



Morpho-Physiological Traits Influencing the Grain Yield Potential in Small Millets

K. Divya^{1*}, A. Senthil¹, N. Sritharan¹, R. Ravikesavan², S. Ashok¹ and V. Vijay Prabha¹

Department of Crop Physiology, ²Department of Millets
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore – 641 003

An experiment was conducted to study the certain morphological and physiological traits influencing the grain yield potential of small millets under irrigated condition. Out of six cultivated small millets, five of them viz., finger millet (CO15), little millet (CO 4), barnyard millet (CO 2), foxtail millet (CO 7) and kodo millet (CO 3) was taken for the study. The experiment was conducted during Rabi, 2015 in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with four replications. The results indicated significant differences among the small millets in plant height, number of tillers per plant, LAI and LAD. The gas exchange parameters differed significantly among the crops leading to significant variation in total dry mater accumulation. However, the productivity was not only dependent on higher values of physiological traits but also on partitioning efficiency and test weight. Leaf area indices at different stages of growth were significantly higher in barnyard millet and it was followed by foxtail millet. Leaf area duration between vegetative to flowering stages was maximum in foxtail millet (48.54 days) and the minimum was observed in kodo millet (15.32 days). The barnyard millet, finger millet and foxtail millet had higher values for most of the physiological traits and yield components leading to higher grain yield when compared to other small millets.

Key words: Small millets, Morphological parameters, Gas exchange parameters, Yield Traits

The Poaceae family contains number of small seeded species known as millets. Millet is a general term for a wide range of small seeded cereals (Marcon, 1994) that may be used for grain and/or forage (Schery, 1972). In India, commonly grown millets include sorghum, pearl millet, finger millet, foxtail millet, little millet, barnyard millet, proso millet, and kodo millet. Sorghum and pearl millet are considered as coarse millet, while the other six crops comprised of small millet group (Gupta *et al.*, 2010). In general, the productivity of small millets is lower than the major cereal crops. Finger millet has been predominantly grown in Southern Asia and Eastern Africa, both for grain and forage (Gupta *et al.*, 2010). It is most important small millet in tropics (12 % of global millet area) and is cultivated in more than 25 countries in Africa (eastern and southern) and Asia (from Near East to Far East), predominantly (ICRISAT, 2011a). Finger millet grains can be stored for years without being infested by storage pest, which makes it a perfect food grain commodity for famine prone areas (National Research Council USA, 1996). Foxtail millet ranks second among the millet produced globally. World's total production of foxtail millet was estimated to be five million tons (Lin, 2005) with China being the main producer (3.7 million tons). Foxtail millet is fairly tolerant to drought; it can escape some droughts because of early maturity. Due to its short life cycle, it can be grown as a short-term catch crop (ICRISAT 2011b). It is also cultivated as a dry land crop under marginal and sub-marginal lands (Rao *et al.*, 1997). It requires water in the later stages of the crop growth

but cannot tolerate water logging (Jijau, 1989). Little millet is an important crop grown for food and feed in the tribal belt of Madhya Pradesh, Chattisgarh and Andhra Pradesh in India (Haider, 1997). It is described as a quick growing, short duration cereals, which can withstand both drought and water logging (Dogget, 1989). Kodo millet is grown as a cereal in India only. Kodo millet is a long duration crop and grows well in shallow as well as deep soils (Hegde and Gowda, 1989). Barnyard millet is grown in India, Japan and China as a substitute for rice under natural precipitation. It has a wide adaptation capacity and grown up to an altitude of 2000m above mean sea level during summer season (Gupta *et al.*, 2009). Among small millets, barnyard millet is the fastest growing millet and produces a crop in 6 weeks from sowing to maturity (Padulosi *et al.*, 2009).

Material and Methods

A pot culture experiment was conducted at Crop Physiology Department, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during Rabi season. The experiment consisting of five crops was laid out with four replications and four stages viz., seedling, vegetative, flowering and maturity. The five small millets and the varieties selected for this study are finger millet (CO 15), little millet (CO 4), barnyard millet (CO 2), foxtail millet (CO 7) and kodo millet (CO 3). The seed for the experiment was procured from Millet Breeding Station, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. Red sandy soil was used for pot culture experiment. The seeds were directly sown in the pot since minor millets used for the study

*Corresponding author's email: divyacrp714@gmail.com

are direct seeded one. The plant height, number of tillers was recorded at different growth stages. The LAI and LAD were computed by the method proposed by Williams (1946) and Power et al. (1967), respectively. The entire plant was pulled out with the root system intact at different stages to record total dry matter production. Plant samples were shade dried and then oven dried (70° C) for 48 hours. The dry weight of whole plant at different growth stages were recorded and expressed in g plant⁻¹. Gas exchange parameters was recorded at vegetative and flowering by portable photosynthetic meter (LI-6400, Inc, Lincoln, USA). The crop was harvested at physiological maturity and grain yield was expressed in grams per plant. The yield attributing character 100 grain weight was recorded and the harvest index was calculated with the formula given by Yoshida *et al.* (1972).

Results and Discussion

Plant type is mainly depicted from the quantitative characters of the crop such as plant height and tillers per plant. Plant height of minor millets is furnished in Table 1.

Table 1. Plant height (cm) of small millets at different growth stages

Crop	Seedling stage	Vegetative stage	Flowering stage	Maturity stage
Finger millet	37.60	58.24	85.55	95.81
Little millet	36.66	65.96	98.45	105.65
Barnyard millet	37.74	71.29	101.55	115.14
Foxtail millet	35.22	61.65	87.85	112.55
Kodo millet	26.80	46.55	50.55	62.14
Mean	34.80	60.73	84.79	98.25
SEd	0.446	0.620	1.308	1.644
CD (P=0.05)	0.950	1.322	2.789	3.504

All these values differed significantly among the five minor millets studied. The crop barnyard millet (115.14 cm) had significantly higher plant height followed by foxtail millet (112.55 cm) and significantly lower height was recorded in kodo millet (62.14 cm) at all four stages. In the present study, the high yielding capacity was found in taller plants and low yielding ones were shorter plants.

Table 2. Total dry matter production (g plant⁻¹) of small millets at different growth stages

Crop	Seedling stage	Vegetative stage	Flowering stage	Maturity stage
Finger millet	4.82	18.72	57.76	73.01
Little millet	4.01	17.41	35.36	62.82
Barnyard millet	5.95	19.38	48.05	85.58
Foxtail millet	4.51	19.01	39.89	79.95
Kodo millet	3.93	17.01	33.39	59.70
Mean	4.64	18.30	42.89	72.21
SEd	0.074	0.259	0.589	0.756
CD (P=0.05)	0.158	0.552	1.257	1.612

These results are in conformity with the findings of Rathod *et al.* (1996). The number of tillers per plant

is considered as one of the important morphological characters directly associated with yield in millets (Acharya, 1987, Chidambaram and Palanisamy, 1996).

Table 3. Leaf area index (LAI) of small millets at different growth stages

Crop	Seedling stage	Vegetative stage	Flowering stage	Maturity stage
Finger millet	0.87	2.09	2.97	2.23
Little millet	0.82	1.88	2.64	2.02
Barnyard millet	1.38	2.53	3.24	2.75
Foxtail millet	1.19	2.16	2.88	2.18
Kodo millet	0.72	1.46	2.24	1.93
Mean	1.00	2.02	2.79	2.22
SEd	0.014	0.018	0.266	0.026
CD (P=0.05)	0.315	0.038	0.056	0.056

The high yielding genotypes recorded maximum tillers per plant. This is in accordance with the findings of Acharya (1987), Chidambaram and Palanisamy (1996). The growth parameters are furnished in Table 2, 3 and 4. Leaf area index (LAI) at all the stages was significantly higher in barnyard millet (1.38, 2.53, 3.24 and 2.75) followed by foxtail millet (1.19, 2.16, 2.88 and 2.18).

The higher LAI in these genotypes may be attributed to their higher tillers per plant. A significant correlation of LAI and yield has been reported by Hanumantharao and Sathyanarayana (1987) and Bhoite (2000). Leaf area duration (LAD) indicates persistence of greenness in the crops. It is a useful growth parameter indicating the efficiency of photosynthetic system, with a high degree of association with dry matter accumulation (Chetti and Sirohi, 1995). Higher LAD has lead to higher Total Dry Matter (TDM) and grain yield. LAD in the present investigation differed significantly among the crops. It was observed that, the leaf area duration between vegetative to flowering stages was maximum in foxtail millet (48.54 days) and the minimum was observed in kodo millet (15.32 days).

Table 4. Leaf area duration (LAD) of small millets at different growth stages

Crop	Leaf area duration (days)		
	Seedling - Vegetative stage	Vegetative - Flowering stage	Flowering - Maturity stage
Finger millet	29.45	35.48	34.55
Little millet	14.36	33.85	32.94
Barnyard millet	15.92	34.86	30.27
Foxtail millet	20.66	48.54	46.36
Kodo millet	13.63	15.32	15.22
Mean	0.67	1.24	1.43
SEd	0.314	0.258	1.252
CD (P=0.05)	0.669	0.551	2.670

The photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate and stomatal conductance of finger millet, little millet, barnyard millet, foxtail millet and kodo millet were

observed at vegetative and flowering stages (Table 5). In general, a positive correlation exists between photosynthetic rate and other two parameters. In the

Table 5. Gas exchange parameters of small millets at different growth stages

Crop	Vegetative			Flowering		
	Photosynthetic rate ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Transpiration rate ($\text{mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Stomatal conductance ($\text{mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Photosynthetic rate ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Transpiration rate ($\text{mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Stomatal conductance ($\text{mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)
Finger millet	30.21	6.34	0.32	35.54	10.34	1.41
Little millet	29.03	5.64	0.30	33.22	8.53	1.19
Barnyard millet	29.34	5.11	0.31	37.24	11.34	1.43
Foxtail millet	22.58	5.25	0.27	29.77	7.77	1.11
Kodo millet	24.56	5.50	0.29	29.89	8.28	1.16
Mean	27.14	5.56	0.29	33.13	9.25	1.26
SEd	0.385	0.072	0.006	0.572	0.085	0.011
CD (P=0.05)	0.820	0.154	0.012	1.220	0.182	0.024

present study also similar correlation was obtained among these parameters. The photosynthetic rate revealed significant differences among the small millets studied. The maximum photosynthetic rate ($37.24 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), transpiration rate ($11.34 \text{ mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and stomatal conductance ($1.43 \text{ mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) was recorded at flowering stage in barnyard millet, which was followed by finger millet. Channappagoudar (2008a) reported that high

yielding genotypes of barnyard millet had higher photosynthetic rates. Gollagi (2005) observed high stomatal conductance and moderate transpiration rate in high yielding genotypes of little millet. These findings are in accordance with the results of the present study. Udupudi *et al.* (1998) and Bhoite (2000) reported similar results in foxtail millet. But maximum leaf photosynthetic rates generally bear little relation to productivity under field conditions (Corley, 1983).

Table 6. Yield and yield components of small millets

Crop	No. of tillers plant ⁻¹	100 grain wt (g plant ⁻¹)	Grain yield (g plant ⁻¹)	Harvest index
Finger millet	12.6	0.39	28.92	0.39
Little millet	19.4	0.25	20.38	0.39
Barnyard millet	18.0	0.37	30.16	0.35
Foxtail millet	18.5	0.35	23.64	0.28
Kodo millet	16.5	0.32	18.70	0.48
Mean	17.0	0.34	26.76	0.37
SEd	0.22	0.007	0.40	0.004
CD (P=0.05)	0.43	0.015	0.86	0.010

Yield parameters were observed at maturity stage and recorded in Table 6. The highest 100 grain weight was observed in finger millet ($0.39 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) followed by barnyard millet ($0.37 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) and the lowest ($0.25 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) were observed in little millet. The effect of higher grain weight reflected on the grain yield of finger millet and barnyard millet. Harvest Index (HI) has positive relationship with seed yield. The HI of small millets ranged from 0.28 to 0.48. Kodo millet registered the highest HI of 0.48 followed by finger millet (0.39) and little millet (0.39). The lowest HI was observed in foxtail millet (0.28). The highest grain yield of $30.16 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$ was recorded by barnyard millet followed by finger millet ($28.92 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) and foxtail millet ($23.64 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$). In the present study, the higher yield recorded in barnyard millet, finger millet and foxtail millet was attributed to the more number of tillers, photosynthetic rate and better partitioning to the developing grains, higher grain weight and LAI, LAD and total dry matter accumulation.

References

- Acharya, M.N. 1987. Genetic variability and correlation studies of yield contributing characters in *Panicum miliare* Lamk. *Andhra Agric. J.*, **34**: 19-23.
- Bhoite, A.G. 2000. Physiological indices for higher productivity in foxtail millet. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
- Channappagoudar, B.B., Hiremath, S.M., Biradar, N.R., Koti, R.V. and Bharamagoudar, T.D. 2008. Influence of Morpho-physiological and Biochemical Traits on the Productivity of Barnyard Millet. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **20(3)**: 477-480.
- Charurvedi, V.K., Mishra, Y., Singh, C.B. and Kharche, S.K. 1990. Genetic variability, Correlated response and association analyses in little millet (*Panicum miliare* Lamk) *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **24**: 163-167.
- Chetti, M.B. and Sirohi, G.S. 1995. Effect of water stress on leaf characteristics and its recovery in moonbeam cultivars. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **20**: 85-87.
- Chidambaram, S. and Palanisamy, S. 1996. Dry matter production and harvest index in little millet (*Panicum*

- sumatrense* Roth Ex. Roem and Schult). *Madras Agric. J.*, **83**: 15 -17.
- Corley, R.H.V. 1983. Oil palm and other tropical tree crops. In Proc. Symposium on Potential productivity of field crops under different environments. IRRI, Philippines. pp.260
- Dogget, H. (1989). Small millets – A selective overview. In: A. Seetharam, K.W. Riley, Harinarayana, G. (Eds) Small millets in Global Agriculture (1st Edn), Genetics 58, 107-112.
- Gollagi, S.G. 2005. Physiological basis of productivity in little millet (*Panicum miliguae*). Ph.D., Thesis, Department of crop physiology, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka, India.
- Gupta, A. 2009. Improvement of millets and pseudo-cereals for rainfed agriculture in hill region. In : H.S. Gupta, A.K Srivastva, J.C . Bhatt (Eds) Sustainable production from agricultural watersheds in north west Himalaya, Vivekanada Parvatiya Krishi Anusandhan, Almora, Uttaranchal, India.163-174.
- Gupta, A., V. Mahajan and Gupta, H.S. 2010. Genetic resources and varietal improvement of small millets for Indian Himalaya. In: Tewari L.M, pangtey Y.P.S, Tewari G. (Eds) Biodiversity potentials of the Himalaya, Gyanodaya Prakashan, Nainital, India. pp. 305-316.
- Haider, Z.A. 1997. Little millet in Indian Agriculture: Progress and Perspectives. In: National Seminar on small millets, 23-24 April, 1997, Coimbatore, India, pp 209-235
- Hanumantharao, G.V. and Satyamarayana, C.L. 1987. Character association and path analysis of physiological determinants of grain yield in certain millet genotypes. *Andra Agric. J.*, **34**: 300 -303.
- Hedge, B.R, and Gowda, B.K.L. 1989. Cropping systems and production technology for small millets in India. In: Seetharam A, Riley KW, Harinarayana G (Eds) Small Millets in Global Agriculture (1st Edn), Oxford and IBH Publishing Company, Delhi, India, pp 59-70
- ICRISAT, 2011a. International Crop Research Institute for Semi Arid Tropics. www.icrisat.org
- ICRISAT, 2011b. International Crop Research Institute for Semi Arid Tropics. www.icrisat.org
- Jijau, C. 1989. Importance and genetic resource of small millets with emphasis on foxtail millet (*Setaria italic*) in China. In: Seetharam ., A, K.W. Riley, G. Harinarayana (Eds) Small millets in Global Agriculture (1st Edn), oxfords and IBH Publishing Company, Delhi, India. pp: 93-100
- Lin, E. 2005. Production and processing of small seeds for birds, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation, Rome. pp: 47
- Macron, A.E. 1994. Wheat streak mosaic virus resistance in foxtail millet *setaria italica* L. Beauv. And factors related to resistance. MSc thesis, University of Nebraska, Lincoln. pp: 78
- National Research Council (1996) Lost crops of Africa (Vol 1: Grains), National Academy Press, Washington DC, USA, 383 pp
- Padulosi, I. Bhag Mal, Oliver, King and Elisabetta Gotor. 2009. Minor millets as a central element for sustainably enhanced incomes, empowerment, and nutrition in rural India sustainability, **7**(7): 8904-8933.
- Power, F., Willis, W.O., Grunes, D.L. and Reichman, G.A. 1967. Effect of Soil Temperature, Phosphorus, and Plant Age on Growth Analysis of Barley. *Agron. J.*, **59**: 231-234.
- Rao G.N., R.R. Reddy, and Reddy, P.S.N. 1997. Foxtail millet in Indian Ariculture. In: National Seminar on small millets, 23-24 April, 1997, Coimbatore, india, pp 3-4 (Extended summaries)
- Rathod T.H., Kondawor, S.R., Malthane, G.B. and Karade, P.K. 1996. Studies on character correlations and selection indices in *Setaria italica* (L) Beauv. *J. Soils and Crops*, **6**: 62-67.
- Schery, R.W. 1972. Plants for man (2th Edn), prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, pp 440-442
- Udapudi, S.S., Hiremath, S.M., Chetti, M.B. and Awari, S.B. 1998. Influence of leaf area index and total dry matter on grain yield in fox tail millet genotypes. Paper presented at National seminar on role of plant physiology and bio-technology in agriculture and industry, Osmania Univ. Hyderabad, 14-16.
- Williams, R.E. 1946. The physiology of plant growth with special reference to the concept of net assimilation rate. *Ann. Bot.*, **10**: 41-71.
- Yoshida, S.D., J.H. Forno, Cook and Gome, K.H. 1972. Laboratory manual for physiological Studies of Rice, IARI, Philippines. Pp:36-37.