Data on morphological and reproductive aracters revealed that hybrids have a higher mber of functional leaves, leaf length and eadth of middle leaf, spikelets in inflorescence d more setting per cent are the main components. termining the yield. Patel (1938) reported at the length of stem and number of leaves the crown are significantly correlated with erage yield. This was supported by Satyabalan al. (1972) who recorded highly significant rrelation between height of the palms, number leaves and yield of nuts. The present study so confirmed this fact that hybrids involving T recorded higher number of leaves, length d breadth of middle leaf and more number spikelets and had higher nut yield.

The highest yield realised in hybrids were to higher setting percentage and optimum mber of button as compared to parents. The ghest nut yield was recorded by ECT x MOD able 1).

eferences

- regeman, R.H. and Huchlleshby, D.P. (1971). Nitrate reductase from higher plants. In: Methods in enzymology 23; Part A (Sanpietro, A. ed.) Academic Press, New York, pp. 491-503.
- wery, O.H., Resebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L. and Randall, R.J. (1951). Protein management with the Folin-phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193: 265-278.

- Malkinney, G. (1941). Absorption of light by chlorophyll solutions. J. Biol. Chem. 140: 315-322.
- Mathew, C. and Ramadasan, A. (1973). Chlorophyll content in certain cultivars and hybrids of coconut. J. Plant. Crops, 1: 96-98.
- Mathew, C. and Ramadasan, A. (1974). Studies on photosynthesis in coconut palm, rate of apparent photosynthesis. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* 12: 589-591.
- Mathew, C., Ramadasan, A. (1975). Photosynthetic efficiency in relation to annual yield and chlorophyll content in the coconut palm. J. Plant Crops, 3: 26-28.
- Patel, J.S. (1937). Coconut breeding. Proc. Assoc. Econ. Biol. 5.
- Patel, J.S. (1938). The coconut palm A monograph, Govt. Press, Madras, pp. 60-85.
- Shivasankar, S. and Ramadasan, A. (1983). Nitrate reductase activity in coconut leaves. J. Sci. Food and Agri. 34: 1179-1184.
- Satyabalan, K., Mathew, J., Radhakrishnan, V. (1972).
 Yield variation and its relationship with age and growth of under planted coconut palms. Oleagineux, 27: 257-259.

(Received: February 2002; Revised: January 2003)



Madras Agric. J. 90 (1-3): 159-163 January-March 2003

Research Notes

Growth and yield performance of oil palm genotypes

S. JEYARAMAN AND S. ALAGUDURAI Sugarcane Research Station, Sirugamani - 639 115, Tamil Nadu.

The oil palm genotypes are being evaluated to express their production potential under uniform condition. Each genotype expresses its phenotypic variation differently depending upon the environmental condition. Eleven oil palm genotypes were evaluated under rainfed condition at Central

Plantation Crops Research Institute, Regional Centre, Palode, Kerala during 1986 to 1990 which were planted in 1976. The number of bunches per palm per year ranged from 3.7 to 7.3 with fresh fruit bunch (FFB) yield of 64 to 155 kg per palm per year (Nampoothiri,

Table 1. Particulars of oilpalm genotypes

S.No.	Cross No.	Туре		
1.	C.11040	DELI.EKONA		
2.	C.11075	KIGOMA.EKONA		
3.	C.11084	DELI.YANGAMBI		
4.	C.11092	ANGOLA.CALABAR		
5.	C.11097	KIGOMA.CALABAR		
6.	C.11107	DELI/ANGOLA.LAME		
7.	C.11108	DELI.LAME		
8.	C.11146	DELI.AVROS		
9.	C.11162	EKONA/EKONA?LAME		
10.	C.11163	EKONA/EKONA? EKONA		
11.	C.11167	ANGOLA.LAME		
12.	C.11176	ANGOLA.EKONA?		
13.	C.11187	KICOMA.LAME?		
14.	C.1189	DELI.ANGOLA.CALABAR		
15.	C.11200	DELI/ANGOLA.EKONA		
16.	C.11235	ANGOLA.AVROS		
17.	C.11225	KIGOMA.AVROS		
18.	C.11248	EKONA/EKONA?CALABAR		
19.	C.11263	KIGOMA.LAME		
20.	C.11265	DELI/ANGOLA.LAME		
21.	C.65893	BAMENDA.AVROS		
22.	C.11043	DELI.CALABAR		
23.	C.11136	C.11136 DELI.AVROS (S.CROSS)		
24.	:=:x	AVROS		
25.	25 /	GHANA		
26.	1=1	EKONA		

1994). Under irrigated conditions of East and West Godavari and Krishna districts of Andhra Pradesh, an yield of 20 to 25 tonnes of FFB per ha per year was obtained by farmers from 5 year old plantation if irrigation and fertilizers were given regularly. A high yield of 30 tonnes of fresh fruit bunches per ha per year was also obtained by a few farmers during sixth year. There was no yield difference between the source of planting materials received from ASD, Costa Rica and Indigenous tenera hybrid (Rethinam, 1998). Keeping these in mind, an experiment was laid out to evaluate the growth and yield performance of oil palm genotypes and to identify the high yielding oil palm genotypes suitable for Cauvery delta region. Twenty six oil palm genotypes received fro Department of Agriculture, Government of Tamilnadu, were planted during April 199 at Sugarcane Research Station, Sirugamani a clayey loam soil. The soil pH is 9.0. The experiment was conducted in randomised blood design with three replications. The oil pal genotypes were planted with 9 m x 9 m spacing in square planting method. The particulars oil palm genotypes are furnished in Table The present experiment was started durin April 1999.

The growth and yield performance oil palm genotypes during 1998-2000 are presente in Table 2. The genotype C.11235 records

Ible 2. Growth and yield parameters of oil palm genotypes during 1998-2000

enotypes	Palm height (m)		Palm basal girth (m)		Nos. of leaves per palm		Leaf length (m)		FFB yield (kg ha-1)	
	1998- 1999	1999- 2000	1998- 1999	1999- 2000	1998- 1999	1999- 2000	1998- 1999	1999- 2000	1998- 1999	1999- 2000
11084	5.53	5.68	2.33	2.57	40.67	35.67	3.70	4.90	3795	2574
(11200	5.42	5.50	2.50	3.35	46.67	41.00	3.63	4.35	137	286
(11163	4.77	5.16	2.13	2.67	37.00	29.33	4.00	3.77	1254	1001
111187	3.90	4.13	1.83	2.13	35.67	31.00	3.20	2.87	137	429
(11235	6.10	6.20	2.53	2.67	48.33	34.33	5.10	3.07	2360	2360
(11248	4.53	6.00	2.07	2.23	36.67	33.00	4.03	3.97		429
11225	4.57	5.80	2.33	2.40	40.00	28.00	4.00	3.50	413	286
11189	4.97	5.80	2.13	2.23	35.67	32.33	3.30	3.50		1144
11263	5.61	5.80	2.33	2.37	40.67	34.33	4.36	3.77	825	1287
11176	5.53	5.73	2.38	2.43	40.67	36.33	4.40	4.07) = (1287
11108	4.00	5.97	2.17	2.42	36.33	27.33	3.20	3.63	1485	429
11043	5.80	5.83	2.13	2.32	38.33	31.00	4.43	3.37	578	1931
11162	4.17	5.70	2.00	2.10	35.67	25.67	3.73	4.27	297	2646
1097	4.53	5.80	2.17	2.37	39.33	44.33	4.10	2.97	1073	1573
1265	5.17	5.73	2.03	2.53	42.67	28.33	4.73	3.30	330	286
11146	5.13	5.73	223	2.40	35.33	29.33	4.37	4.37	1040	2860
11075	4.38	5.40	2.20	2.30	35.00	21.33	3.26	4.27	934	1430
11136	5.43	5.90	2.11	2.37	40.67	35.67	4.26	3.70	-	2288
.11167	5.43	5.60	2.40	2.43	42.00	38.67	4.83	3.50	-	286
.11092	5.17	5.50	2.03	2.13	33.00	35.33	4.23	3.67	274	2860
.65893	4.86	5.93	1.99	2.38	34.33	36.67	3.86	3.30	577	577
.11107	5.00	5.42	2.18	2.37	22.33	38.00	3.93	3.53	57/	286
11040	4.67	4.73	1.97	2.48	30.33	42.00	3.33	3.63	U.S. 22	286
vros	4.00	4.20	2.07	2.13	37.33	23.67	3.00	3.32	83	1001
hana	3.70	4.76	1.70	2.17	38.00	23.67	3.23	3.40	192	1859
kona	4.43	4.73	1.78	2.43	33.67	24.00	3.40	3.47	380	1573
Ed	0.41	0.46	0.17	0.18	3.99	4.97	0.42	0.23	NA	NA
D '=0.05)	0.81	0.93	0.35	0.36	8.03	9.98	0.85	0.46	NA	NA

the highest palm height of 6.1 m, number f leaves of 48.3 per palm and leaf length f 5.1 m among the oil palm genotypes tested. The highest FFB yield of 3795 kg per has recorded in C.11084, followed by C.11235 with 2360 kg per has during 1998-99. The ighest palm height of 6.2 m was recorded a C.11235 which was comparabe with C.65893, 11136, C.11043 and C.11263. The genotype 11235 recorded higher palm basal girth of 1.67 m. The genotype C.11097 recorded 44.3 umber of leaves. The leaf length was the ighest in C.11084 with 4.9 m. Among the enotypes tested, C.11092, C.11146, C.11262, 11084 and C.11235 recorded 2860, 2860, 2860,

2646, 2574 and 2360 kg of fresh fruit bunches per hectare per year respectively during 1999-2000.

The growth parameters of oil palm genotypes during 2000-2001 are presented in Table 3. There existed difference in palm height, palm basal girth, number of leaves per palm, leaf length and middle leaflet width. The oil palm genotype C.11235 recorded the highest palm height of 6.4 m, which was comparable with C.11143, C.11146, C.11265 and C.11167. The genotype C.11084 recorded maximum palm basal girth of 2.9 m, which was on par with C.11265, C.11167, C.11107 and C.11235. The

Table 3. Growth parameters of oil palm genotypes during 2000-2001

Genotype	Palm height (m)	Palm basal girth (m)	No.of leaves per palm	Leaf length (m)	Middle leafle width (cm)
C.11084	5.4	2.9	40.0	4.9	2.9
C.11200	5.3	2.3	43.7	4.4	2.2
C.11163	5.5	2.4	34.3	3.9	2.7
C.11187	4.0	2.2	37.3	3.0	2.6
C.11235	6.4	2.6	32.7	4.0	3.3
C.11248	4.6	2.3	31.0	3.7	3.1
C.11225	5.6	2.4	34.7	3.3	3.0
C.11189	5.1	2.2	35.7	3.7	2.9
C.11263	5.6	2.5	41.7	4.0	3.1
C.11176	5.8	2.6	37.3	3.8	2.7
C.11108	4.5	2.1	36.0	3.7	2.4
C.11043	6.2	2.5	33.7	4.3	2.9
C.11162	5.1	2.3	43.0	3.1	2.4
C.11097	4.7	2.4	39.0	3.5	2.9
C.11265	6.1	2.7	33.7	4.2	2.5
C.11146	6.2	2.4	34.3	4.1	2.6
C.11075	4.5	2.2	33.3	3.6	2.6
C.11136	5.9	2.4	35.3	3.7	2.7
C.11167	6.1	2.7	38.7	3.7	2.7
C.11092	5.5	2.7	32.7	3.5	2.6
C.65893	5.0	2.5	33.7	3.6	2.8
C.11107	5.3	2.7	30.7	3.7	2.7
C.11040	5.7	2.5	30.0	3.6	2.6
Avros	5.0	2.1	46.7	4.0	2.1
Ghana	4.2	2.2	30.0	3.4	2.9
Ekona	5.3	2.4	35.8	3.8	2.7
Mean	5.3	2.4	35.8	3.8	2.7
SEd	0.40	-0.16	3.41	0.16	0.13
CD (P=0.05)	0.81	0.32	6.85	0.31	0.26

genotype Avros recorded the highest number of leaves of 46.7 per palm which was on par with C.11200, C.11162, C.11263 and C.11084. The genotype C.11084 had the highest leaf length of 4.9 m. The maximum middle leaflet width of 3.3 cm was recorded in genotype C.11235 which was on par with C.11263. Generally. the genotypes C.11235, C.11084, C.11263, C.11043, and C.11265 had relatively higher values in most of the growth parameters. The yield parameters of different oil palm genotypes are presented in Table 4. The genotype Avros recorded the highest number of 5.7 male inflorescence per palm per year which was followed by C.11248, C.11040 and C.11162. The genotype C.11265 recorded the highest number of female inflorescence of 12.3 per palm per year which was followed by C.11176,

C.11043 and C.11097. The genotype C.11176 recorded the highest number of fresh fruit bunches of 8.9, followed by C.11162, C.11235 and C.11084. The genotype C.11176 recorded the maximum fresh fruit bunch yield of 5178 kg per hall per year, followed by C.11162, C.11146, C.11084 and C.11235 with 4603, 4431, 3934 and 3560 kg per hard per yeard respectively during 2000-2001. The oil palm genotypes with better growth parameters had not registered highest values of yield parameters and viceversa. The fresh fruit bunch yield of six years old oil palm plantation is lower than the normal expected yield. This may be due to high soil pH of 9.0 and water stagnation due to poor drainage during monsoon rains.

Maximum FFB yield of 3795 kg per ha was recorded in C.11084, followed by C.11235

able 4. Yield parameters of oil palm genotypes during 2000-2001

enotype	No.of male inflorescence (palm/year)	No.fo female inflorescence (palm/year)	No.of FFB (palm/year)	Yield of FFB (kg/ha/yr)
.11084	1.3	- 7.0	5.2	3934
.11200	3	7.3	3.4	2200
.11163	0	8.0	4.3	3089
.11187	.3	4.0	0.7	194
.11235		7.3	5.3	3560
.11248	.7	6.7	1.8	1017
.11225	.3	7.0	1.3	1033
.11189	.0 .7 .3 .3	6.3	4.1	2287
.11263	.7	9.3	3.7	2518
.11176	.0	.1.0	8.9	5178
.11108	.0	8.0	4.9	2715
.11043	.0	.0.7	2.9	1887
.11162	.3	6.3	5.4	4603
.11097	.3	.0.0	2.7	2203
.11265	.0	2.3	2.0	1360
.11146	.3	7.7	4.5	4431
.11075	.7	7.7	3.2	1917
.11136	.7	4.3	4.7	3118
.11167	.0	5.3	4.2	2758
.11092	.3	4.7	4.1	3260
.65893	.0	4.3	1.8	1057
.11107	.7	4.3	1.3	997
.11040	.7	3.3	1.7	1163
vros	.0 .3 .0 .3 .7 .7 .0 .3 .0 .7 .7 .7	5.7	3.5	1801
hana	.0	5.3	1.7	1517
kona	.7	5.7	1.3	1133
fean .	.3	7.0	3.4	2344
Ed	87	37	1.00	667.1
D ≥0.05)	1.75	4.76	2.02	1340.2

with 2360 kg ha⁻¹ during 1998-99. Among the genotypes tested, C.11092, C.11146, C.11162, C.11084 and C.11235 recorded 2860, 2860, 2646, 2574 and 2360 kg of fresh fruit bunches per hectare respectively during 1999-2000. The genotype C.11176 recorded the maximum fresh fruit bunch yield of 5178 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ followed by C.11162, C.11146, C.11084 and C.11235 with 4603, 4431, 3934 and 3560 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ respectively during 2000-2001. The oil palm genotypes with better growth parameters had not registered higher values of yield parameters and vice-versa.

References

Nampoothiri, K.U.K. (1994). Improvement of oil palm. pp.255-267. In: K.L.Chadha and P.Rethinam (eds.) Advances in horticulture, vol.9. Plantation and spice crops. Part I. Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi-110064, India.

Rethinam, P. (1998). Oil palm research and development in India. pp.1-10. In: P. Rethinam and K.Suresh (eds.) Oil palm research and development, National Research Centre for Oil palm, Pedavegi-534 450, Andhra Pradesh, India.

(Received: January 2002; Revised: January 2003)