

Effect of Planting Geometry and Nutrient Levels on Flowering, Yield and Quality of Rose cv. Charisma

H. Ansar*, G.K. Seetharamu, K.B. Shwetha and S. Anil Kumar

Dept. of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, UHS Campus, G.K.V.K. Bangalore-65

Investigations were carried out on spacing and optimum nutrition required for rose cv. Charisma at GKVK, Bangalore, in a factorial randomized complete block design with 12 treatment combinations comprising of three different plant densities $\it viz., S_1(0.75 \times 0.75 \, m)$, $S_2(1.50 \times 1.00 \, m)$ and $S_3(1.80 \times 1.00 \, m)$ and four levels of nutrients $\it viz., N(20:20:30 \, g \, NPK/plant)$, $N_2(30:30:45 \, g \, NPK/plant)$, $N_3(40:40:60 \, g \, NPK/plant)$ and $N_3(50:50:75 \, g \, NPK/plant)$. It was found that plant density $N_3(1.50 \times 1.00 \, m)$ and nutrient level $N_3(0.30:30:45 \, g \, NPK/plant)$ had showed superiority in the flowering and yield attributes $\it viz., ext{arrival}$ early flowering (45.67 days), maximum number of flowers per plant (123.78), the highest yield per plant (0.83 kg), and maximum shelf life (39.72 hr). While, plant density $N_3(0.75 \times 0.75 \, m)$ and nutrient level $N_3(0.75 \times 0.75 \, m)$ and nutrient (0.39 kg) and minimum shelf life (31.47 hr). Whereas, plant density $N_3(0.75 \times 0.75 \, m)$ and nutrient levels $N_3(0.75 \times$

Key words: Rose cv. Charisma, Plant density and Nutrient levels

The rose cv. Charisma belong to the floribunda group, which is known for producing large quantity of better shaped flowers with perpetual flowering habit for a longer period. In Karnataka, rose cv. Charisma is mainly concentrated in and around Bangalore, Chikkaballapura, Kolar and other adjoining districts of Bangalore for loose flower purpose. These districts are having good climate for growing rose flowers round the year. The fully opened flowers are used for making garland, also in religious and ceremonial functions. Majority of Charisma rose growers are practicing varied spacing with nutritional levels. Excess use of fertilizers may result in wastage of money apart from damage to plant and soil properties.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment on rose cv. Charisma was laid out at Regional Horticultural Research and Extension Centre, UHS (Campus), Bangalore, during 2011-2012. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam rich in organic matter. The trial was replicated thrice with two factorial randomized complete block design with 12 treatment combinations comprising of three different plant densities viz., $S_1(0.75 \times 0.75 \text{ m})$, $S_2(1.50 \times 1.00 \text{ m})$ and $S_3(1.80 \times 1.00 \text{ m})$; and four levels of nutrients viz., N₄(20:20:30 g NPK/plant), N₂(30:30:45 g NPK/ plant), N_3 (40:40:60 g NPK/plant) and N_4 (50:50:75 g NPK/plant). The plot size was 7.2 ×4.2 m. Six month old budded plants were planted during kharif season of 2011 after the application of 20 kg farm yard

manure per pit, the plants were allowed for vegetative growth for a period of three months and pruning was done. Half the dose of nitrogen and potash, and full dose of phosphorus were applied as basal dose and remaining dose of nitrogen and potash were applied 45 days after first application. All other recommended agronomic package and practices were followed to grow a successful crop. Data on flowering, yield and quality parameters *viz.*, early flowering, flower length, flower diameter, flower weight, 100 flower weight, number of flowers per plant, number of flowers per bunch, weight of the flowers per plant, total yield per plant, yield per hectare and shelf life were recorded.

Results and Discussion

The effect of spacing and nutrition on flowering and yield characters of rose cv. Charisma indicated significant response to varied levels of spacing and nutrients.

Effect of plant density on flowering

Planting density had significant effect on flowering of rose cv. Charisma (Table.1). The minimum days to 50 per cent flowering (47.92) was recorded in S $_2$ (1.50 x 1.00 m). Likewise, the maximum flower diameter (4.65 cm), the highest flower length (2.62 cm), maximum flower weight (2.46 g) and the highest number of flowers per bunch (12.77) were recorded under $S_2(1.50 \times 1.00 \text{ m})$, and it was minimum in S $_1(0.75 \times 0.75 \text{ m})$. This may be due to production of more number of branches per plant at optimum spacing, and also due to presence of fairly more number of well developed petals.

^{*}Corresponding author email :ansarhort@gmail.com

Table 1. Effect of planting geometry and nutrient levels on flowering character of rose cv. Charisma

Flowering parameters Treatment Days taken for 50 Flower diameter Flower Flower Number of flower per cent flowering (cm) length (cm) weight (g) per bunch Spacing S, 50.00 4.45 2.45 2.34 11.31 S, 47.92 4.65 2.62 2.46 12.77 2.48 S, 50.17 4.50 2.34 12.42 S.Em± 0.03 0.039 0.19 0.05 0.172 CD(P=0.05) 0.09 NS 0.505 0.58 0.15 Nutrient levels 2.22 2.07 N, 50.44 4.13 10.73 N, 48.55 4.67 2.66 2.48 12.69 N_3 2.60 2.46 12.63 49.11 4.62 2.50 N₄ 49.33 471 2.59 12.60 0.030.045 S.Em± 0.22 0.06 0.172 CD(P=0.05) 0.67 0.17 0.11 0.133 0.505 Interactions (S x N) S,N, 50.67 4.08 2.15 2.03 10.56 S₁N₂ 50.33 4.58 2.57 2.38 11.38 S,N, 49.67 4.56 2.53 2.44 11.54 S,N, 49.33 4.59 2.65 2.50 11.75 S₂N₁ 50.00 4.17 2.23 2.07 10.83 S,N, 45.67 4.87 2.87 2.66 13.54 S₂N₃ 47.67 4.77 2.68 2.62 13.44 S₂N₄ 48.33 4.79 2.72 2.51 13.27 S₃N₁ 2.29 50.67 4.14 2.13 10.78 S₃N₂ 49.67 2.56 13.00 4.57 2.34 S₃N₃ 50.00 4.55 2.53 2.41 12.84 S_3N_4 50.33 4.77 2.53 2.49 13.05 S.Em± 0.10 0.06 0.078 0.344 0.39 CD(P=0.05) 1.16 NS NS NS NS CV (%) 1.39 3.97 4.53 5.72 4.90

 S_1 : 0.75 × 0.75 m ; S_2 : 1.50 × 1.00 m; S_3 : 1.80 × 1.00 m ; N_1 : 20:20:30g NPK/Plant/Year ; N_2 : 30:30:45g NPK/Plant/Year; N_3 : 40:40:60g NPK/Plant/Year; NS: Non-significant

Similar results were obtained by Brijendra singh and Dadlani (1988) and Bhattacharya *et al.* (2000). Whereas, duration of flowering showed non significant results.

Effect of nutrient levels on flowering

The nutrient levels showed significant variations for all the flowering parameters studied during crop growth period. Among the different nutrient levels N $_{\!\!2}$ (30:30:45 g NPK/plant) flowers were produced early (48.55 days). Likewise, maximum flower length (2.66 cm) and the highest number of flowers per bunch (12.69) were noticed in N $_{\!\!2}(30:30:45$ g NPK/plant). While, it was minimum in N(20:20:30 g NPK/plant). Similar results were obtained by Bhattacharya et al. (2001). However, N $_{\!\!4}(50:50:75$ g NPK/plant) recorded maximum flower diameter (4.71 cm) and maximum flower weight (2.50 g) and it was minimum in N $_{\!\!4}(20:20:30$ g NPK/plant). Similar results were recorded by Viradia and Singh (2004).

Interaction between plant density and nutrient levels on flowering

The interaction between plant density and nutrient levels had significant effect on days to 50 per cent flowering and it was recorded minimum in

S₂N₂(45.67). Whereas, it was maximum in S N₂(50.67) and other flowering parameters had non significant effect.

Effect of plant density on yield

Planting density had significant effect on yield of rose cv. Charisma (Table.2). Among the plant density, S_{s} (1.50 × 1.00 m) produced the maximum number of flowers per plant (112.74), weight of the flowers per plant (280.38 g) and total yield per plant (0.70 kg). Whereas, minimum was recorded in S₂(0.75 × 0.75 m). These observations were in conformity with the result of Bhattacharya et al. (2000) and Nagaraju et al. (2003). However, yield per hectare (9.59 tonnes) was the highest in S $_{1}(0.75 \times 0.75 \text{ m})$ and the lowest (3.27 tonnes) in $S_3(1.80 \times 1.00 \text{ m})$. The flower production per unit area per annum was increased with close spacing by Sujatha and Singh, 2003, Viradia and Singh (2003) and Bhattacharya et al. (2001) in rose. Whereas, 100 flowers weight recorded non significant results.

Effect of nutrient levels on yield

The nutrient levels showed significant variations for all the yield parameters studied during crop growth. Among the different nutrient levels, N

Table 2. Effect of planting geometry and nutrient levels on yield character of rose

Treatment	Yield parameters				
	100 flowers weight	Number of	Weight of the	Total yield per	Total yield per
	(g)	flowers per plant	flowers per plant	plant (kg)	hectare (tonnes)
Spacing					
S ₁	240.33	100.34	236.00	0.54	9.59
S_2	254.30	112.74	280.38	0.70	4.67
S ₂ S ₃	239.32	102.97	241.56	0.58	3.27
S.Em±	6.14	1.99	6.89	0.012	0.14
CD(P=0.05)	NS	5.85	20.230	0.037	0.43
Nutrient levels					
N_1	221.69	93.52	194.45	0.45	4.35
N_2	245.01	111.35	275.22	0.66	6.23
N_3	255.57	108.45	270.35	0.65	6.38
N_4	256.32	108.0	270.57	0.65	6.40
S.Em±	7.09	2.30	7.96	0.014	0.17
CD(P=0.05)	20.80	6.75	23.36	0.043	0.49
Interactions (S x N)					
S ₁ N ₁	217.27	85.92	175.07	0.39	7.07
S_1N_2	233.73	104.08	247.25	0.54	9.73
S ₁ N ₃	253.27	105.28	256.83	0.60	10.74
S_1N_4	257.07	106.07	264.86	0.60	10.83
S_2N_1	222.17	96.65	199.77	0.48	3.26
S_2N_2	270.23	123.78	329.57	0.83	5.56
S_2N_3	264.70	117.40	307.22	0.77	5.15
S_2N_4	260.10	113.12	284.97	0.70	4.73
S_3N_1	225.63	98.00	208.51	0.49	2.74
S_3N_2	231.07	106.18	248.85	0.61	3.40
S_3N_3	248.77	102.67	247.01	0.59	3.28
S_3N_4	251.80	105.03	261.88	0.65	3.66
S.Em±	12.28	3.99	13.79	0.025	0.29
CD(P=0.05)	NS	11.70	NS	0.075	0.86
CV (%)	8.70	6.56	9.45	7.21	8.72

 S_1 : 0.75 × 0.75 m; S_2 : 1.50 × 1.00 m; S_3 : 1.80 × 1.00 m; S_4 : 20:20:30g NPK/Plant/Year; S_4 : 30:30:45g NPK/Plant/Year; S_4 : 40:40:60g NPK/Plant/Year; S_5 : Non-significant

(30:30:45 g NPK/plant) produced the maximum number of flowers per plant (111.35), the highest flower weight (275.22) and total yield per plant (0.66 kg). While, it was minimum in N ₁(20:20:30 g NPK/plant). Similar observations have also been recorded by Mukesh and Chattopadhyay (2001), Nagaraju *et al.* (2003) and Singh *et al.* (2004). However, 100 flowers weight (256.32 g) and yield per hectare (6.40 tonnes) was high in treatment N ₄ (50:50:75g NPK/plant) and low in N ₁(20:20:30g NPK/plant). Similar results were recorded by Viradia and Singh (2004) and Yeo *et al.* (2011).

Interaction between plant density and nutrient levels on yield

The interaction between plant density and nutrient levels had significant effect on yield parameters. Among the interactions S $_2N_2$ recorded the maximum total yield per plant (0.83 kg). While, it was the lowest in (0.39 kg) in S $_1N_1$. Similar trend was observed by Mukesh and Chattopadhyay (2001), Nagaraju *et al.* (2003) and Singh *et al.* (2004). However, the maximum yield per hectare (10.83 tonnes) was noticed in S $_1N_4$. While, S $_3N_1$ recorded the lowest yield (2.74 tonnes). Higher yield per

hectare recorded at close spacing accrued was primarily due to the increased plant population, despite compromise in per plant yield. Similar trend was observed by Viradia *et al.* (2004) and Yeo *et al.* (2008)

Effect of plant density on quality

Dense planting caused significant differences in weight loss for every 24 hours (Table.3). The minimum weight loss (28.33 g) and maximum shelf life (36.86 hr) were recorded in S $_2$ (1.50 x 1.00 m). These observations were in conformity with the results of Bhattacharya *et al.* (2001).

Effect of nutrient levels on quality

The nutrient levels also significantly influenced the weight loss of flowers and shelf life for every 24 hours. The minimum weight loss (31.56 g) and maximum shelf life (36.18 hr) were recorded in N $_{\rm 2}$ (30:30:45 g NPK/plant).

Interaction between plant density and nutrient levels on quality

The interaction effect between plant density and nutrient levels (S x N) was found to be significant

Table 3. Effect of planting geometry and nutrient levels on quality of rose cv. Charisma

Spacing 37.06 33.08 S ₁ 37.06 33.08 S ₂ 28.33 36.86 S ₃ 32.27 35.48 S.Em± 0.10 0.31 CD(P=0.05) 0.29 0.92 Nutrient levels N ₁ 34.49 32.10 N ₂ 31.56 36.18 N ₂ 31.79 36.15	Treatment	Weight loss (g) of flower for every 24 hours	Shelf life (hour)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Spacing		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S ₁	37.06	33.08
S.Em± 0.10 0.31 CD(P=0.05) 0.29 0.92 Nutrient levels 0.10 0.31 N1 34.49 32.10 N2 31.56 36.18	S_2	28.33	36.86
CD(P=0.05) 0.29 0.92 Nutrient levels 34.49 32.10 N ₂ 31.56 36.18	S_3	32.27	35.48
Nutrient levels N1 34.49 32.10 N2 31.56 36.18	S.Em±	0.10	0.31
N ₁ 34.49 32.10 N ₂ 31.56 36.18	CD(P=0.05)	0.29	0.92
N ₂ 31.56 36.18	Nutrient levels		
-	N_1	34.49	32.10
N _a 31.79 36.15	N_2	31.56	36.18
35	N_3	31.79	36.15
N ₄ 32.36 36.12	N_4	32.36	36.12
S.Em± 0.11 0.31	S.Em±	0.11	0.31
CD(P=0.05) 0.34 0.92	CD(P=0.05)	0.34	0.92
Interactions (S \times N)	Interactions (S \times N)		
S ₁ N ₁ 40.67 31.47	S_1N_1	40.67	31.47
S_1N_2 38.67 32.67	S_1N_2	38.67	32.67
S_1N_3 34.57 33.19	S_1N_3	34.57	33.19
S ₁ 4 ₄ 34.33 35.00	S ₁ 4 ₄	34.33	35.00
S_2N_1 31.30 31.40	S_2N_1	31.30	31.40
S_2N_2 25.47 39.72	S_2N_2	25.47	39.72
S ₂ N ₃ 28.26 39.05	S_2N_3	28.26	39.05
S_2N_4 28.30 37.25	S_2N_4	28.30	37.25

with loss of flower weight and shelf life for every 24 hours. The minimum weight loss (25.47 g) and maximum shelf life (39.72 hr) were observed in \$N_o.

It can be concluded that the application NPK at 30:30:45g/plant with spacing of 1.5 x 1.0m significantly influence all the flowering and yield parameters in rose cv. Charisma.

References

Bhattacharya, J., Sable, A. S. and Gaikwad, A. M. 2000. Effect of plant density on growth and yield of rose cv.gladiator. *Research on Crops*, **1**(3): 363-366.

- Bhattacharya, J., Sable, A.S. and Gaikwad, A.M. 2001. Effect of plant density on growth and yield of rose cv.gladiator. J. Orna. Hort., (New Series). 4(2):126-127.
- Brijendra Singh. and Dadlanl, N.K. 1988. Effect of different spacing treatments on growth and flowering in *Rose damascence*. South Indian Hort., **36**(1): 62-64.
- Mukesh, K. and Chattopadhyay, T.K. 2001. Effect of N P and K on the growth and flowering of rose cv. Montezuma. *Environ. Ecol.*, **19**(4): 932-934.
- Nagaraju, C.G., Reddy, T.V. and Madaiah, D. 2003. Effect of plant density, irrigation and oil cake on growth, production and quality of field grown rose cv. landora. J. Orna. Hort., 6(3):172-179.
- Singh, A.K., Kavita Karki and Shiva Jauhari. 2004. Response of nitrogen on growth and flowering parameter in rose. *J. Oran. Hort.*, **7**(1): 90-94.
- Sujatha, K. and Singh, K.P. 2003. Effect of spacing and pruning on rose. *J. Orna. Hort.*, (New Series). **6**(2): 153
- Viradia, R. and Singh, R. 2003. Studies on nitrogen nutrition and plant density in rose. Proceedings of the national symposium on Indian floriculture in the new millennium, Lal-Bagh, Bangalore, 25-27. February, 2003; 228-
- Viradia, R.R. and Singh, S.P. 2004. Quality production of cut rose cv. Gladiator as influenced by nutrient, plant density and water management. J. Orna. Hort., 7(3-4):171-176.
- Yeo, K.H. and Lee, Y.B. 2008. Optimal plant density for single-node cutting roses Macro and Micro-element in a plant factory. Hort. Environ. Biotechnol., 49: 305-313.
- Yeo, K.H., Cho, Y.Y. and Lee, Y.B. 2011. Estimation of growth and yield for single-stemmed rose 'Vital' in a single stem system. *Hort. Environ.Biotechnol.*, **52**(5): 455-465.

Received: January 04, 2013; Accepted: June 10, 2014