

Genetic analysis of kernel quality traits in rice

MOHAN ANDRE SAVERY AND J. GANESAN

Dept. of Agrl. Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai Univ., Annamalainagar-608 002, Tamil Nadu



Abstract: In rice, genetics of kernel quality traits viz. kernel length, kernel L/B ratio, kernel length after cooking, kernel elongation, and elongation index was studied in five crosses. All the quality traits were governed by additive, dominance and epistatic interactions of additive x additive, additive x dominance and dominance x dominance and duplicate type. Pedigree method of breeding followed by selection in later generations involving one or two cycles of intermating of selected segregant is suggested for improvement.

Key words : Basmati rice, Kernel quality, Gene action.

Introduction

Studies on the nature of gene action governing the yield and its component traits in rice are available in plenty while information on the genetics of kernel quality traits such as grain size, shape and elongation on cooking are limited. Hence, an attempt was made to unravel the genetic architecture of some of the kernel quality involving basmati and non-basmati rice varieties.

Materials and Methods

Five high yielding cosmopolitan rice varieties viz. ASD 19, ADT 38, ADT 39, CO 43 and improved White Ponni were crossed with Pusa Basmati 1 during summer 1996 at the Plant Breeding Farm, Department of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar. Five hybrids along with the parents were raised during the Kuruvai 1996 (May-Sept). The F1s were used as female and pollen from their corresponding parents were dusted separately to obtain the two back cross progenies viz. B, (F,/P,) and B₂ (F₁/P₂). Fresh crosses were also effected to obtain F, seeds. Selfing of parents and all F₁s was also done to obtain seeds of P₁, P₂ and F, generations.

All the six generations viz. P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, B₁ and B₂ of each of the five crosses were raised in randomised block design with three replications during late Thaladi 1997 (Oct-Feb). The plot size for each cross was 6 x

3 m with a spacing of 30 x 20 cm. Terplants in each of P₁, P₂ and F₁; 40 plans in each of B₁ and B₂ and 70 plants in F generation per replication were randomly selected to record observations on the following training. kernel length, kernel L/B ratio, kernel length after cooking, kernel elongation and elongation index.

Seeds hulled in McGill sample sheller were used to measure kernel length in mm with Mitutoyo micrometer. The ratio of kernel length to kernel breadth was computed as kernel L/B ratio. For cooking test, four months old samples were used for analysis. The hulled seeds were milled in KETT rice polisher uniformly for 40 seconds. The milled rice was cooked as per the method of Juliano and Perez (1984) Ten randomly selected whole milled kernels before and after cooking were measured in mm with a graduated card board (Pillaiyar and Mohandoss, 1981). The ratio of the mean length of cooked rice to mean length of milled rice was computed as kernel elongation. The elongation index was derived by dividing the mean L/B ratio of cooked rice with the mean L/B ratio of milled rice (Juliano and Perez, 1984).

The adequacy of additive -dominance model was studied by scaling test. In cases where the scales A or B or C or D significantly differed from zero, a digenic interaction model was assumed. Genetic components of generation

Tale 1. Generation means and estimates of gene effects

	ASD 19/Pusa Basmati 1	ADT 38/Pusa Basmati 1	ADT 39/Pusa Basmati 1	CO 43/Pusa Basmati 1	Improved White Ponni/Pusa Basmati 1
		Ke	rnel length		
an Geration	1				
	5.32 ± 0.025	6.29 ± 0.008	5.50 ± 0.012	5.47 ± 0.013	5.72 ± 0.016
	45 ± 0.019	7.45 ± 0.020	7.45 ± 0.020	7.45 ± 0.020	7.45 ± 0.020
	98 ± 0.043	6.27 ± 0.013	6.21 ± 0.013	6.17 ± 0.015	5.99 ± 0.017
	47 ± 0.006	6.34 ± 0.004	6.22 ± 0.005	6.11 ± 0.005	5.82 ± 0.005
	12 ± 0.009	5.80 ± 0.006	5.66 ± 0.007	5.73 ± 0.034	6.37 ± 0.009
3	6.12 ± 0.008	5.84 ± 0.008	6.50 ± 0.07	6.04 ± 0.034	6.75 ± 0.009
hameter	P2	Particular of the Assistance	States of course	January Street Co. Street Line Co. St.	
1.	$5.79* \pm 0.04$	$8.96* \pm 0.03$	$7.04* \pm 0.03$	$7.38* \pm 0.10$	$3.62* \pm 0.03$
	$-1.07* \pm 0.02$	$-0.58* \pm 0.01$	$-0.98* \pm 0.01$	$-0.99* \pm 0.01$	$-0.87* \pm 0.01$
	-1.46 ± 0.11	$-7.78* \pm 0.08$	$-2.44* \pm 0.08$	$-3.85* \pm 0.29$	$6.42* \pm 0.10$
	$0.60* \pm 0.03$	$-2.09* \pm 0.03$	$-0.56* \pm 0.03$	$-0.92* \pm 0.10$	$2.96* \pm 0.03$
	$0.07* \pm 0.02$	$0.54* \pm 0.01$	$0.14* \pm 0.02$	$0.68* \pm 0.05$	$0.49* \pm 0.02$
	$1.65* \pm 0.11$	$5.09* \pm 0.06$	$1.61* \pm 0.05$	$2.65* \pm 0.20$	$-4.05* \pm 0.07$
L (1) =		Kerr	nel L/B ratio		
peration					
	2.44 ± 0.014	3.33 ± 0.015	2.49 ± 0.017	2.46 ± 0.023	2.95 ± 0.019
	4.55 ± 0.035	4.55 ± 0.035	4.55 ± 0.035	4.55 ± 0.035	4.55 ± 0.035
	3.18 ± 0.023	3.41 ± 0.020	3.15 ± 0.018	3.08 ± 0.020	3.12 ± 0.030
	3.04 ± 0.010	3.43 ± 0.008	3.22 ± 0.009	2.98 ± 0.006	3.06 ± 0.009
	2.53 ± 0.008	3.01 ± 0.008	2.83 ± 0.009	2.63 ± 0.007	3.35 ± 0.015
2002	3.46 ± 0.014	3.11 ± 0.009	3.46 ± 0.011	3.08 ± 0.010	3.55 ± 0.012
lameter					Months 550-cmm
1	$3.69* \pm 0.05$	$5.43* \pm 0.04$	$3.83* \pm 0.05$	$4.02* \pm 0.04$	$2.20* \pm 0.06$
	$-1.06* \pm 0.02$	$-0.61* \pm 0.02$	$-1.03* \pm 0.02$	$-1.04* \pm 0.02$	$-0.80* \pm 0.02$
	$-2.07* \pm 0.14$	$-5.99* \pm 0.11$	$-1.74* \pm 0.13$	$-3.20* \pm 0.11$	$2.52* \pm 0.15$
	$-0.19* \pm 0.05$	$-1.50* \pm 0.04$	$-0.31* \pm 0.04$	$-0.51* \pm 0.04$	$1.55* \pm 0.05$
	$0.12* \pm 0.03$	$0.51* \pm 0.02$	$0.40* \pm 0.02$	$0.59* \pm 0.02$	$0.59* \pm 0.03$
	$1.57* \pm 0.10$	$3.96* \pm 0.08$	$1.07* \pm 0.09$	$2.26* \pm 0.08$	$-1.60* \pm 0.11$
2 3	18				
Eneration					7.
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		8.40 ± 0.011	8.05 + 0.017	8.18 + 0.019	8.15 + 0.023
		12.92 ± 0.034		12.92 ± 0.034	
	9.99 ± 0.072			9.63 ± 0.023	
		10.89 ± 0.008			
	8.15 + 0.014	9.80 + 0.010	8.75 ± 0.068	8.95 + 0.011	10.49 + 0.015
9	10.03 + 0.013	9.80 ± 0.010 9.52 ± 0.012	10.23 ± 0.011	10.66 + 0.013	10.90 + 0.014
rameter	ii		Name of the control o	endalaren et ikitera (t.)	-currences
	10.77* ± 0.06	$17.02* \pm 0.05$	$11.52* \pm 0.14$	14.34* ± 0.05	6.96* ± 0.06
	-2.48* ± 0.02	$-2.26* \pm 0.02$		$-2.37* \pm 0.02$	
	-5.61* ± 0.17	$-18.34* \pm 0.13$		$-9.64* \pm 0.14$	$7.89* \pm 0.16$
1	-0.32* ± 0.05	$-6.36* \pm 0.04$	$-1.03* \pm 0.14$	$-3.78* \pm 0.05$	$3.58* \pm 0.05$
	$0.60* \pm 0.03$	1.82* ± 0.03 12.16* ± 0.09	$0.96* \pm 0.07$	$0.66* \pm 0.03$	$1.98* \pm 0.03$
	$4.84* \pm 0.17$	$12.16^* \pm 0.09$	$2.90* \pm 0.67$	$4.93* \pm 0.10$	$-4.12* \pm 0.12$

^{&#}x27;Significant at 5 per cent level.

Table 2. Generation means and estimates of gene effects

1.6.1	ASD 19/Pusa Basmati 1	ADT 38/Pusa Basmati 1	ADT 39/Pusa Basmati 1	CO 43/Pusa Basmati 1	Improved Whi Ponni/Pusa Basmati I
		Kern	el elongation		
Genera	tion		more randoned see smeatres and		
	1.61 ± 0.010	1.37 ± 0.002	1.47 ± 0.003	1.51 ± 0.004	1.53 ± 0.0%
P ₁ P ₂ F ₁ F ₂ B ₁	2.10 ± 0.006	2.10 ± 0.006	2.10 ± 0.006	2.10 ± 0.006	The second secon
F.	1.81 ± 0.014	1.86 ± 0.004	1.75 ± 0.004	1.74 ± 0.004	1.90 ± 0.00
F.	1.80 ± 0.002	1.86 ± 0.001	1.78 ± 0.001	1.83 ± 0.002	1.82 ± 0.00
B.	1.68 ± 0.003	1.66 ± 0.002	1.66 ± 0.002	1.67 ± 0.002	1.72 ± 0.00
В,	1.75 ± 0.002	1.72 ± 0.002	1.76 ± 0.002	1.80 ± 0.002	1.78 ± 0.00
Parame		CH.		1.00 1 0.002	2.70 ± 0.00.
(m)	2.19* ± 0.01	$2.42^{+} \pm 0.01$	$2.06* \pm 0.01$	$2.19* \pm 0.01$	2.09* ± 0.0
(d)	$-0.24* \pm 0.01$	$-0.36* \pm 0.003$	$-0.31* \pm 0.003$	$-0.29* \pm 0.004$	-0.28* ± 0.0
(h)	$-1.19* \pm 0.03$	$-1.66* \pm 0.02$	$-0.81* \pm 0.02$	$-0.95* \pm 0.02$	-0.90* ± 0.0
(i)	$-0.34* \pm 0.01$	$-0.68* \pm 0.01$	$-0.28* \pm 0.01$	$-0.38* \pm 0.01$	-0.28* ± 0.6
(j)	$0.17^* \pm 0.01$	$0.30* \pm 0.004$	$0.21* \pm 0.004$	$0.16* \pm 0.005$	0.22* ± 0.64
(1)	$0.81* \pm 0.03$	$1.11* \pm 0.02$	$0.50* \pm 0.02$	$0.47* \pm 0.02$	0.71* ± 0.9
	77	Elon	gation index	2000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
General	ion		Surrout much		
	1.10 ± 0.006	0.87 ± 0.005	0.93 ± 0.006	1.15 . 0.000	0.05 0.00
P ₁ P ₂ F ₁ F ₂ B ₁	1.24 ± 0.010	1.24 ± 0.010	1.24 ± 0.010	1.15 ± 0.009	0.95 ± 0.03
F.	1.10 ± 0.012	1.13 ± 0.008	1.18 ± 0.009	1.24 ± 0.010	1.24 ± 0.01
F.	1.09 ± 0.009	1.14 ± 0.003	1.18 ± 0.009	1.19 ± 0.010	1.16 ± 0.010
B.	1.05 ± 0.004	1.06 ± 0.003	1.13 ± 0.005	1.33 ± 0.004	1.14 ± 0.03
B,	0.97 ± 0.004	1.03 ± 0.004	1.16 ± 0.005	1.21 ± 0.004 1.14 ± 0.005	1.10 ± 0.00
Paramet		1.05 1 0.004	1.10 ± 0.003	1.14 ± 0.005	1.12 ± 0.00
(m)	1.49* ± 0.04	$1.44* \pm 0.03$	$1.21^{*} \pm -0.02$	1.18* ± 0.02	1 21* . 00
(d)	$-1.07* \pm 0.01$	$-0.19* \pm 0.01$	$-0.15* \pm 0.02$	$-0.04* \pm 0.02$	$1.21* \pm 0.07$ $-0.14* \pm 0.07$
(h)	$-1.20* \pm 0.08$	$-0.89* \pm 0.08$	$-0.09* \pm 0.06$	$-0.30* \pm 0.05$	$-0.14^{+} \pm 0.0$ $-0.24^{+} \pm 0.0$
(i)	$-1.31* \pm 0.04$	-0.39* ± 0.03	$-0.12* \pm 0.02$	$-0.61* \pm 0.02$	$-0.24^{\circ} \pm 0.00$ $-0.12^{\circ} \pm 0.00$
(j)	$0.15* \pm 0.01$	0.21* ± 0.01	$0.12* \pm 0.02$	$0.12* \pm 0.02$	$0.12^* \pm 0.0$
(1)	$0.82* \pm 0.05$	1.58* ± 0.05	$0.06* \pm 0.04$	$0.68* \pm 0.04$	0.12* ± 0.04 0.19* ± 0.04

^{*} Significant at 5 per cent level.

mean were estimated following six parameter model (Mather and Jinks, 1982).

Results and Discussion

Six varieties with diverse kernel characters were crossed to generate six generations involving five cross combinations. Means of each generation were calculated and scaling test was applied to test the adequacy of additive-dominance model. Based on scaling tests, a digenic epistatic model was assumed for all the traits studied. The mean value of kernel traits for six generations

and estimates of gene effects are given in Table 1 and 2. The observed value of F₁ for all the kernel characters was intermediate between the two parental means.

Additive (d) and dominance (h) effects were negative and significant for kernel length. Murai and Kinoshita (1986) and Kato (1989) opined that grain size was governed by additive-dominance model. The additive x additive interaction effect was positive and significant in two crosses indicating enhancing effect. The additive x dominance and dominance x dominance

fets were also significant and positive. The hand 'l' effects recorded opposite signs for the kernel characters revealing the presence aduplicate interaction. Additive, dominance a epistatic interactions of all the three and folicate type appear to govern kernel length.

The additive and dominance effects were gative and significant for kernel L/B ratio kernel length after cooking. Singh and Scharia (1977), Somrith et al. (1979), and igh and Singh (1985) reported that this was strolled by both additive and non-additive ne actions, while Reddy and Nerkar (1991) orted the absence of epistasis for this trait. inwgi et al. (1991) observed predominance fion-additive component for kernel L/B ratio. additive x additive interaction effect was fificant and negative revealing a diminishing Itt. Both additive x dominance and dominance ininance effects were positive and significant. ce additive, dominance and epistatic interactions fall the three and duplicate type appear to luence kernel L/B ratio and kernel length is cooking.

The additive and dominance effects were tative and significant for kernel elongation in elongation index. Siddiq (1980) reported bekernel elongation was predominantly governed a non-additive gene action. The additive x elitive interaction effect was negative and inficant while the additive x dominance and inficant while the additive x dominance and inficant. Hence, additive, dominance and inficant. Hence, additive, dominance and inficant inficant information of all the three and duplicate infraction control kernel elongation and elongation in the control information in the control

The grain quality traits viz. kernel length, irnel L/B ratio kernel length after cooking, irnel elongation and elongation index were the control of additive, dominance and ithe three kinds of epistatic interactions along the duplicate type.

As additivity was present for all the traits, digree method of breeding may be followed improvement of these traits. Since considerable ount of dominance effect was also present,

selection has to be postponed to later generations until homozygosity is achieved. Since epistatic interactions of various kinds were also observed, one or two cycles of intermating of selected segregants will result in the improvement of kernel traits.

References

- Juliano, B.O. and Perez, C.M. (1984). Results of a coroborative test on the measurement of grain elongation of milled rice during cooking. J. Cereal Sci. 281-292.
- Kato, T. (1989). Inheritance of grain size in rice. Japan J. Breed. 39: Suppl. 2: 308-309.
- Mather, K. and Jinks, J.L. (1982). Biometrical Genetics, New Fetterane, London.
- Murai, M. and Konoshita, T. (1986). Diallel analysis of traits concerning yield of rice. Japan J. Breed. 36: 7-15.
- Pillaiyar, P. and Mohandoss, R. (1981). Cooking qualities of parboiled rices produced at low and high temperature. J. Food Sci. Agric. 32: 115-120.
- Reddy, C.D.R. and Nerkar, Y.S. (1991). Quantitative inheritance of panicle traits in Indica rice. Crop Res. 4: 173-175.
- Sarawgi, A.K., Srivastava, M.N. and Chowdhary, B.P. (1991). Partial diallel cross analysis of yield and its related characters in rice (Oryza sativa L.) under irrigated and rainfed situations. Indian J. Genet. 51: 30-36.
- Siddiq, E.A. (1980). High yielding basmati rice: problems, progress and prospects. Research Bull. 30. Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi.
- Singh, N.B. and Singh, H.G. (1985). Heterosis and combining ability for kernel size in rice. *Indian J. Genet.* 45: 181-185.
- Singh, R.S. and Richharia, A.K. (1977). Combining ability for grain dimensions and shape in unhusked rice. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 47: 54-57.
- Somrith, B., Chang, T.T. and Jackson, B.B. (1979). Genetic analysis of traits related to grain characteristics and quality in two crosses of rice. IRRI Res. Pap. Ser. 35, International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines.
- Tomar, J.B. (1987). Analysis of genetic components of generation mean for some quality characters in rice. Oryza, 24: 112-118.
- (Received: August 2000; Revised: February 2003)