

with 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> organic manures (FYM or GLM)/ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> bio-fertilizer (BGA) proved superior to other treatments for wet season rice. On the residual soil fertility to mustard crop, the result was not encouraging and this indicated that for higher mustard yield in this system, additional nutrients have to be applied to the succeeding crop mustard.

## References

- Berastetskii, O.A., Andreeva, N.A. and Patyak, V.K. (1986). Nitrogen fixing cyanobacteria in the rhizosphere of rice grown continuously and in crop rotation. *Soviet Agriculture Biology* 1, *Plant Biology*, No.3, pp.120-124.
- Chakraborty, P.K., Mondal, L.N. and Majumdar, A. (1988). Organic and chemical sources of nitrogen, its effect on nitrogen transformation and rice productivity under submerged conditions. *J.Agric.Sci. UK* II: 91-94.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi.
- Kanwar, J.S. (1981). Soil fertility: Theory and practices, ICAR, New Delhi, pp.156-201.
- Mohapatra, A.K. and Pradhan, D.C. (1990). *Sesban* green leaf manuring doubles the profit. *Agriculture Extension Review*, September-October 1990, pp.7-8.
- Piper, C.S. (1950). Soil and plant analysis. Interscience Pub. New York.
- Rathore, A.L., Chipde, S.J. and Pal, A.R. (1999). Direct and residual effects of bio-organic and inorganic fertilizers in rice-wheat cropping system. *Indian J. Agron.* 40: 1-19.
- Sharma, A.R. and Mittra, B.N. (1990). In rice based cropping systems in eastern India organic manures should be combined with chemical fertilizers. *Indian Farming*, 40: 40-42.
- Singh, A.L. and Singh, P.K. (1987). Nitrogen fixation and balance studies on rice soil. *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 4: 15-19.
- Singh, Surendra, Prasad, R. and Sharma, S.N. (1999). Effect of blue green algae, nitrogen levels and modified urea materials on yield attributes and yield of wet land rice. *Indian J. Agron.* 40: 594-597.

(Received : March 2002; Revised : September 2002)



Madras Agric. J. 90 (4-6) : 344-347 April-June 2003

## Research Notes

# Effect of foliar nutrition of major and chelated micronutrients and rhizobium seed treatment on rice-fallow blackgram

V. MANIVANNAN AND K. THANUNATHAN

Dept. of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar-608 002, Tamil Nadu

Blackgram is the predominant pulse crop of Tamil Nadu and it is the main source of food protein to the vegetarians. The crop is mainly raised in rice-fallow after harvest of samba rice crop. Foliar application of macro and micronutrients and seed treatment with *Rhizobium* biofertilizer were reported to be efficient in increasing the grain yield, haulm yield, NPK uptake and protein content of pulse grains (Gopal Singh and Sudhakar, 1991). In order to find out the effect of *Rhizobium* seed treatment and foliar application of macro and chelated micronutrients on grain yield, haulm

yield, nutrient uptake and protein content of rice-fallow blackgram, the present investigation was undertaken.

Field experiments were conducted during the year 1999-2000 (Rice-fallow condition) on Vertisols of Annamalai University Experiment Farm, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu. The blackgram cv. ADT 3 was grown as test crop. The experimental soil was found to be neutral in reaction (pH 7.2) with EC of 0.5 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. The available N, P and K were 23, 21.8 and 285 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Table 1. Effect of foliar application of macro and micro-nutrients (Microsol) and Rhizobium seed inoculation on grain yield and haulm yield of rice - fallow blackgram

| Treatments  | Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |         | Haulm yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |
|---|------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|---------|
|   | Crop I                             | Crop II | Crop I                             | Crop II |
| Control (No foliar spray)                           | 660.6                              | 582.3   | 957.0                              | 930.3   |
| <i>Rhizobium</i> seed treatment                     | 789.6                              | 693.9   | 1470.3                             | 1196.6  |
| DAP spray 30 and 45 DAS                             | 924.6                              | 810.3   | 1635.0                             | 1485.0  |
| Microsol spray 15 DAS                               | 1048.3                             | 962.0   | 1814.6                             | 1746.9  |
| Microsol spray 30 DAS                               | 1178.6                             | 976.9   | 2075.0                             | 2093.6  |
| Microsol spray 45 DAS                               | 919.0                              | 822.6   | 1520.6                             | 1451.0  |
| <i>Rhizobium</i> + DAP spray 30 and 45 DAS          | 1320.0                             | 1093.3  | 2480.6                             | 2359.0  |
| <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 15 DAS            | 1443.6                             | 1212.6  | 2815.6                             | 2610.3  |
| <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 30 DAS            | 1570.6                             | 1323.0  | 3109.6                             | 2896.0  |
| <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 45 DAS            | 1303.6                             | 1097.3  | 2451.0                             | 2367.6  |
| <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 15 and 30 DAS     | 1818.3                             | 1577.3  | 3654.6                             | 3409.9  |
| <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 30 and 45 DAS     | 1692.0                             | 1450.9  | 3383.0                             | 3147.3  |
| <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 15, 30 and 45 DAS | 1948.3                             | 1693.6  | 3916.0                             | 3697.3  |
| CD (P=0.05)   | 120.7                              | 108.4   | 244.3                              | 236.9   |

Table 2. Effect of foliar application of macro and micro-nutrients (Microsol) and Rhizobium seed inoculation on nutrient uptake of rice - fallow blackgram

| Treatments  | Nutrient uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at harvest |         |            |         |           |         |
|---|---|---------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|
|   | Nitrogen  |         | Phosphorus |         | Potassium |         |
|   | Crop I  | Crop II | Crop I     | Crop II | Crop I    | Crop II |
| T <sub>1</sub> Control (No foliar spray)                            | 64.2  | 62.1    | 13.16      | 12.07   | 54.2      | 58.2    |
| T <sub>2</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> seed treatment                      | 70.0  | 68.4    | 14.55      | 15.89   | 66.3      | 66.4    |
| T <sub>3</sub> DAP spray 30 and 45 DAS                              | 75.5  | 72.0    | 18.27      | 17.70   | 70.2      | 68.5    |
| T <sub>4</sub> Microsol spray 15 DAS                                | 76.9  | 76.5    | 18.09      | 17.55   | 75.1      | 72.1    |
| T <sub>5</sub> Microsol spray 30 DAS                                | 81.1  | 82.1    | 18.31      | 18.27   | 75.1      | 73.5    |
| T <sub>6</sub> Microsol spray 45 DAS                                | 74.4  | 72.0    | 17.28      | 17.11   | 72.8      | 70.7    |
| T <sub>7</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + DAP spray 30 and 45 DAS           | 92.6  | 89.1    | 18.72      | 18.80   | 80.5      | 77.1    |
| T <sub>8</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 15 DAS             | 92.9  | 93.5    | 20.11      | 20.06   | 85.2      | 77.0    |
| T <sub>9</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 30 DAS             | 103.0   | 94.5    | 20.88      | 20.17   | 85.5      | 78.3    |
| T <sub>10</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 45 DAS            | 85.16   | 90.0    | 18.76      | 18.95   | 84.0      | 74.7    |
| T <sub>11</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 15 and 30 DAS     | 107.4   | 105.0   | 24.14      | 20.85   | 92.3      | 85.4    |
| T <sub>12</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 30 and 45 DAS     | 104.5   | 101.9   | 22.08      | 20.63   | 92.0      | 83.8    |
| T <sub>13</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 15, 30 and 45 DAS | 110.5   | 105.4   | 24.65      | 21.30   | 93.5      | 85.6    |
| CD (P=0.05)   | 2.10  | 1.96    | 0.33       | 0.30    | 1.19      | 1.20    |

**Table 3.** Effect of foliar application of macro and chelated micronutrients (Microsol) and *Rhizobium* seed inoculation on protein content (%) of rice - fallow blackgram grain

| Treatments  | Protein content (%) |               |
|---|---------------------|---------------|
|   | Crop I              | Crop II       |
| T <sub>1</sub> Control (No foliar spray)                            | 21.59 (27.68)       | 20.26 (26.75) |
| T <sub>2</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> seed treatment                      | 22.00 (27.97)       | 20.73 (27.08) |
| T <sub>3</sub> DAP spray 30 and 45 DAS                              | 22.40 (28.24)       | 21.01 (27.28) |
| T <sub>4</sub> Microsol spray 15 DAS                                | 22.08 (28.02)       | 21.59 (27.68) |
| T <sub>5</sub> Microsol spray 30 DAS                                | 22.66 (23.38)       | 21.65 (27.73) |
| T <sub>6</sub> Microsol spray 45 DAS                                | 22.10 (28.04)       | 21.28 (27.47) |
| T <sub>7</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + DAP spray 30 and 45 DAS           | 23.00 (28.66)       | 22.00 (27.79) |
| T <sub>8</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 15 DAS             | 23.53 (29.02)       | 22.62 (28.40) |
| T <sub>9</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 30 DAS             | 23.28 (28.85)       | 23.43 (28.95) |
| T <sub>10</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 45 DAS            | 23.11 (28.73)       | 23.20 (28.75) |
| T <sub>11</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 15 and 30 DAS     | 24.20 (29.46)       | 24.20 (29.47) |
| T <sub>12</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 30 and 45 DAS     | 23.90 (29.26)       | 23.75 (29.16) |
| T <sub>13</sub> <i>Rhizobium</i> + Microsol spray 15, 30 and 45 DAS | 24.52 (29.68)       | 24.33 (29.55) |
| CD (P=0.05)   | 0.16                | 0.18          |

Figures in parenthesis are arc - sine transformed values

The nutrient content of the macro and chelated micronutrients mixture was as follows [Microsol = Hileaf (14:42:14% NPK) + Microsol-B (Sodium borate - 2.50% B; FeEDTA - 4.75% Fe; MnEDTA - 2.37% Mn; ZnEDTA - 0.95% Zn; CuEDTA - 2.37% Cu; Ammonium molybdate - 0.035% Mo)]. The treatments consisted of foliar application of DAP on 30 and 45 DAS (Days after sowing), Microsol on 15,30 and 45 DAS with and without *Rhizobium* seed treatment and a control without foliar spray and *Rhizobium* seed treatment. As per the treatment schedule, spray solution of 200,300 and 400 litres ha<sup>-1</sup> was followed for different growth stages viz. 15,30 and 45 DAS, respectively. The spray solution was prepared by dissolving the required quantity of NPK (Hileaf) readymade mixture @ 3,4 and 5 g litre<sup>-1</sup> at 15,30 and 45 DAS respectively and Microsol-B @ 0.5 g litre<sup>-1</sup> at 15,30 and 45 DAS in required quantity of water. Seeds were treated with *Rhizobium* before sowing as per the treatment. There were altogether 13 treatments and were replicated thrice in randomised block design (RBD). Proper plant protection measures were taken up. The plant samples drawn at harvest stage were analysed for the N,P and K contents by adopting standard

analytical methods and uptake were computed. Protein content of grain was calculated by multiplying the N content (Humphries, 1956) of grain with the factor 6.25.

#### Grain and haulm yield

*Rhizobium* seed treatment with foliar application of NPK and chelated micronutrients (Microsol) thrice at 15,30 and 45 DAS had significant influence on rice-fallow blackgram grain and haulm yield (Table 1). The highest grain yield of 1943.3 and 1693.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the first and second crop, respectively were recorded in treatment T<sub>13</sub> (*Rhizobium* seed treatment + Microsol spray 15,30 and 45 DAS). The minimum values were observed in control plots in both the crops. Periodical spray of NPK and chelated micronutrients mixture containing B,Fe,Mn,Cu and MO helps in increasing the yield of rice-fallow blackgram. The results are inline with the findings of Revathy *et al.* (1997).

#### Nutrient uptake

Nutrient uptake was significantly influenced by *Rhizobium* seed inoculation and foliar application of N,P,K and chelated micronutrients (Microsol)



Table 2). *Rhizobium* seed treatment + Microsol spray 15,30 and 45 DAS ( $T_{13}$ ) recorded significantly higher N (110.5 and 105.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P (24.65 and 21.30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K (93.5 and 85.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) uptake in the first and second crop, respectively. Nutrients applied through foliage could have easily absorbed and translocated in the plant without any loss. This was in agreement with the earlier findings of Rajendran (1991) in greengram.

#### Protein content

*Rhizobium* seed treatment and foliar application of macro and chelated micronutrients showed significant influence on protein content (Table 3). Maximum protein content of 24.52 and 24.33 per cent in grain during the first and second crop, respectively were recorded under the treatment of *Rhizobium* + Microsol spray at 15,30 and 45 DAS ( $T_{13}$ ). *Rhizobium* could have helped the plants by fixing atmospheric nitrogen and as a result, the nitrogen content in grain and the protein in grain was increased. The result was inline with the findings of Bhalu *et al.* (1995). Foliar application of macro and micronutrients enhanced the protein content of grain. This might be due to increase in the efficiency of fixing of nitrogen and nitrate reductase activity by molybdenum. Similar view was expressed by Sharma and Minhas (1990) in soybean.

Thus, it is concluded that *Rhizobium* seed inoculation and foliar application of N,P,K and chelated micronutrient mixture (Microsol) thrice at 15,30 and 45 DAS increased the grain yield,

haulm yield, NPK uptake and protein content in the grain of rice-fallow blackgram.

#### Acknowledgements

The financial assistance given by the Varadaman Fertilizer and Seeds Ltd. Pune.

#### References

- Bhalu, V.B., Sadaria, S.G., Kaneria, B.B. and Khanpara, V.D. (1995). Effect of nitrogen phosphorus and *Rhizobium* inoculation on yield and quality, N and P uptake and economics of blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo*). *Indian J. Agron.* 40: 316-318.
- Gopal Singh, B. and Sudhakar, P. (1991). Effect of inoculation and phosphorus fertilization on DMP, N and P composition and yield in blackgram. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* 34: 297-301.
- Humphries, E.C. (1956). Mineral components and ash analysis. Modern methods of plant analysis. Springer-Verlag. Berlin 1, pp.468-502.
- Rajendran, R. (1991). Response of greengram (CO 4) to soil and foliar nutrition. *Madras Agric. J.* 78: 453-455.
- Revathy, M., Krishnasamy, R. and Chitdeswari, T. (1997). Chelated micronutrients on the yield and nutrient uptake by groundnut. *Madras Agric. J.* 84: 659-662.
- Sharma, C.M. and Minhas, R.S. (1990). Effect of molybdenum application on nitrogen and protein content of soybean (*Glycine max*). *Madras Agric. J.* 77: 243-244.

(Received: November 2001; Revised: September 2002)



Madras Agric. J. 90 (4-6) : 347-350 April-June 2003

#### Research Notes

## Nutrient management on growth and yield of Deli. Ekona oil palm plantation

S. JEYARAMAN AND S. ALAGUDURAI

Sugarcane Research Station, Sirugamani-639 115, Tamil Nadu

Oil palm, a relatively new oil yielding crop, is cultivated in about 5,000 acres in Tamil Nadu. A range of soil nutrient content and fertility exist in the oilpalm growing soils

of Tamil Nadu. An oil palm plantation producing 25 tonnes of fresh fruit bunches per hectare per year remove about 93.5, 91.0, 92.7, 19.3 and 20.3 kg per ha of N,P,K,Mg and Ca respectively