2070 and 2125 kg/ha respectively and were superior to other treatments.

Rathi et al. (1982) reported that fenvalerate 0.01 per cent spray was toxic to S.litura and was superior to other insecticides viz. phenthoate (0.05%), dimethoate (0.05%) and quinalphos (0.05%). The synthetic pyrethroids decamethrin (0.005%), cypermethrin (0.01%) and fenvalerate (0.01%) were found to be effective in checking S.litura on groundnut (Patel and Vyas, 1989). Mathirajan (1998) reported that 15 g ai./ha of lambdacyhalothrin was effective against S.litura on groundnut. In the present study also, betacyfluthrin 025 EC effectively checked the population of S.litura and a dose of 18.75 g ai./ha is sufficient for control of the pest and to obtain an increased pod yield.

### Acknowledgement

The financial assistance from Bayer (India)

Ltd. to the first and second authors in the form of Junior Research Fellowship is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

Jaglan, R.S., Sircar, P. and Dhingra, S. (1997).
Relative efficacy of solvents and emulsifiers

K. Ramesh Babu, M. Chelladural and G. Santhara in designing of the toxicity of decamethin emulsion to Spodoptera litura, Indian Ent. 58: 226-230.

Mathirajan, V.G. (1998). Bioefficacy and dete mination of lambdacyhalothrin (Karathe EC) residues on brinjal, tomato and chillie M.Sc.(Agri.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultur University, Coimbatore-641 003.

Patel, R.L. and Vyas, H.N. (1989). Evaluation toxicity of some insecticides against the eggs of Spodoptera litura infesting ground nut. Agricultural Science Digest (Karnal) 9: 110-112.

Ramana, V.V., Reddy, G.P.V. and Krishnamurthy M.M. (1988). Synthetic pyrethroids and other bait formulations in the control of Spodopter litura (Fab.) attacking rabi groundnut Pesticides, 1: 13-16.

Rathi, R.K., Thakur, R.C., Kharthi, A.K. and Patitunda K.A. (1982). Relative efficacy of some mode: insecticides against *Spodoptera litura* (Fab. on soybean. *Pestology*, 6: 13.

(Received: May 2002; Revised: June 2002



Madras Agric. J. 90 (4-6): 368-371 April-June 2003

Research Notes

## Acute toxicity of betacyfluthrin to Helicoverpa armigera

K. RAMESHBABU, M. CHELLADURAI AND G. SANTHARAM

Department of Agrl. Entomology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641 003. Tamil Nadu

Helicoverpa armigera (Hub.) is the most dreaded insect pest in agriculture, accounting for the consumption of over 30 per cent of the total insecticides used all over the world. An annual crop loss to Helicoverpa in India has been estimated to around Rs.2000 crores inspite of the use of insecticides worth about Rs.5000 crores (Pawar, 1998). The pest has assumed major status in crops like cotton, pigeonpea, chickpea, groundnut, sunflower, tomato and many

other crops of economic importance. Synthetic pyrethroids are playing an important role in the control of *H.armigera* all over the work because of their quick action, high insecticida efficacy and low mammalian toxicity (Sidht et al. 1983). Betacyfluthrin 025 EC (Bulldock is a new insecticide of the pyrethroid group developed by Bayer. In the present study the acute toxicity of betacyfluthrin was evaluated against *H.armigera*.

able 1. Acute toxicity of betacyfluthrin for Helicoverpa armigera larvae - Topical application method

l. lo.	Instars			95 per cent fiducial limits			
		Time (h)	LC <sub>50</sub> (ppm)	Upper limit (ppm)	Lower limit (ppm)	y = a+bx	$\chi^2$ at p=0.05
	Second	24 48	0.635 0.465	0.835 0.639	0.484 0.338	y = 3.779 + 1.52 x y = 4.027 + 1.459 x	2.239 3.095
	Third	. 24	2.009 1.292	2.927 1.883	1.379 0.887	y = 3.398 + 1.229 x y = 3.314 + 1.517 x	1.302 3.426
hee	Fourth	24 48	2.418 4.277	2.838 5.793	2.059 3.157	y = 1.302 + 2.673 x y = 3.072 + 1.182 x	3.079 1.587
k.,.	Fifth	24 48	13.227 12.061	14.963 13.637	11.692 10.666	y = 4.225 + 4.349 x y = 5.079 + 4.843 x	3.846 10.564
j	Sixth	24 48	16.966 15.249	18.885 17.065	15.242 13.627	y = 3.928 + 4.004 x y = 4.007 + 4.126 x	4.875 8.602

All lines ar good fit (p<0.05)

Table 2. Acute toxicity of betacyfluthrin for Helicoverpa armigera larvae - Larval dip method

SI. No.	Instars	Time (h)	LC <sub>50</sub> (ppm)	95 per cent fiducial limits			- 15
				Upper limit (ppm)	Lower limit (ppm)	y = a+bx	χ² at p=0.05
1.	Second	24 48	1.315 0.842	1.668 1.106	1.037 0.641	y = 3.241 + 1.563 x y = 3.694 + 1.411 x	5.534 5.534
2.	Third	24 48	3.447 2.820	4.788 3.763	2.481 2.114	y = 3.196 + 1.174 x y = 2.954 + 1.411 x	1.875 7.775
3,	Fourth	24 48	4.810 2.964	6.173 3.972	3.748 2.212	y = 2.537 + 1.464 x y = 3.036 + 1.334 x	7.752 3.463
4.	Fifth	24 48	16.346 14.092	18.808 16.443	14.206 12.076	y = 1.812 + 3.075 x y = 1.991 + 3.253 x	4.297 4.448
5.	Sixth :	24 48	19.931 18.306	22.090 20.496	17.983 16.350	y = 3.698 + 3.782 x y = 3.213 + 3.629 x	1.092 1.326

All lines are good fit (p<0.05)

Acute toxicity of betacyfluthrin was assessed using two methods viz. larval dip and topical application on the laboratory cultured H.armigera larvae of different instars.

### a) Topical assay method

Serial dilutions of technical grade betacyfluthrin with 98.7 per cent purity (obtained from Bayer (India) Ltd.) were prepared in analytical grade acetone. By dissolving 0.101 g of technical grade in 100 ml of acetone, 1000 ppm of betacyfluthrin was prepared. Different concentration of betacyfluthrin from 0.25 ppm to 40 ppm were prepared by serial dilution and used for the acute toxicity experiments. An aliquot of 1 µl of known dilution was delivered on the thoracic dorsum of larvae using a repeating dispenser (PB 600-1, Hamilton Co. Ltd.) fitted with Rheodyne needle. For untreated check, larvae were treated with acetone

K. Ramesh Babu, M. Chelladural and G. Santharar. HAT for second, third, fourth, fifth and sixtl

alone. Not less than 40 larvae per dose were used per treatment. Mortality counts were taken at 24 and 48 hours after treatment. Bioassays were carried out at 26 ± 1°C under approximately 12 h: 12 h LD photoperiod.

### b) Larval dip method

Aqueous dilution of betacyfluthrin 025 EC (25 g of betacyfluthrin in one litre) were prepared in distilled water. Betacyfluthrin 50 ppm was prepared by dissolving 2 ml of betacyfluthrin 025 EC in one litre of distilled water. By serially diluting this, different concentrations of betacyfluthrin from 1 ppm to 40 ppm were prepared. Batches of different instars of H.armigera larvae were submerged for 5 seconds as described by Watkinson et al. (1984). A group of 20 larvae were placed on a clean muslin cloth (10x10 cm size) and dipped in 100 ml of the appropriate dilution in a 500 ml beaker and gently swirled for 5 seconds to ensure complete wetting. Muslin cloth along with the group of larvae was removed and the excess liquid was removed by using a filter paper and shade-dried for 5 min. Then the treated larvae were transferred individually into semi-synthetic diet. For untreated check, insects were treated with distilled water alone. based on the Finney's (1971) method of probit analysis.

The results on acute toxicity of betacyfluthrin to H.armigera indicated that 50 per cent mortality of larvae was obtained at a very low concentration for early instars and high concentration for late instars.

# a) Topical application method

The LC<sub>50</sub> of betacyfluthrin for second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth instars of laboratory cultured H.armigera was 0.635, 2.009, 2.418, 13.227 and 16.966 ppm respectively at 24 h after treatment (HAT) and 0.465, 1.292, 4.277, 12.061 and 15.249 at 48 HAT respectively (Table 1).

# b) Larval dip method

The LC<sub>50</sub> value of betacyfluthrin assessed y larval dip method was 1.315, 3.477, 4.810, 16.346 and 19.931 ppm at 24 HAT and 0.842, 2.820, 2.964, 14.092 and 18.306 ppm at 48 instars respectively (Table 2). Gouthaman (1994) found that the LDs

of cypermethrin and fenvalerate for thrid instar larvae of H.armigera by larval dip was 0.75 and 1.40 ppm respectively. Lagadic and Bernard (1993) reported that the LC50 of cyfluthrin for fourth instar larvae of Heliothis virescens (F.) was 3.1 μg/g by using treated diet method. Srinivas (1987) found that LC<sub>50</sub> of cypermethrin and fenvalerate for third instar larvae of H.armigera was 1.103 and 1.152 µg/g respectively. In present study also, more or less similar results were obtained for betacyfluthrin.

Between the two methods used viz. topical application method and larval dip method, variation in the LC, of betacyfluthrin was observed. In topical application, insects required less toxicant than in larval dip method. The possible reasons may be, in the topical application method insect is exposed to the toxicant for longer time and further in this method technical grade material with purity of 99.7 per cent was used. While in larval dip method, the insects were exposed for 5 seconds only and formulated betacyfluthrin was used.

### Acknowledgements

The financial assistance from Bayer (India) Ltd. to the first and second authors in the form of Junior Research Fellowship is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

Finney, D.J. (1971). Probit analysis and statistical treatment of the sigmoid response curve. Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 318p.

Gouthaman, S. (1994). Studies on monitoring techniques for detecting insecticide resistance in Helicoverpa armigera. M.Sc.(Agri.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641 003.

Lagadic, L. and Bernard, L. (1993). Topical and oral activities of imidacloprid and cyfluthrin against susceptible laboratory strains of Heliothis virescens and Spodoptera littoralis. Pestic. Sci. 38: 323-328.

Pawar, C.S. (1998). Helicoverpa, A national problem which needs a national policy and commitment for its management. Pestology, 22: 51-58.

Sidhu, A.S., Chahal, B.S. and Sukhija, S.S. (1983). Adaptive trials with synthetic pyrethroids for the control of cotton bollworm in Punjab. Pesticides, 17: 13-14.

irinivas, P.R. (1987). Studies on the ecology and management of gram pod borer *Helicoverpa* armigera. Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641 003. Watkinson, I.A., Wireman, J. and Robinson, J. (1984).

A simple test for field evaluation of the susceptibility of insect pests to pesticides. In: Proceedings of British Crop Protection Conference-Pests and Diseases. British Society of Chemical Industry. Brighton, UK. 559-564 pp.

(Received: May 2002; Revised: June 2002)



Madras Agric. J. 90 (4-6): 371-373 April-June 2003

Research Notes

## Life table studies for rice leaf folders

N. CHITRA, R.P. SOUNDARARAJAN AND K. GUNATHILAGARAJ
Department of Agrl. Entomology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641 003, Tamil Nadu

Rice crop is vulnerable to attack by more han 800 insect species. In tropical Asia, 20 species are of major importance and of regular occurrence (Dale, 1994). The high magnitude of yield loss in rice is largely attributed to the lepidopterous stemborers, homopterous leafand plant hoppers and a complex of leaf feeding insects. In the later group, rice leaf folders are the main species (Reissig et al. 1986). Sabaratinam and Vennila (1996) had indentified that the research on the leaf folders is the most important technical need for the farmers of Tamil Nadu. Though reports are available on the rice leaf folders, the life table study for the rice leaf folder is wanting.

Leaf folders viz. Cnaphalocrosis medinalis
Gueene and Marasmia patnalis Bradley were
reared following the method of Waldbauer and
Marciano (1979). Ten pairs of newly emerged
adults were allowed to mate and the mated
females were introduced into wooden oviposition
cages (45x45x60 cm) having 45-day-old Taichung
Native 1 (TN 1) plant for four days. One day
after oviposition, the plants with eggs were
removed and placed separately in wooden rearing
cages (45x45x60 cm) for hatching. The larvae

hatched from 100 eggs of each species (C.medinalis and M.patnalis) were individually reared on TN 1 plants. The larvae were maintained separately to study the developmental period, survival and longevity. The average temperature and relative humidity during the study period (August 1998 to April 2000) was 26.1°C to 32.9°C and 76.0 to 93.5 per cent respectively. The life table was constructed as per the method of Carey (1993).

The life table studies revealed that the egg period lasted for 7 days, larval period 25 days, pupal period 7 days and the adult longevity 9 days for C.medinalis while it was 8, 29 and 8 days respectively for M.patnalis (Table 1 and 2). C.medinalis suffered the highest mortality during the larval stage (12.00%) than during the egg stage (10.00%) and the pupal stages (5.00%), while M.patnalis suffered a heavy mortality during egg (18.00%) and larva (15.00%) when compared to the pupa (2.00%). Among the two cohorts C.medinalis reached adulthood of 73 per cent while in M.patnalis only 65 per cent reached adulthood. The expectation of life of a newly hatched larva was 32.29 days and the entropy value for C.medinalis