



## Evaluation of Safflower Germplasm

**SNCVL Pushpavalli**

Agricultural Research Station, Tandur-501 141  
Prof. Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University

**Twenty five safflower genotypes were evaluated at Agricultural Research Station, Tandur during Rabi 2014-15 to determine the genetic divergence and relationship among yield and yield components. The genetic parameters like divergence, genotypic and phenotypic variability, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance were studied along with correlation coefficient and path analysis for seed yield, oil content and the component traits of safflower. Broad sense heritability estimates and genetic advance as per cent of mean (5%) was the highest for 100-seed weight followed by seed yield/plant. Significant and positive correlation was observed between seed yield/plant and number of seeds/capsule and 100-seed weight. Path coefficient analysis indicated that 100-seed weight followed by number of seeds/capsule exhibited the maximum direct effect on seed yield/plant. The genotypes were grouped into four different clusters based on Mahalanobis D<sup>2</sup> statistics. Seed yield/plant contributed to the maximum genetic divergence followed by 100-seed weight among the genotypes studied.**

**Key words:** Safflower, Genetic divergence, Path coefficient analysis, Correlation

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) is a member of the family Compositae or Asteraceae cultivated for both seeds and petals. It is grown under receding soil moisture conditions. Information on genetic diversity and correlation of yield components are important for crop improvement since they are highly useful in selection of parents for crop breeding. Genetic parameters like diversity, genotypic and phenotypic variability, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance are highly useful for effective selections in the breeding material. Identification of the traits that affect seed and oil yield in safflower is very important in genetic improvement of these attributes. Also, considering that seed and oil yield is a polygenic trait and direct selection for its improvement is not effective, the selection through its components can be useful. Therefore, indirect selection through characters that have high heritability and also significantly correlated with seed and oil yield has more genetic efficiency than direct selection in the genetic improvement of these traits. Correlation coefficient studies will enable researchers to identify significant relationship between the traits.

In the hybridization program aiming at yield improvement; it is important to study the nature and extent of genetic variability available in the germplasm, the relationship between yield and yield components and contribution of all the traits towards yield. Keeping in view of the above mentioned objectives the present research work was undertaken to study genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation of yield with yield components. D<sup>2</sup> analysis is useful in the identification of divergent genotypes that are suitable for hybridization program.

### Materials and Methods

Twenty five safflower genotypes were sown in Randomized Block Design in three replications at Agricultural Research Station, Tandur during Rabi 2014-15. The entries were sown in 5m long five row plots with a spacing of 45cm between the rows and 20cm between the plants. The recommended agronomic practices and plant protection methods have been followed for raising a good crop. Five plants were randomly selected for recording data on the following six characters viz, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, number of effective capitula/plant, number of seeds/capitula, test weight (g) and seed yield/plant in each treatment across all the replications. Mahalanobis D<sup>2</sup> statistic (Rao, 1952) and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (Jackson, 1991) has been used to analyze genetic divergence among safflower genotypes. The data has been subjected to statistical analysis to calculate genetic divergence genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficients of variation, heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean. Phenotypic coefficient of correlation was computed according to Al-Jibouri et al. (1958). The phenotypic correlation was partitioned into direct and indirect effects as suggested by Dewey and Lu (1959).

### Results and Discussion

Range of variation was the highest for days to maturity followed by days to 50% flowering (Table 1). Genotypes had the least range of variation for 100-seed weight. Range of variation was least for 100-seed weight. Genetic parameters like genotypic and phenotypic variability, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean are presented in Table 2.

\*Corresponding author email: pvalli75@yahoo.co.in

Phenotypic coefficient of variation revealed relatively high values when compared to corresponding genotypic coefficient of variation for all the characters

studied, indicating the influence of environment on these traits. The range of PCV observed was from 5.15 to 18.6% for the characters studied, which

**Table 1. Mean, range, minimum, maximum, SE and coefficient of variability (CV %) of characters studied in safflower genotypes**

Characters	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	CV %
Days to 50% flowering	80.06	57.6	85.6	1.49	3.24
Days to maturity	113.06	90.6	118.6	1.49	2.29
No. of effective capsules/plant	22.68	14.8	28.3	1.68	12.86
No. of seeds/capsule	23.26	15.2	31.5	1.65	12.33
100-seed weight (g)	4.96	3.18	5.85	0.18	6.33
Seed yield/plant (g)	20.52	14.85	24.69	0.61	5.20
Oil content (%)	29.41	25.65	36.64	0.70	4.15

indicates the extent of phenotypic variability in the population. Moderate coefficients of variation were observed for 100-seed weight followed by seed

yield/plant. Characters like days to 50% flowering (7.28) and days to maturity (5.15) exhibited low PCV. Genotypic coefficient of variation, which indicates

**Table 2. Estimation of GCV, PCV, h<sup>2</sup>b and Genetic Advance of seven characters of safflower genotypes**

Characters	Variance		Coefficient of variation		h <sup>2</sup> b (%)	GA (5%)	GA as % of Mean (5%)
	Genotypic	Phenotypic	GCV (%)	PCV (%)			
Days to 50% flowering	31.75	34.0	7.03	7.28	0.93	11.21	14.0
Days to maturity	31.75	34.0	4.98	5.15	0.93	11.21	9.92
No. of effective capsules/plant	11.72	14.56	15.09	16.82	0.80	6.33	27.90
No. of seeds/capsule	14.44	17.19	16.33	17.82	0.84	7.17	30.84
100-seed weight (g)	0.82	0.85	18.23	18.60	0.96	1.83	36.83
Seed yield/plant (g)	9.71	10.09	15.18	15.48	0.96	6.3	30.69
Oil content (%)	6.72	7.22	8.81	9.13	0.93	5.15	17.52

the extent of genetic variability ranged from 4.98 to 18.23%. Maximum GCV was observed for 100-seed weight (18.23%) followed by number of seeds/

capsule (16.33%) and seed yield/plant (15.18%) providing an opportunity for genetic improvement. Very low estimates of GCV and PCV have been

**Table 3. Estimates of phenotypic correlation coefficients for yield related traits of safflower genotypes**

Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	No. of effective capsules/plant	No. of seeds/capsule	100-seed weight (g)	Seed yield/plant (g)
Days to 50% flowering	1.00**		-0.123	-0.086	0.206	-0.127
Days to maturity			-0.123	-0.086	0.206	-0.127
No. of effective capsules/plant				0.069	-0.114	-0.010
No. of seeds/capsule					-0.456**	0.234*
100-seed weight (g)						0.258*

\*, \*\*, \*\*\* Significant at P=0.05, 0.01 and 0.005, respectively

observed for the traits days to 50% flowering and days to maturity. Heritability along with genetic advance indicates the efficiency of selection (Burton, 1952). Broad sense heritability estimates was the highest for 100-seed weight (96%) and seed yield/plant (96%) indicating that these characters were the least affected by environmental variation and hence, selection for these characters based on phenotype may be more effective for improvement of seed yield in safflower. Gupta and Singh (1988) observed that low heritability for oil content and seed yield. On the contrary, Vijaya kumar and Giriraj (1985) reported high heritability

estimates ranging from 0.67 to 0.92 for oil content. The estimated genetic advance was moderate for 100-seed weight (36.83%), number of seeds/capsule (30.84%) and seed yield/plant (30.69%) and the least for days to maturity (9.92%). Earlier researchers have reported that genotypic component of variation was greater than environmental component for all the traits except for branches/plant, capitula/plant and oil content. Genetic advance as percentage of mean was moderate for seed yield/plant, plant height, height of branching from base and 100-seed weight, whereas it was low for the remaining traits (Anjani, 2005).

Kotecha and Zimmerman (1978) and Nie *et al.* (1987) reported that 1000-seed weight had high heritability values. Similar results were also found for 1000-seed weight by other researches (Patil *et al.* 1991; Pandya

*et al.* 1996; Gupta and Singh 1997; Patil 1997). Abel and Driscoll (1976) reported that 1000-seed weight was generally little affected by different environments, but seeds/capsule was more influenced.

**Table 3a . Estimates of phenotypic correlation coefficients for yield related traits of safflower genotypes**

Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	No. of effective capsules/ plant	No. of seeds/ capsule	100-seed weight (g)	Oil content %
Days to 50% flowering		1.00**	-0.123	-0.086	0.206	0.139
Days to maturity			-0.123	-0.086	0.206	0.139
No. of effective capsules/plant				0.069	-0.113	0.031
No. of seeds/capsule					-0.456***	0.329***
100-seed weight (g)						-0.497

Phenotypic correlations estimated among the seven traits recorded in the genotypes indicated inherent association between any two variables. Seed yield/plant was positively and significantly correlated

with number of seeds/capsule (0.234) and 100-seed weight (0.258) (Table 3). Ekshing *et al.*, (1994) and Rafiei (2002) reported positive and high correlation between seed yield/plant and number of seeds per

**Table 3b. Estimates of genotypic correlation coefficients for yield related traits of safflower genotypes**

Character	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	No. of effective capsules/plant	No. of seeds/ capsule	100-seed weight (g)	Seed yield/ plant (g)
Days to 50% flowering		1.00	-0.123	-0.086	0.217	-0.128
Days to maturity			-0.123	-0.086	0.217	-0.128
No. of effective capsules/plant				0.079	-0.130	-0.005
No. of seeds/capsule						0.266*
100-seed weight (g)						0.266*

head in their studies. Rafiei (2002) found negative, but Abulhasani (2003) reported a positive correlation between seed yield and 100-seed weight. A positive correlation of number of capitula/plant and 100-seed weight with seed yield/plant was also reported by

Parameshwarappa (1981), Malleshappa (2000) and Bidgoli *et al.*, (2001). Number of seeds/capsule was negatively correlated with 100-seed weight. Hence genotypes with bold seeds had less number of seeds/capsule. There existed significant positive

**Table 3c. Estimates of genotypic correlation coefficients for yield related traits of safflower genotypes**

Character	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	No. of effective capsules/plant	No. of seeds/ capsule	100-seed weight (g)	Seed yield/ plant
Days to 50% flowering		1.00	-0.123	-0.086	0.217	0.155
Days to maturity			-0.123	-0.086	0.217	0.155
No. of effective capsules/plant				0.079	-0.130	0.067
No. of seeds/capsule						0.362***
100-seed weight (g)						-0.531

correlation between days to 50% flowering and days to maturity. Similar results have been published by earlier researchers in safflower (Rafiei, 2002 and

Abulhasani, 2003). Number of seeds/capsule was significantly and positively correlated with oil content (0.329), but negatively correlated with 100-seed

**Table 4. Genotypic path coefficient showing direct and indirect effect of characters on seed yield in safflower**

Character	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	No. of effective capsules/ plant	No. of seeds/ capsule	100-seed weight (g)	Genotypic correlation with seed yield/plant (g)
Days to 50% flowering	-0.2090	-0.2090	0.0258	0.0180	-0.0455	-0.128
Days to maturity	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.128
No. of effective capsules/plant	-0.0002	-0.0002	0.0016	0.0001v	-0.0002	-0.005
No. of seeds/capsule	-0.0471	-0.0471	0.0434	0.5463	-0.2781	0.266*
100-seed weight (g)	0.1275	0.1275	-0.0763	-0.2983	0.5860	0.266*

Figures in bold letters indicate the direct effects, Residual effect = 0.7939

weight (Table 3a). Genotypic correlation studies indicated that seed yield/plant was significantly and positively correlated with number of seeds/capsule and 100-seed weight, while oil content and positively

correlated with number of seeds/capsule and negatively correlated with 100-seed weight (Table 3b and 3c). The study indicated that high oil genotypes had less 100-seed weight. Bratulin (1993) reported

**Table 4a. Genotypic path coefficient showing direct and indirect effect of characters on oil content in safflower**

Character	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	No. of effective capsules/ plant	No. of seeds/ capsule	100-seed weight (g)	Genotypic correlation with Oil Content (%)
Days to 50% flowering	<b>0.2838</b>	0.2838	-0.0351	-0.0245	0.0618	0.155
Days to maturity	0.00	<b>0.00</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.155
No. of effective capsules/plant	-0.0029	-0.0029	<b>0.0237</b>	0.0019	-0.0031	0.067
No. of seeds/capsule	-0.0098	-0.0098	0.0090	<b>0.1137</b>	-0.0579	0.362***
100-seed weight (g)	-0.1158	-0.1158	0.0693	0.2709	<b>-0.5322</b>	-0.531

Figures in bold letters indicate the direct effects, Residual effect = 0.7939

**Table 5. Average Inter and intra cluster distances (D<sub>2</sub>) of four clusters of safflower genotypes**

Cluster	I	II	III	IV
I	3.88	6.36	6.13	6.88
II		0.0	5.12	7.98
III			0.0	8.22
IV				0.0

positive and significant relation between seed yield/plant and capitulum number/ plant and 1000-seed weight in safflower genotypes, while Cassato *et al.*, (1997) observed positive and significant correlation of capitulum number/ plant with seed yield /plant in safflower. In the present investigation genotypic path coefficient analysis was carried out to estimate the direct and indirect contribution of various traits to seed

yield/plant and oil content. Compartmentalization of correlation coefficients into direct and indirect effects differentiated the true nature of association observed among various characters. Number of seeds/capsule (0.5463) and 100-seed weight (0.5860) exhibited the highest positive and direct effects for seed yield/plant, while days to 50% flowering (0.2838), number of effective capsules/plant (0.0237) and number of seeds/capsule (0.1137) exhibited positive direct effects for oil content (Table 4 and 4a). These characters are principal components of seed and oil yield and may be helpful in increasing the seed and oil yield of safflower. Earlier studies by Malleshappa *et al.*, (2000) and Pandya *et al.*, (1996a) indicated that the direct effect of number of capitula was more pronounced followed by test weight, plant height

**Table 6. Cluster means of four clusters for seven characters in safflower genotypes**

Cluster	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	No. of effective capsules/ plant	No. of seeds/ capsule	100-seed weight (g)	Seed yield/ plant (g)	Oil content %
I	80.73	113.73	22.51	22.50	5.20	20.76	29.22
II	82.0	115.0	20.43	26.73	2.99	21.32	36.64
III	86.0	119.0	28.07	29.20	3.19	13.54	29.68
IV	57.67	90.67	23.27	30.60	3.61	21.55	26.38

and capitulum diameter on seed yield/plant. Days to maturity had negative direct effect on seed yield/plant (-0.2090). The 100-seed weight has shown positive direct effect on seed yield, while it has shown direct negative effect on oil content (-0.5322). Indirect

**Table 6a. Percent contribution of characters towards genetic diversity**

Characters	Contribution (%)	Times Ranked 1 <sup>st</sup>
Days to 50% flowering	12.33	37
Days to maturity	0.0	0
No. of effective capsules/plant	8.0	24
No. of seeds/capsule	5.33	16
100-seed weight (g)	27.0	81
Seed yield/plant (g)	37.33	112
Oil content (%)	10.0	30

selection through selection of traits such as more number of capitula/plant, 1000-seed weight and

lower number of branches along with thin hull are required for improvement of seed and oil yield in safflower (Zheng *et al.*, 1993; Arslan 2007). Traits such as 1000-seed weight and number of seeds/ plant are the best indirect selection criteria for genetic improvement of oil yield in spring safflower cultivars under drought stress condition. On the other hand, the traits 1000-seed weight, number of seeds/plant and days to physiological maturity are the most promising selection criteria under non-drought stress condition (Golparvar, 2011).

The Mahalanobis D<sup>2</sup> values have grouped the twenty five safflower genotypes into four distinct clusters. The average intra and inter cluster distances are depicted in (Table 5). The maximum inter cluster distance was between cluster III and IV (8.22) followed by cluster II and IV (7.98). Cluster III recorded the highest mean values for number

of effective capitula/plant (28.07), while cluster II recorded the highest oil content (36.64). Cluster IV recorded highest the

**Table 7. Eigen values and proportion of total variance represented by four principal components of safflower genotypes**

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC 4
Eigen value (root)	98.37	74.61	47.72	16.57
Per cent var. exp	38.48	29.19	18.67	6.48
Cumulative var. exp	38.48	67.68	86.35	92.83
Days to 50% flowering	-0.01	0.010	-0.89	-0.28
Days to maturity	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No. of effective capsules/plant	0.18	0.10	-0.21	0.44
No. of seeds/capsule	0.16	0.18	0.03	-0.72
100-seed weight (g)	-0.82	0.12	-0.19	0.19
Seed yield/plant (g)	0.02	0.96	0.16	0.06
Oil content (%)	0.49	0.06	-0.29	0.38

\*PC = Principal component

number of seeds/capitula (30.60) and seed yield/plant indicating that neighboring clusters had high yielding genotypes. The genotypes in both these clusters may be utilized in breeding for improvement of seed and oil yield. Similar results have been reported by earlier researchers (Ghongade and Navale, 1995; Shivani and Sreelakshmi, 2013). Cluster IV recorded earliness for days to 50% flowering (57.67) and days to maturity (90.67) indicating that these genotypes can be efficiently utilized for breeding short duration varieties to escape drought situations (Table 6). Genotypes from cluster III and IV can be utilized for development of short duration varieties with high seed yield. The earliness in the flowering and maturity is one of the important characters of drought avoidance mechanism. However, earliness in flowering further associated with lower total dry matter and (Senapati, 1999). Maximum contribution towards genetic divergence is by seed yield/plant (37.33%) followed by 100-seed weight (27%) (Table 6a). These characters together recorded for more than 64% of the total divergence among the safflower genotypes studied. Earlier researchers also had reported that seed yield contributed maximum for genetic divergence (Shivani and Sreelakshmi, 2011, 2013). The first three principal components explained 86.34% variability with PC1 explaining a maximum variability of 38.48% (Table 7).

Our data indicates genotypes having thick hull gave higher seed yield but lower oil yields. Thus, thin hulled genotypes should be selected in breeding varieties with high oil content. Number of seeds/capsule and number of capsules/plant have given positive and direct effects for both seed and oil yield. The component characters namely, number of seeds/capsule and 100-seed weight are very important characters for seed yield because, they have shown positive and significant correlation with seed yield/plant. Both these characters also had positive and strong direct effects on seed yield/plant. Results of correlation and path analysis suggested that characters having positive association with grain

yield are also directly contributing to seed yield and hence, selection of genotypes may be reliably done based on these characters.

## References

- Abel, G.H. and Driscoll, M.F. 1976. Sequential trait development and breeding for high yields in safflower. *Crop Sci.*, **16**: 213-216.
- Abulhasani, K. 2003. Evaluation of selected lines from local populations of safflower in two moisture regimes. *M.Sc. Thesis, Collage of Agriculture, Isfahan University of Technology.*
- Al-Jibouri, H.A., Miller, P.A. and Robinson, H.F. 1958. Genotypic and environmental variance and covariance in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Agronomy Journal.*, **50**: 633-637.
- Anjani, K. 2005. Genetic variability and character association in wild safflower (*Carthamus oxycantha*). *Indian Journal of Agril. Sci.*, **75** (8): 516-518.
- Arslan, B. 2007. Assessing of heritability and variance components of yield and some agronomic traits of different safflower cultivars. *Asian. J. Plant. Sci.*, **6** (3): 554-557.
- Bidgoli, A.M., Akbari, G.M., Mirhadi, M.J., Zand, E.D. and Soufizadeh, S. 2001. Path analysis of the relationships between seed yield and some morphological and phenological traits in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*). *Euphytica.*, **148**: 261-268.
- Bratulin, C. 1993. Studies of some genetic resources under rain condition in Moldavia. In Proceedings of the Third International Safflower Conference, China pp: 196-205.
- Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. In *Proceeding of 6<sup>th</sup> International Grass Congress.*, pp: 277-288.
- Cassato, E. Ventricelli, P. and Corleto, A. 1997. Response of hybrid and open pollinated safflower to increasing doses of nitrogen fertility. In Proceedings of the Fourth International Safflower Conference, Italy pp: 98-103.
- Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K.H. 1959. A correlation and path analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production. *Agronomy Journal.*, **51**: 515-518.
- Ekshing, B.S., Snadge, V.D. and Rikhelkar, S.V. 1994. Correlation and regression studies in safflower varieties. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities.*, **19** (2): 230-232.
- Ghongade, R. A. and Navale, D. A. 1995. Genetic divergence in safflower. *J. Maharashtra. Agric. Univ.*, **20**: 249-251.
- Golparvar, A.R. 2011. Genetic Improvement of Oil Yield in Spring Safflower Cultivars under Drought and Non-drought Stress Conditions. *Electronic Journal of Biology.*, **7**(2): 40-43.
- Gupta, R.K. and Singh, S.P. 1988. Diallel analysis for seed yield, oil content and other economic traits in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Genetika Jugoslavia.*, **20**: 161-173.
- Gupta, R.K. and Singh, S.P. 1997. Genetic association and its implication in improvement of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Adv. Plant Sci. Res.*, **5/6**: 1-8.
- Jackson, J.E. 1991. A User's Guide to Principal Component. John Wiley and sons, New York.
- Kotecha, A. and Zimmerman, L.H. 1978. Inheritance of seed weight, pappus, and striped hull in safflower species. *Crop Sci.*, **18**: 999-1003.
- Malleshappa, S.M. 2000. Evaluation of selected early

- generation progenies of safflower for yield and yield component characters M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. Agric.Sci., Dharwad.
- Nie, Z., Shi, X. C. and Chen, F.T. et al. 1987. A study on the heritability, genetic advance and genetic correlations of the main agronomic characters in safflower. *Oil Crops China.*, **2**: 18-22.
- Pandya, G.A., Prajapati, R.M. and Vashi, P.S. 1996. Estimates of genetic variability parameters in safflower. *Gujarat Agric. Univ. Res. J.*, **21**: 13-16.
- Pandya, N.K., Gupta, S.S. and Nagda, A.K. 1996a. Path analysis of some yield contributing traits in safflower. *Crop Research.*, **11**: 313-318.
- Parameshwarappa, K.G. 1981. Genetic analysis of oil, yield and other quantitative characters in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*). Ph.D Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Patil, S. C., Patil, F. B., Kognole, A. A. et al. 1991. Analysis of variability and heritability in safflower. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **16**: 269-270.
- Patil, H. P. 1997. Yield component analysis in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Ann. Agric. Res.*, **18**: 332-336.
- Rafiei, F. 2002. Investigation of genetic variations of agronomic traits of safflower in Isfahan. M.Sc. Thesis, Collage of Agriculture, Isfahan University of Technology.
- Rao, C.R. 1952. Advanced statistical methods in biometrical research. John wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.
- Senapati, N., Samal, K.M., Mohanta, I.C. and Dhal, A. 1999. Performance, Variability and character association in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research.*, **33**: 254-258.
- Shivani, D. and Sreelakshmi, Ch. 2013. Canonical variate analysis in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding.*, **4(2)**: 1184-1187.
- Shivani, D., Sreelakshmi, Ch. and Sameer Kumar, C.V. 2011. Canonical variate analysis in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding.*, **2(4)**: 563-567.
- Vijayakumar, S and Giriraj, K. 1985. Heritability of oil content in five safflower crosses. *J. Oilseeds Res.*, **2**: 285-287.
- Zheng, N, Futang, C, Xinchun S, et al. 1993. Path analysis of correlated characters on flower yield of safflower, In *Third Int. Safflower Conf.*, China 1993. p. 582-588.

---

Received after revision: February 24,2016; Accepted: March 14, 2016