Efficiency and effectiveness of physical and chemical mutagens in urdbean (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper)

A.J. DEEPALAKSHMI AND C.R. ANANDA KUMAR

Dept. of Agrl. Botany, Agrl. College and Res. Instt. Tamil Nadu Agrl. Univ., Coimbatore- 641 003, Tamil Nadu

Abstract: A study was undertaken in a blackgram variety CO 5 to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of physical and chemical mutagen viz. gamma ray and EthylMethane Sulphonate (EMS) respectively. Gamma rays were found to be more effective than EMS in producing chlorophyll and viable mutants on M, plant and M, seedling bases as well as efficient on lethality and sterility bases. Based on injury, EMS was found to be more efficient than gamma rays.

Key words: Efficiency, Effectiveness, Chlorophyll and Viable mutants.

Introduction

A crop plant can be improved in productivity, resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses etc., when the genetic variability for the specific trait is available in the considered population or species. Besides, the inherent variation present in the crop genetic variation can subsequently be made in available in the needed crop plants by recombination breeding. In some cases like pulse crop, due to their autogamous nature and problems of flower drop improvement through hybridization and recombination becomes difficult. Hence, creating variability by mutagenic agents is of paramount importance for pulse crop improvement. Hence, a study was undertaken to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of mutagens in order to find out the suitable mutagen at appropriate dose/concentration so as to use them in applied mutagenesis studies.

Materials and Methods

Seeds of CO5 blackgram (Pureline selection from Musiri local) were subjected to seven different doses of gamma rays ranging from 20 to 80 kR with an interval of 10kR and six different concentrations of Ethyl Methane Sulphonate ranging from 20 to 70mM with 10mM interval. To raise M₁ generation, a total of thirteen treatments along with the control was sown in the field at the rate of 150 seeds for each treatment at a spacing of 30 x 15 cm at Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai during June, 1999 in a randomized block design with 3 replications. M₂ generation was raised on M₁ plant basis following plant

to progeny method in a randomized block design with 3 replications during January, 2000. Frequency of chlorophyll mutations was calculated per 100 M, plant and 100 M, seedling bases. The chlorophyll mutants were classified in accordance with the system of Gustaffson (1940) and Blixt and Gottschalk (1975). Frequency of viable mutations was calculated on M, plant and M, plant bases. Chlorophyll and macromutations were scored treatmentwise to study the mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency. Data on biological abnormalities such as injury, lethality and sterility in M, generation and chlorophyll mutation frequencies in M, generation were used to determine the mutagenic efficiency and effectiveness according to the formula suggested by Konzak et al. (1965).

Mutagenic effectiveness = MP/tc or kR Mutagenic efficiency = MP/L, MP/I, MP/S

where,

MP = Chlorophyll or viable mutants per 100 M₁ plants; t = Duration of treatment with chemical mutagen in hours; c = Concentration of chemical mutagen in mM; kR = Dose of gamma radiation; L = Percentage of lethality i.e. reduction in survival on 30th day; I = Percentage of injury i.e reduction in plant heght on 30th day; S = Percentage of seed sterility i.e. reduction in seed fertility.

Results and Discussion

Frequency

In M₂ generation, a total of 8057 seedlings was scored for chlorophyll mutations selected

Mutagen Mo. of M, plants No. of M, seedlings Mutant No. of M, plants Mutant Mut			Frequency	Frequency of chlorophyll mutants	m llyhdi	utants			Frequency	ency of viable	able mutants	ıts		
Scored Segre- For 100 Per 100 Scored Segre- Secretings Per 100 Scored Segre- Per 100 Per 100 Segre- Per 100 Segre- Per 100	Mutagen (Dose/ Conc)	No. of	M, plants	No. of M	, seedling		ant	No. of N	4, plants	No. of M	, seedlings	Muta freque	nt ncy	
15 - 71 -<		Scored	1 y 1	Scored	Segre- gated			Scored	Segre- gated	Scored	Segre- gated	Per 100 M, plants	Per 100 M, plants	
15 - 71 -	Gamma ra	ys.		k .										
15 2 700 5 13.33 0.71 15 5 672 14 33.33 2 15 3 674 8 20.00 1.19 15 4 645 10 2667 15 3 555 9 20.00 1.19 15 4 504 5 2607 15 4 479 6 2667 1.25 15 5 478 11 33.33 15 1 391 2 667 0.51 15 2 478 11 33.33 15 2 667 0.51 15 2 478 11 33.33 15 2 667 0.51 15 3 477 4 13.33 15 2 667 0.79 15 3 601 8 20.00 15 3 3 3 4 491 5 2667	Control	15	1	17	•	ì	ĸ	15		689		ě	•	
15 3 .674 8 20.00 1.19 15 4 645 10 2667 15 3 555 9 20.00 1.62 15 3 508 6 20.00 15 4 479 6 26.67 1.25 15 5 428 11 33.33 15 1 331 2 667 0.51 15 2 428 11 33.33 15 2 667 0.51 15 2 428 11 33.33 15 2 667 0.51 15 3 4 4200 15 2 667 0.51 15 3 4 4 413.33 15 2 667 0.79 15 4 491 5 2667 15 3 310 4 20.00 126 15 5 519 6 33.33 15	3000	3 2	2	200	2	13.33	0.71	15	5	672	14	33.33	2.08	
15 3 555 9 20.00 162 15 3 508 6 20.00 1 2 667 1.25 15 5 428 11 33.33 2 2667 6 2 3 2 2 2 3 4 13.33 3	3 8	2		674	00	20.00	1.19	. 15	4	55	2	26.67	1.55	
15 5 575 11 33.33 1.91 15 4 504 5 26.67 15 4 479 6 26.67 1.25 15 5 478 11 33.33 15 1 391 2 667 0.51 15 2 347 4 13.33 15 2 677 4 13.33 0.64 15 3 4 4 13.33 15 2 607 4 26.67 0.79 15 4 491 5 26.67 15 3 330 4 20.00 126 15 4 491 5 26.67 15 3 330 4 20.00 12.667 0.79 15 4 491 5 26.67 15 2 347 6 26.67 0.52 15 4 319 7 26.67 15 1 341	2 5	J 7.) r	555	6	20.00	1.62	15	e	208	9	20.00	1.18	
15 4 479 6 26.67 1.25 15 5 428 11 33.33 7 15 1 391 2 667 0.51 15 2 347 4 13.33 15 2 677 4 13.33 0.64 15 2 347 4 13.33 15 2 677 4 26.67 0.79 15 4 491 5 26.67 15 3 356 7 20.00 1.26 15 4 491 5 26.67 15 3 330 4 20.00 1.26 15 5 519 6 33.33 15 3 388 2 26.67 0.52 15 4 13.33 15 2 347 6 26.67 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67 15 1 341 2 667 <td>? §</td> <td>jπ</td> <td>v</td> <td>575</td> <td>Ξ</td> <td>33.33</td> <td>1.91</td> <td>15</td> <td>4</td> <td>Ř</td> <td>5</td> <td>26.67</td> <td>0.99</td> <td></td>	? §	jπ	v	575	Ξ	33.33	1.91	15	4	Ř	5	26.67	0.99	
15 1 391 2 667 0.51 15 2 347 4 13.33 15 2 677 4 13.33 0.64 15 3 601 8 20.00 15 2 677 4 26.67 0.79 15 4 491 5 26.67 15 3 556 7 20.00 1.26 15 5 519 6 33.33 15 3 556 7 20.00 1.29 15 5 519 6 33.33 15 3 356 7 20.00 1.29 15 5 519 6 33.33 15 3 356 7 26.67 0.52 15 2 550 4 20.00 15 2 547 6 26.67 0.52 15 4 319 7 26.67 15 1 341 2 667 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67	3	3 ኢ	1	479	9	26.67	1.25	15	9	428	11	33.33	2.57	
15 2 627 4 13.33 0.64 15 3 601 8 20.00 15 2 507 4 26.67 0.79 15 - 679 - - 679 15 2 507 4 26.67 0.79 15 4 491 5 26.67 15 3 556 7 20.00 1.26 15 5 519 6 33.33 15 3 310 4 20.00 1.29 15 3 290 4 20.00 15 3 388 2 26.67 0.52 15 2 530 4 13.33 15 2 547 6 26.67 1.10 15 2 530 4 13.33 15 1 341 2 667 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67 15 1 341 2 667 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67	8 8	3 T		391	2	6.67	0.51	15	7	347	4	13.33	1.15	
15 - 679 - - 679 - <td< td=""><td>8</td><td>15</td><td>7</td><td>627</td><td>4</td><td>13.33</td><td>0.64</td><td>15</td><td>Э</td><td>109</td><td>ø</td><td>20.00</td><td>133</td><td></td></td<>	8	15	7	627	4	13.33	0.64	15	Э	109	ø	20.00	133	
15 - 692 - - - 679 - - 679 15 2 507 4 26.67 0.79 15 4 491 5 26.67 15 3 556 7 20.00 1.26 15 5 519 6 33.33 15 3 310 4 20.00 1.29 15 5 519 6 33.33 15 2 388 2 26.67 0.52 15 .2 378 3 13.33 15 2 547 6 26.67 1.10 15 2 530 4 13.33 15 1 341 2 667 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67	EMS (mM,													
15 2 507 4 26.67 0.79 15 4 491 5 26.67 15 3 556 7 20.00 1.26 15 5 519 6 33.33 15 3 310 4 20.00 1.29 15 3 200 4 20.00 15 2 388 2 26.67 0.52 15 .2 378 3 13.33 15 2 547 6 26.67 1.10 15 2 530 4 13.33 15 1 341 2 667 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67	Control	15	•	692	ā		*	15	•	619	•		• }	
15 3 556 7 20.00 1.26 15 5 519 6 33.33 15 3 310 4 20.00 1.29 15 3 290 4 20.00 15 2 388 2 26.67 0.52 15 .2 378 3 13.33 15 2 547 6 26.67 1.10 15 2 530 4 13.33 15 1 341 2 6.67 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67	200	5	2	207	4	26.67	0.79	15	4	491	S	26.67	1.02	
15 3 310 4 20.00 1.29 15 3 290 4 20.00 15 2 388 2 26.67 0.52 15 .2 378 3 13.33 15 2 547 6 26.67 1.10 15 2 530 4 13.33 15 1 341 2 6.67 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67	3 8			556	7	20.00	1.26	15	3	519	9	33,33	1.16	
15 2 388 2 26.67 0.52 15 .2 378 3 13.33 15 2 547 6 26.67 1.10 15 2 530 4 13.33 15 1 341 2 6.67 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67	8 €	7	. "	310	-4	20.00	1.29	15	e	230	4	20.00	1.37	
15 2 547 6 26.67 1.10 15 2 530 4 13.33 15 1 341 2 6.67 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67	3	1 2	, ,	8	2	26.67	0.52	15	,2	378	m	13.33	62.0	
15 1 341 2 6.67 0.59 15 4 319 7 26.67	3 8	3 7	,	547	9	26.67	1.10	15	7	530	4	13.33	0.75	
	3 8	3 22		*	7	19'9	0.59	15	4	319	1	26.67	2.19	

from 225 M, plant progenies both for gamma rays and EMS treatments. Percentage of plants segregating for chlorophyll deficiency on the basis of M, plant and M, seedling bases is furnished in Table 1. Generally chlorophyll mutants occurred in all the doses of gamma rays in different frequencies. In gamma rays doses, the frequency increased from 20 to 50kR or M, plant and M, seedling bases and thereafter reduction in frequency was observed. Chlorophyll mutation frequency per 100 M, plants and M, seedling bases was maximum at 50kR. On M, plant basis, 20,50 and 60 mM EMS concentrations recorded maximum mutation frequency of 26.67 per cent. On M, seedling basis, maximum mutation frequency was observed at 40mM (1.29%). Frequency of chlorophyll mutants was the highest on M, plant basis compared to M, seedling basis, as recorded by Vanniarajan (1989) and Ahmed John (1997) in blackgram. In this study, the recovery of mutants was less under higher doses of gamma rays and EMS treatments. This might be due to the fact that many of the mutations at higher doses might be eliminated due to lethality. Lower doses of gamma rays were more efficient than higher

Table 2. Mutagenic efficiency and effectiveness in creating chlorophyll mutants on ivi, piant and M, seedling bases

(dose/	Survival	Height	Sood	Mutants per	ts per	Effectivenes	iveness	¥ 3			Efficiency		
	on 30th	on 30th	reduction	72.1		to (0	to (or) kR		M, plant basis	esis .	M,	M, seedling basis	sis
	day (Letha- lity) (L) (%)	day (Injury) (I) %	(Sterility)	100 M ₁ plants (MP)	scedlings (MP)	M, plant basis	M, seed- lings basis	MP x 100	MP x 100	MP x 100 S	MP x 100 L	MP x 100 I	MP x 100 S
Gamin	Jamma rays			u*1									
(kR)				*!!							2000	12-12-12	
8	6.87	23.77	2.45	13.33	0.71	66.65	3.55	194.03	26.08	544.08	10.33	2.99	28.98
8	19.08	29.01	4.76	20.00	1.19	1999	3.97	104.82	68.94	420.17	6.24	4.10	25.00
9	30 53	31.48	8.19	20.00	1.62	50.00	4.05	65.51	63.53	244.20	5.31	5.15	19.78
6	18 54	30.17	15.40	33.33	1.91	99.99	3.82	86.48	110.47	216.43	4.96	6.33	12.40
8	64.88	30.32	17.57	26.67	1.25	44.45	2.08	41.11	87.96	151.79	1.93	4.12	7.11
3 8	85.49	50.62	44.65	199	0.51	9.53	0.73	7.80	13.18	14.94	0.60	1.01	1.14
8	40.83	13.19	20.90	13,33	0.64	16.66	0.80	32.65	101.06	63.78	1.57	4.85	3.06
ENES (mW)	447	V/											
2		27.67	12.11	26.67	0.79	22.23	99'0	144.47	96.39	220.23	4.28	2.86	6.52
8	34.61	18.53	13.60	20.00	1.26	11.11	0.70	57.79	107.93	147.06	3.64	08.9	9.26
9	45.39	1.27	18.76	20.00	1.29	8.33	0.54	44.06	1574.80	106.61	2.84	101.57	88.9
8	32.70	9.22	22.62	26.67	0.52	8.89	0.17	81.56	289.26	117.90	1.59	5.64	2.30
8	40.00	3.80	35.83	26.67	1.10	7.41	0.31	89'99	701.84	74,43	2.75	28.95	3.07
8	48.85	19.28	38.25	6.67	0.59	1.59	0.14	13.65	34.60	17.44	121	3.06	4,

doses of EMS in producing chlorophyll mutations. Khan (1999) gave similar reports in blackgram. According to Ahmed John (1991), the induced genetic changes with low transmission frequency were probably associated with certain minute chromosomal aberra-tions which affected the gene transmission and the mutants were mostly conditioned by single recessive gene.

When the frequency of viable mutants was scored in 7600 M, seedlings selected from 225 M, plant progenies both for physical and chemical mutagenic treatments, (Table 1) it was maximum at 20 and 60kR gamma ray doses on M, plant basis and at 20kR on M, seedling basis. In EMS treatments, 30mM recorded maximum viable mutation frequency on M, plant basis and 40mM on M, plant basis. Frequency of viable mutation on M, plant and M, plant bases exhibited an inconsistent trend with increase in concentration of EMS (Vanniarajan, 1989. The possible cause of these macromutations may be chromosomal aberrations like small deficiencies or duplications and most probably gene mutations (Singh et al. 1980).

Effectiveness

Mutagenic effectiveness of chlorophyll mutations on M, plant and M, seedling bases is given in Table 2. Maximum and minimum effectiveness of chlorophyll mutations were observed at 30kR (66.67) and 70kR (9.53) respectively on M1 plant basis. On M, seedling basis, 40kR recorded maximum

Table 3. Mutagenic efficiency and effectiveness in creating viable mutants on M, and M, plant bases

(dose/	Survival	Height	Seed	Mutants per	ts per	Effecti MP 2	Effectiveness MP x 100				Efficiency		
conc)	on 30th	on 30th	reduction			to (o	to (or) kR		M, plant basis	asis	M,	M, seedling basis	sis
	day (Lema- lity) (L) (%)	(Injury) (I) %	(S)% (S)%	100 M ₁ plants (MP)	seedlings (MP)	M, plant basis	M, seed- lings basis	MP x 100	MP x 100	MP x 100 S	MP x 100 L	MP x 100 I	MP x 100 S
Gamm (kR)	Samma rays RR)											\. <u>*</u>	
20	283	23.77	2,45	33.33	2.08	166.65	10.40	485.15	140.22	1360.41	30.28	8.75	84.90
8	19.08	29.01	4.76	26.67	1.55	88.90	5.17	139.78	91.93	560.29	8.12	5,34	32.56
8	30.53	31.48	8.19	20.00	1.18	50.00	2.95	65.51	63.53	244.20	3.87	3.75	14.41
8	38.54	30.17	15.40	26.67	0.99	53.34	1.98	69.20	88.40	173.18	2,57	3.28	6,43
8	64.88	30.32	17.57	33,33	2.57	55.55	4.28	51.37	109.93	189.70	3.96	8.48	14.63
8	85.49	50.62	44.65	13,33	1.15	19.04	1.6	15.59	26.33	29.85	1.35	2.27	2.58
8	40.83	13.19	20.90	20.00	1.33	25.00	1.66	48.98	151.63	95.69	3.26	10.08	6.36
EMS (mM)	68												
8	18.46	27.67	12.11	26.67	1.02	22.23	0.85	144.47	96.39	220.23	5.53	3.69	8.42
30	34.61	18.53	13.60	33.33	1.16	18.52	0.64	96.30	179.87	245.07	3,35	6.26	8.53
8	45.39	1.27	18.76	20.00	1.37	8,33	0.57	44.06	1574.80	106.61	3.02	107.87	7,30
8	32.70	9.22	22.62	13.33	67.0	4.4	0.26	40.76	144.58	58.93	2.42	8.57	3.49
8,8	40.00	3.80	35.83	13.33	0.75	3.70	0.21	33.33	350.79	37.20	1.88	19.74	209
8	48.85	19.28	38.25	26.67	2.19	6,35	0.52	54.60	138.33	69.73	4.48	11.36	5.73

effectiveness of 4.05. Effectiveness of chlorophyll mutations was maximum at 20 mM (22.23) and 30mM (0.70) EMS concentrations on M, plant and M₂ seedling bases respectivel.

The effectiveness of gamma rays in inducing viable mutations ranged from 19.04 (70kR) to 166.65 (20kR) and 1.64 (70kR) to 10.40 (20kR) on M, plant and M, plant bases respectively. The effectiveness of viable mutations was maximum at 20kR and minimum at 70kR both on M, plant and M, plant bases. Maximum effectiveness of 22.23 and 0.85 were obtained at 20mM EMS concentration on M, plant and M, plant bases.

Generally, effectiveness of chlorophyll and viable mutants were higher in gamma ray treatment than EMS both on M, plant and M, seedling bases. Rajasekaran (1973) in blackgram and Gunasekaran et al. (1998) in cowpea have reported that gamma rays were more effective than chemical mutagen in inducing viable mutations. In the present study, the effectiveness decreased with increase in concentration of EMS. This was in confirmation with the findings of Vanniarajan (1989) in blackgram, Jebaraj and Marappan (1981) and Packiaraj (1988) in cowpea.

Efficiency

Efficiency of chlorophyll mutations based on lethality, injury and sterility is presented in Table 3. On M, plant basis, gamma ray treatments were more efficient than EMS in producing chlorophyll mutations since maximum efficiency of 194.03 and 544.08 was obtained at 20kR based on lethality and sterility bases. But based on injury, EMS treatments were more efficient than gamma rays in producing chlorophyll mutants because at 40mM EMS concentration the efficiency recorded was 1574.80 on M, plant basis.

The efficiency of viable mutants on M₁ plant and M₂ plant bases is presented in Table 3. In gamma ray doses, on sterility basis maximum efficiency of 1360.41 and 84.70 was recorded at 20kR on M₁ plant and M₂ plant bases respectively. On lethality basis, maximum efficiency of 485.15 at 20kR on M₁ plant basis and 30.28 at 20kR on M₂ plant basis were obtained. In EMS concentration, based on injury maximum efficiency 1574.80 was obtained at 40mM on M₁ plant basis and 107.87 at 40mM on M₂ plant basis.

Generally it was inferred from the present study that 20kR gamma ray dose was more efficient on lethality and sterility bases and 40mM EMS concentration was more efficient on injury basis in inducing chlorophyll and viable mutations. Vanniarajan (1989) and Ahmed John (1995) have reported that gamma rays were more efficient than EMS in inducing chlorophyll and viable mutations in blackgram.

References

- Ahmed John, S. (1991). Mutations studies in blackgram (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper), Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli.
- Ahmed John, S. (1995). Effectiveness of efficiency of gamma rays in blackgram. Madras Agric. J. 82: 417-419.
- Ahmed John, S. (1997). Effect of gamma irradiation on segregation ratio and mutations frequency in parents and hybrids of urdbean. Madras Agric. J. 84: 232-234.

- Blixt, S. and Gottschalk, W. (1975). Mutation in the leguminosae. Agric. Hort. Geneet. 33: 33-85.
- Gunasekaran, M., Selvaraj, U. and Raveendran, T.S. (1998). Induced polygenic mutations in cowpea. South Indian Hortic. 46: 13-17.
- Gustafsson, A. (1940). The mutation system of the chlorophyll apparatus. Luds. Univ. Arsskv. 36: 1-40.
- Jebaraj, S. and Marappan, P.V. (1981). Mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of gamma ray and ethylmethane sulphonate in greengram (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek). Madras Agric. J. 68: 631-637.
- Khan, M.N. (1999). Mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of EMS, gamma rays and their combinations in blackgram. Advan. Plant. Sci. 12: 203-205.
- Konzak, C.F., Nilan, R.A., Wagner, J. and Foster, R.J. (1965). Efficient chemical mutagenesis. The use of induced mutatios in plant breeding. Rad. Bot. (Suppl.). 5: 49-70.
- Packiaraj, D. (1988). Studies on induced mutagenesis of parents and hybrid in cowpea (Vigna unquiculata (L.) Walp.) M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.
- Rajasekaran, V.P.A. (1973). Studies on induced mutagenesis in blackgram. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.
- Singh, O.P., Sharma, B.L. and Kundlia, B.A. (1980). Induced variability in mung following two methods of handling M₂ population. Trop. Grain Legume Bull. 19: 30-34.
- Vanniarajan, C. (1989). Studies on induced mutagenesis in blackgram (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003.
- (Received: September 2002; Revised: January 2003)