ompost not only fulfilled the phosphorus quirement of greengram but it also met the hosphorus requirement of succeeding rabiorghum. Therefore, it is possible to supply the phosphorus through phospho compost once the kharif to fulfil the phosphorus requirements both the crops in sequence under dryland onditions.

### eferences

hanavase, D.B., Rasal, P.H., Jadhav, B.R. and Patil, P.L. (1994). Mineralization of nutrients during production of phospho-compost. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 42: 145-147.

athur, B.S., Sarkar, A.K. and Mishra, B. (1980).
A study on rock-phosphate changed compost.
J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 28: 206.

ishra, M.M., Kapoor, K.K. and Yadav, K.S. (1982). Effect of compost enriched with mussorie rock phosphate on crop yield. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 52: 674.

Misra, U.K. and Panda (1969). Utilization of rock phosphate as phosphate source. *Indian J.* Agric. Sci. 39: 353.

Rasal, P.H., Jadhav, B.R., Kalbhor, H.B., Bhanavase, D.B., Konde, B.K. and Patil, P.L. (1996). A study on production and evaluation phosphocompost on yield of soybean and sorghum. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 21: 361-364.

Raundal, P.U., Sabale, R.N. and Dalvi, M.D. (1999).
Effect of phospho-manures on crop yield in greengram-wheat cropping system. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 24: 151-154.

(Received: August 2002; Revised: April 2003)

adras Agric. J. 90 (7-9): 525-527 July-September 2003

search Notes

# dentification of efficient cropping zone for sugarcane in Tamil Nadu

. THAVAPRAKASH AND G. SENTHILKUMAR
epartment of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu

Sugarcane is the main source of sugar 90%) in India and holds a prominent position a cash crop. India has the largest area under garcane in the world and also has neck-neck race with Brazil in case of production igar industry is the second largest agro-based dustry in India. In Tamil Nadu, sugarcane being cultivated in almost all districts. On oductivity (production had) basis Tamil Nadu nks first in India. Though sugarcane is being cultivated widely in Tamil Nadu, the yields are varying much due to the variation of climate

and edaphic factor. So there is a need to identify the efficient cropping zone (ECZ) for increasing the productivity and area under sugarcane.

A study was carried out at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 2001 to identify the ECZ for sugarcane in Tamil Nadu. The district and state data related to area, production and productivity of sugarcane and data on total cultivable area were collected for five years (1991-92, 1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95 and 1995-96) from Agrostat (1996).

Table 1. Criteria for ECZ

Efficiency category	RYI	RSI	Cropping zone
<u> </u>	125 (High)	100 (High)	Most ECZ
2	125 (High)	<75 (Low)	ECZ
3	<75 (Low)	100 (High)	Not ECZ
4	· <75 (Low)	< 75 (Low)	Not ECZ

Table 2. Efficient cropping zone for sugarcane in Tamil Nadu

					RYI	L							R	RSI				Crop-
No.	District	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	Mean	35	Cate- gory	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	Mean	35	Cate- gory	ping
1	Kancheepuram	113	8	100	88	88	8	10.65	н	74	8	75	8	92	F	2.58	H	MECZ
7	Cuddalore	102	8	105	8	F	8	12.98	Н	229	210	195	198	235	213	18.00	H	MECZ
e,	Villupuram	80	81	8	8	8	81	2.11	Н	502	8	208	217	189	205	10.31	H	MECZ
4	Vellore	20	2	62	23	2	8	996	u	142	183	220	218	205	180	39.83	H	Not ECZ
3	Thirnvannamalai	88	81	8	22	8	8	8.44	H	134	911	149	131	137	133	11.89		MECZ
9	Salem	131	100	114	101	8	110	14.74	H	16	123	124	8	ጸ	105	17.36		MEC
7	Dharmapuri	8	109	8	8	8	8	13.30	H	74	16	8	69	4	155	24.46		MEG
∞	Erode	119	8	901	8	102	109	10.57	н	131	130	183	158	175	122	18.22		NEC
6	Coimbatore	119	120	16	8	88	8	18.86	H	127	140	102	138	5	115	20.21	H	MEC2
10,	The Nilgiris	8	ま	102	F	26	8	9.36	Н	-		-	-	.7		1.00		Not EC
11	Thanjavur	101	16	8	27	102	16	13.32	Н	124	118	7	86	\$	ይ	24.26	H	MEC2
	Nagapattinam	F	8	81	19	8	F	10.58	Ħ	\$	37	25	38	42	<b>£</b>	20.15	u	EG
	Trichy	102	F	122	8	109	20	13.37	H	103	100	8	121	103	절	986	H	MEC2
	Pudukkottai	16	103	102	82	105	8	11.19	H	8	77	22	23	47	28	6.05	u	ECZ
15.	Madurai	형	8	112	8	88	8	16.25	H	153	110	8	128	8	8	11.03	H	MEC
16.	Dindugal	901	00T ·	125	8	F	88	16.37	H	80	8	S	75	8	8	7.98		MEC2
17.	Ramanad	25	ぉ	102	92	88	8	9.38	н	-	7	m	3	7	m	1.52	Ы	E
18	Virudhunagar	88	88	109	12	8	83	13.61	Н	8	114	88	103	120	109	8.23		MEC
19.	Tirunelveli	ઝ	8	Ξ	7,	28	8	20.17	H	55	8	8	19	8	69	15.62		ECZ
	Sivagangai	88	8	102	80	8	2	9.55	H	126	135	145	131	123	132	8.60		MEG
717	Tuticorin	8	8	102	80	8	16	11.44	H	3	'n	2	ю	00	4	2.39		EZ

The collected data were used to compute relative yield index (RYI) and relative spread index (RSI) as described by Kanwar (1972).

# RYI =

Mean yield of a particular crop in a district x 100

Mean yield of that particular crop in the state

#### RSI =

Area of the particular crog expressed as percentage of total cultivable area in the districts

Area of that crop expressed as percentage to the tota cultivable area in the state

For each year, the RYI and RSI were calculated separately and finally mean of five years was arrived to fix up the ECZ. By combining both indices, four classes of cropping zone were identified as given in Table 1.

In addition, co efficient of variation (CV was also computed for RY and RSI for each distric among the year of study

From the compute data (Table 2) it can b interpreted that, out of 2 districts in Tamil Nad where the sugarcane is bein cultivated, only 14 distric were under most efficien cropping zone (MECZ) for sugarcane. The districts an Kancheepuram, Cuddalor Villupuram, Tiruvanni malai, Salem, Dharmapur Erode, Coimbatore, Thai

Table 3. Coefficient of variation for RYI and RSI for MECZ districts

Sl.No.	9 %	- District	CV (	%)
	÷.		RYI .	RSI
.1	1	Kancheepuram	10.65	2.85
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		Cuddalore	12.98	18.00
3		Villupuram	2.11	10.31
4		Tiruvannamalai	8.44	11.87
5		Salem	14.74	17.36
6		Dharmapuri	13.30	24.46
7		Erode	10.57	18.22
8		Coimbatore	18.86	20.21
9		Thanjavur	13.32	24.26
10		Trichy	13.37	-9.86
11		Madurai	16.25	11.03
12		Dindigul	16.37	7.96
13		Virudhunagar	13.61	8.23
14		Sivagangai	9.55	8.60

avur, Trichy, Dindugul, Virudhunagar, Sivagangai and Madurai. Since these districts have favourable climates and soil type, the productivity was higher which in turn increased the spread of the crop. Among the 14 districts identified as MECZ for sugarcane, considering the coefficient of variation (CV) values for RYI and RSI (Table 3) the districts Kancheepuram, Villupuram and Sivagangai exhibit a stabilised RYI and RSI. By introducing high yielding strains of sugarcane along with component technologies in these districts, there is a greater scope for intensive cropping of sugarcane and also to increase its productivity.

In the second category of ECZ, the districts included are Nagapattinam, Pudukkottai, Tirunelveli, Tuticorin, Ramanathapuram. In these districts, the low spread might be due to competition from other crops. In this zone, where the yield potential is good, yet spread is low and hence, efforts should be made mainly to increase the area of the crop by some change in the Government policies or by intensifying extension activities. It would be more remunerative to grow crops, which are most efficient than sugarcane, rather than to extend the area under sugarcane considering its high RYI.

Vellore district fell under zone category 3 (Not ECZ). The Nilgiris fell under the zone 4 (Not ECZ) where both RYI and RSI were low. In these districts sugarcane must be substituted with other efficient crops in order to improve the productivity of the zone.

Since the study was done for district level, after identifying the concerned district for most ECZ for a particular crop, in depth study may be done at taluk and village level of the concerned district in order to have micro level delineation of MECZ. The collected information would serve as first hand information to the policy makers, researchers, development workers and also farmers of Tamil Nadu.

To conclude, the districts Kancheepuram, Cuddalore, Villupuram, Tiruvannamalai, Salem, Dharmapuri, Erode, Coimbatore, Thanjavur, Trichy, Dindugul, Virudhunagar, Sivagangai and Madurai are most efficient cropping zones for sugarcane particularly Kancheepuram, Villupuram and Sivagangai which had lower coefficient of variation values in both relative yield index and relative spread index.

## References

Agrostat (1996). Directorate of Agriculture. Chepak, Chennai-5, p.26.

Kanwar, J. (1972). Cropping patterns, scope and concept. In: Symp. on cropping pattern in India, ICAR, New Delhi, p.11-39.

(Received: June 2002; Revised: April 2003)