90	9.25	8.85
5C	13.55	7.95	124.45	11.55	11.80
	13.08	8.65	120.05	11.45	9.90
SD SEA	0.215	0.20	9 3	0.347	
SEd	0.456	0.428	NS	0.735	NS
LSD (5%) LSD (1%)	0.628	0.590		1.012	=357

The fertilizer were given as recommended in the ratio of 275:87.5:825g urea, super phosphate and muriate of potash. Irrigation was given through drip @ 10 lit per day per plant.Routine operations like weeding, desuckering etc. were taken up in the appropriate time. Three plants were selected in each treatment for recording the observations. Plant height, plant girth, canopy area, bunch weight, number of hands per bunch, number of fruits per bunch, average fruit length and girth were recorded. Statistically analysed pooled data for yield parameters (table 1) and the benefit cost ratio (table 2) are presented.

The physiological parameters recorded over the period of study revealed an increase in the plant height and canopy area with increasing number of plants per pit while, the reverse was observed in the girth of the plant though the data was not statistically significant. The reports of Chakrabarty et al. (1992) and Premalatha et al. (1996) are in concurrence with the result obtained in the current study. Kulasekharan (1985) reported that the spacing treatments had no influence on the number of leaves in banana var. robusta.

Among the sixteen treatments, the treatmen T<sub>2</sub> (2B) in which the laterals were spaced a 2m spacing and two suckers were planted pe pit at a spacing of 1.33m recorded highe bunch weight (25.3 kg) with more numbe of hands per bunch (10.80) and fruits pe bunch (132.60). The laterals, when placed a 4m spacing and one sucker was planted with a spacing of 1m between plants (HA) recorder higher fruit length (17.75cm) and fruit girt (15.55 cm) but the overall bunch weight wa less.

Daniells et al. (1985) observed significan reduction in bunch weight in closer planting Apshara (1997) and Nalina (1999) reported a reduction in the number of fingers per bunch with increasing plant density. The net seasonal income in the best treatment (2B) was Rs.1,15,965 ha with a benefit cost ratio of 2.27 and net profit of Rs.224.64/mm of water used during the crop period. This was closely followed by the treatment 3A, in which the benefit cost ratio worked out to 2.08.

Table 2, Cost benefit analysis of banana under various crop geometry

	Details of cost	Ý	Q.	ξ	Ę	3	30	2	200	VV	ą	Ş
.00	economics	47	9	3	1	NC.	or.	3	20	Q.	2	2
	Cost of drip system	58175	58175	58175	58175	49200	49200	49200	49200	43200	43200	43200
- 19 - 1742	Annual expenditure	12635	12635	12635	12635	12635	12635	12635	12635	9849	9840	9840
e.	on drip (Rs)				1	8 1	-				1	
	Cost of cultivation	38400	38400	38400	38400	38400	38400	38400	38400	38400	38400	38400
	(KS/IIa)			300000		5527555	3701,2852	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.000	22226	
	Scasonal total cost (Rs/ha)	51035	51035	51035	51035	49240	49240	49240	49240	48040	48040	48040
	Water used in mm	1147	1147	1147	1147	1147	1147	1147	1147	1147	1147	1147
	Yield of produce t/ha	49.00	8.89	50.50	47.50	60.75	43.00	46.25	57.24	48.24	46.74	40.75
	Income from produce	122500	167000	126250	118750	151875	107500	115625	143125	120625	116875	101875
	(Rs/ha)											
	Net seasonal income (Rs/ha)	71465	115965	75215	67715	102635	58260	66385	93885	72585	68835	53835
	Gross benefit cost	1.40	2.27	1.47	1.33	2.08	1.18	1.35	1.91	151	1.43	1.12
	ratio							7.				
10.	Net profit/mm of water 159.25 used	159.25	224.64	160.99	126.56	215.3	151.07	139.02	193.51	131.35	106.5	121.76
н	Yield/mm of water used 39.2	392	52.3	39.67	32.69	50.13	31.21	34.87	45.77	33.12	314.64	32.21

## References

Apshara, E. (1997). Effect of planting density and spacing on growth and yield of banana cv. Nendran (AAB) M.Sc. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.

Belalcazar, S., Arcila, M.Z., Valencia, J.A., Cayon, D.G. and Franco, G. (1994). Growing plantain at high densities. *Infomusa*, 3: 12-15.

Chakrabarty, B.K., Neog, M., Badshah, O.A. and Baruah, K. (1992). Effect of plant density on growth, yield and quality of banana cv. Dwarf Cavendish (AAA group). In: National symposium on optimization of productivity and utilisation of banana, Pune.

Daniells, J.W., Farrel, P.J.H. and Campbell, S.J. (1985). The responses of bananas to plant spacing in double rows in North Queensland. J. Agric. Animal Sci. 42: 45-51.

Kulasekharan, M. (1985). Studies on ratooning of banana (cv. Robusta) with special reference to cultural and nematicidal treatment. Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.

Manivannan, M. (1994). Effect of planting density on growth and development of banana ev. Poovan (AAB), M.Sc. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.

Nalina, L. (1999). Studies on high density planting in banana cv.Robusta (AAA). M.Sc.Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.

Patel, V.R., Desai, B.B., Chavan, U.D. and Chougule, B. (1993). Effects of method and level of irrigation on physical and biochemical constituents of banana fruits. South Indian Hort. 41: 242-244.

Premalatha, T., Rema Menon and Pillai, S.J. (1996). Effect of planting densities on growth and yield of banana cv. Paalayankondan. In: Conference on challenges for banana production and utilization in 21st century.

(Received: October 2002; Revised: April 2003)