# Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Wheat Under Long-Term Rice-Wheat Cropping Systems in Mollisol

### Poonam Gangola<sup>1\*</sup>, Room Singh<sup>1</sup>, A.K. Bhardwaj<sup>2</sup> and Poonam Gautam<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, <sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand

Field experiment was conducted at Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar during 2008-09 to study the effect of integrated nutrient management on wheat under rice-wheat cropping system in a Mollisol. Twelve treatments were replicated twice in split plot design with 12 main and 2 sub plots. Plant height, number of tillers, wheat grain and straw yield were noted. Nutrient content (N, P, K & S) in wheat and nutrient uptake (N, P, K & S) by wheat were determined. Partial replacement of N through FYM and wheat and *moong* straw resulted in significant improvement in nutrient concentration as well as their uptake and wheat yield. The treatments with 100% N or NPK and 50% N applied through *moong* straw were better than all other treatments and *moong* straw proved the best among organic sources of N studied in respect of its effect on wheat yield & nutrient status in plant.

Key words: INM, rice-wheat cropping system, FYM, moong straw, wheat straw.

Agriculture is facing several critical issues like low fertilizer use efficiencies, decreasing factor productivity, low soil organic carbon (SOC) stock, mismatch between nutrient removal and addition to the soil. The whole scenario of agriculture is at a junction where one has to rethink and improve the agricultural packages and practices to fulfill the needs of the country. Fertilizers are very important sources of plant nutrients and have played a prominent role in increasing food grain production of the country. Continuous and imbalanced use of fertilizers is adversely affecting the sustainability of agricultural production besides causing environmental pollution. Indiscriminate exploitation of natural resources and non-judicious use of agricultural inputs to fetch higher production had generated a serious problem on sustaining agricultural productivity.

To sustain the productivity of different crops and cropping systems, efficient nutrient management is vital. The major issue for the sustainable agricultural production will be management of soil organic carbon and rational use of organic inputs such as animal manure, crop residue, green manure, sewage sludge and wastes known as integrated plant nutrient resources management. In most long-term experiments, a combination of mineral fertilizers and farmyard manure has generally given the best crop yield and soil quality (Wang et al ., 2004; Chalk et al., 2003). Thus keeping in view the above consideration present study was

undertaken to study the effect of integrated nutrient management on wheat under rice-wheat cropping system in a Mollisol.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Norman. E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre of the Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. Twelve treatments (i.e. Rice (Kharif): T1 Control (no fertilizer or O.M.), T<sub>2</sub> 50% recommended N dose through urea, T<sub>3</sub> 50% recommended N dose through urea, T<sub>4</sub> 50% recommended N dose through urea, T<sub>5</sub> 100% recommended N dose through urea, T<sub>6</sub> 50% recommended N dose through urea + 50% N dose through FYM, T7 75% recommended N dose through urea + 25% N dose through FYM, T<sub>8</sub> 50% recommended N dose through urea + 50% N dose through wheat straw, T<sub>9</sub> 75% recommended N dose through urea + 25% N dose through wheat straw. T<sub>10</sub> 50% recommended N dose through urea + 50% N dose through green gram straw, T<sub>11</sub> 75% recommended N dose through urea + 25% N dose through green gram straw, T<sub>12</sub> 100% Recommended N, P and K dose through fertilizers. Wheat (Rabi): Treatments  $T_1$  ,  $T_2$  ,  $T_4$  ,  $T_5$  &  $T_{12}$  were same as for rice crop while other treatments are as follows: T<sub>3</sub> 100% recommended N dose through urea, T<sub>6</sub> 100% recommended N dose through urea, T 7 75% recommended N dose through urea, T<sub>8</sub> 100% recommended N dose through urea, T 9 75% recommended N dose through urea, T10 100%

recommended N dose through urea, T<sub>11</sub> 75% \*Corresponding author email: priya.gangola@gmail.com recommended N dose through urea) replicated

twice in split plot design were compared in a permanent plot experiment on integrated nutrient supply system in rice-wheat cropping system with 12 main and 2 sub plots. The recommended dose of nitrogen was 120 kg ha-1 for rice as well as wheat. Phosphorus treatment was included since kharif 2000. Each plot was divided in two plots, and in one part of each plot phosphorus was applied @ 40 kg ha-1. Observations on grain and straw yield, plant height and number of tillers were recorded. Plant Analysis for different nutrient content i.e. nitrogen content by Micro-kjeldahl procedure (Jackson, 1967), phosphorus content by vanadomolybdate phosphoric acid yellow colour method (Piper, 1996), potassium content by flame photometer (Perur et al., 1973), sulphur content by turbidimetric method (Chesnin and Yien, 1950) was done. Plant uptake for nutrients (N, P, K & S) was worked out by multiplying the nutrient content with yield. Data obtained were analyzed statistically using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) technique for a split plot design experiment.

# Grain and straw yield in the year 2008-09 and 2009-10

Grain and straw yield of wheat in the year 2008-9 varied from 1225 to 3325 kg ha-1 and 1825 to 4800 kg ha-1, respectively (Table 1). In the year 2008-9 among N treatments grain as well as straw yield was the lowest (1225 and 1825 kg ha-1, respectively) in control (T1) whereas, the highest grain yield 3325 kg ha-1 was found in T<sub>5</sub> and highest straw yield 4800 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was observed in T<sub>10</sub> where 50% N dose in kharif season was applied through moong straw. Among N treatments significant increase in grain and straw yield was observed in all the treatments than the control (T1). Higher yield is function of favourable effect of fertilizers and manures on nutrients availability as well as physical condition of the soil (Maurya and Ghosh, 1972). This finding is in conformity with that reported by Banwasi and Bajpai (2001), Yadav (2004). Lowest yield was observed under control plot due to no fertilizer and organic manure application. Singh (1999) also obtained the similar results.

In the year 2009-10 grain as well as straw yield

# Results and Discussion

Table 1. Effect of various long-term treatments on grain and straw yield (kg ha-1) and number of tillers/m<sup>2</sup> of wheat.

| Treatment      | Grair<br>(200 | n yield<br>08-09) | Mean   | Straw<br>(200 | yield<br>8-09)  | Mean | Grai<br>(20 | n yield<br>08-09) | Mean | Strav<br>(200 | v yield<br>08-09) | Mean | No.<br>tille | of<br>rs        | Mean |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------|--------|---------------|-----------------|------|-------------|-------------------|------|---------------|-------------------|------|--------------|-----------------|------|
|                | Р             | P <sub>40</sub>   |        | Ь             | P <sub>40</sub> |      | P           | P <sub>40</sub>   |      | Ь             | P_40              |      | P            | P <sub>40</sub> |      |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 1150          | 1300              | 1225   | 1950          | 1700            | 1825 | 1600        | 1600              | 1600 | 2150          | 2650              | 2400 | 147          | 163             | 155  |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 2150          | 2600              | 2375   | 3850          | 3900            | 3875 | 2600        | 2950              | 2775 | 4900          | 5550              | 5225 | 184          | 217             | 200  |
| 3              | 1950          | 2800              | 2375   | 2550          | 3950            | 3250 | 3050        | 3350              | 3200 | 5700          | 4900              | 5300 | 223          | 215             | 219  |
| T4             | 2150          | 2700              | 2425   | 5100          | 4300            | 4700 | 3100        | 3300              | 3200 | 5400          | 5700              | 5550 | 195          | 215             | 205  |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 3050          | 3600              | 3325   | 4950          | 4400            | 4675 | 3250        | 3550              | 3400 | 6250          | 5950              | 6100 | 216          | 267             | 246  |
| Ţ6             | 2750          | 3050              | 2900   | 4000          | 3700            | 3850 | 3200        | 3600              | 3250 | 5550          | 6150              | 5850 | 242          | 195             | 219  |
| 7              | 2550          | 2800              | 2675   | 5450          | 3950            | 4700 | 3400        | 3350              | 3375 | 6350          | 5400              | 5875 | 204          | 178             | 191  |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 1750          | 1950              | 1850   | 2750          | 2800            | 2775 | 2800        | 2900              | 2850 | 5450          | 5350              | 5400 | 168          | 211             | 189  |
| Ţ9             | 2200          | 2600              | 2400   | 3800          | 4400            | 4100 | 2550        | 3100              | 2825 | 5950          | 5900              | 5925 | 212          | 215             | 213  |
| 10             | 1950          | 2700              | 2325   | 4550          | 5050            | 4800 | 3350        | 3150              | 3400 | 5650          | 6100              | 6450 | 279          | 276             | 278  |
| 11             | 2800          | 3200              | 3000   | 3700          | 4300            | 4000 | 3450        | 3200              | 3325 | 5800          | 5550              | 5675 | 225          | 243             | 234  |
| 12             | 2250          | 2800              | 2525   | 4000          | 4450            | 4225 | 3250        | 3350              | 3300 | 6000          | 6900              | 5875 | 227          | 237             | 232  |
| S.Em. ±        | 291.52        | 174.43            | 264.11 | 1482          | 361             | 403  | 262         | 200               | 220  | 395           | 558               | 265  | 4            | 3               | 3    |
| CD at 5%       | 905.46        | 537.31            | 821.90 | 477           | 1114            | 1256 | 813         | 616               | 687  | 1223          | 1276              | 825  | 14           | 12.1            | 12.1 |

of wheat varied from 1600 to 3400 kg ha-1 and 2400 to 6450 kg ha-1 respectively (Table 1). Lowest grain yield (1600 kg ha-1) and straw yield (2400 kg ha-1) was observed under T1 (control). Among N treatments significant increase in grain and straw yield was observed in all the treatments than control  $(T_1)$ . Highest grain yield (3400 kg ha-1) was observed under T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> treatments followed by T<sub>7</sub> while highest straw yield (6450 kg ha-1) was recorded under T10 followed by T5. This increase in yield may be understood in the light of observations that N added through the organic residue and FYM resulted significant increase in available amounts of nutrients in the soil. Similar results were also reported by Dhillon and Dhillon (1991). In both the years, the trend in the increase of grain and straw yield was seen due to the application of N with P and without P, however, the magnitude and variation among treatment was little different. In the subsequent year the yield trend was more normalized with respect to

the treatments. This might be due to environmental conditions and several other factors affecting plant growth and yield.

#### Number of Tillers and Plant Height

Number of tillers varied from 155 to 278 m-2 and plant height varied from 44.7 to 66.3 cm (Table 2). In N treatments with P and without P more number of tillers were found under T <sub>10</sub> where 50 percent nitrogen was applied through *moong* straw and 50 percent through urea in *kharif* and 100 percent nitrogen was applied through urea in *rabi* season. Maximum plant height was also noted under T<sub>10</sub>. The combined effect of the two (N and P) treatments on number of tillers and plant height was highest under T<sub>10</sub> treatment followed by T<sub>5</sub> treatment and it was lowest under control. This could be due to better availability of nutrients (N, P, and S) in the organic manure treated plot than the control. Similar results were also reported by Awan *et al.* (2000).

| Treatment      | Plant          | height | Mean | N con          | c.(Grain)       | Mean | N upta         | ke (Grain) | Mean  | P cond         | . (Grain)       | Mean | P uptak        | e (Grain)       | Mean |
|----------------|----------------|--------|------|----------------|-----------------|------|----------------|------------|-------|----------------|-----------------|------|----------------|-----------------|------|
|                | P <sub>0</sub> | P_40   |      | P <sub>0</sub> | P <sub>40</sub> |      | P <sub>0</sub> | P_40       |       | P <sub>0</sub> | P <sub>40</sub> |      | P <sub>0</sub> | P <sub>40</sub> |      |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 42.90          | 46.60  | 44.7 | 1.30           | 1.32            | 1.31 | 14.89          | 17.11      | 16.00 | 0.27           | 0.29            | 0.28 | 3.1            | 3.7             | 3.4  |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 61.30          | 53.50  | 57.4 | 1.43           | 1.44            | 1.43 | 30.66          | 37.38      | 34.02 | 0.30           | 0.33            | 0.32 | 6.5            | 8.6             | 7.5  |
| 3              | 57.20          | 48.20  | 52.7 | 1.64           | 1.69            | 1.66 | 31.88          | 47.30      | 39.59 | 0.34           | 0.38            | 0.36 | 6.6            | 10.5            | 8.5  |
| 4              | 53.50          | 54.00  | 53.8 | 1.60           | 1.61            | 1.61 | 34.46          | 43.48      | 38.97 | 0.32           | 0.35            | 0.33 | 6.8            | 9.4             | 8.1  |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 62.00          | 58.00  | 63.2 | 1.81           | 1.84            | 1.83 | 55.18          | 66.21      | 60.70 | 0.35           | 0.36            | 0.36 | 10.8           | 13.0            | 11.9 |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 56.60          | 53.10  | 54.8 | 1.85           | 1.88            | 1.86 | 50.76          | 57.27      | 54.02 | 0.39           | 0.42            | 0.41 | 10.7           | 12.9            | 11.8 |
| T7             | 64.30          | 49.45  | 56.8 | 1.75           | 1.77            | 1.76 | 44.53          | 49.66      | 47.10 | 0.36           | 0.38            | 0.37 | 9.1            | 10.5            | 9.8  |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 43.60          | 62.20  | 52.9 | 1.92           | 1.84            | 1.88 | 33.64          | 35.81      | 34.73 | 0.36           | 0.38            | 0.37 | 6.3            | 7.3             | 6.8  |
| T9             | 51.70          | 54.80  | 53.2 | 1.83           | 1.86            | 1.84 | 40.22          | 48.26      | 44.24 | 0.35           | 0.37            | 0.36 | 7.6            | 9.6             | 8.6  |
| 10             | 68.10          | 64.40  | 66.3 | 1.69           | 1.76            | 1.72 | 32.99          | 47.49      | 40.24 | 0.42           | 0.43            | 0.42 | 6.8            | 10.0            | 8.4  |
| 11             | 60.10          | 59.10  | 59.6 | 1.90           | 1.93            | 1.92 | 53.26          | 61.70      | 57.48 | 0.36           | 0.36            | 0.36 | 10.0           | 11.5            | 10.8 |
| 12             | 54.95          | 51.40  | 53.1 | 1.71           | 1.75            | 1.73 | 38.37          | 48.97      | 43.67 | 0.35           | 0.37            | 0.36 | 9.7            | 12.0            | 10.9 |
| S.Em. ±        | 3.49           | 3.55   | 2.4  | 0.01           | 0.01            | 0.01 | 5.04           | 2.92       | 4.60  | 0.01           | 0.01            | 0.01 | 1.1            | 0.6             | 1.0  |
| CD at 5%       | 10.82          | 10.95  | 7.6  | 0.03           | 0.01            | 0.03 | 15.67          | 9.0        | 14.32 | 0.03           | 0.02            | 0.03 | 3.5            | 2.0             | 3.1  |

Table 2. Effect of various long-term treatments on plant height (cm), nitrogen, phosphorus concentration (%) & uptake (kg ha-1) in wheat grain.

### Nitrogen Concentration and Uptake in Wheat Grain & Straw

Nitrogen concentration in grain ranged from 1.31 to 1.92 percent (Table 2) and in straw it varied from 0.31 to 0.48 percent (Table 4). Among nitrogen treatments without P nitrogen concentration in grain was found highest under T<sub>8</sub> where 50% nitrogen was applied through urea and 50% through wheat straw in *kharif* season and 100% N through urea in *rabi* season. While in nitrogen treatments with P highest nitrogen concentration in grain was recorded under T<sub>11</sub> (where 75% nitrogen was applied through urea and 25% through *moong* in *kharif* season and 100% N through urea in *rabi* season and 100% not through urea and 25% through *moong* in *kharif* season and 100% N through urea in *rabi* season),

and in straw it was highest under T<sub>6</sub> (50 percent N was applied through urea and 50 per cent through FYM in *kharif* and 100 percent N through urea in *rabi* season). This might be due to easy decomposition of *moong* and FYM and more release of nutrient. The combined effect of N treatments with P and without P on N concentration in grain was highest in T<sub>11</sub> (75% N was applied through urea and 25% through *moong* straw in *kharif* season, 75% N was applied through urea in *rabi* season) followed by T<sub>8</sub>, and in straw it was highest in T<sub>6</sub> followed by T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> where half to full N dose was applied through urea in *both kharif* and *rabi* seasons. Higher N content in wheat plant was observed in treatments

Table 3. Effect of various long-term treatments on potassium, sulphur concentration (%) & uptake (kg ha-1) in wheat grain.

| Treatment      | K conc         | K conc. (Grain) |      | Mean K uptake (Grain) |                 | Mean | S conc. (Grain) |       | Mean   | Mean Suptake (Grain |      | Mean |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------|-----------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-------|--------|---------------------|------|------|
| ricalment      | P <sub>0</sub> | P_40            |      | P <sub>0</sub>        | P <sub>40</sub> |      | P <sub>0</sub>  | P_40  |        | P <sub>0</sub>      | P_40 |      |
| T              | 0.38           | 0.45            | 0.42 | 5.1                   | 5.8             | 5.5  | 0.11            | 0.11  | 0.11   | 1.2                 | 1.4  | 1.3  |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.51           | 0.56            | 0.54 | 11.0                  | 14.5            | 12.8 | 0.12            | 0.12  | 0.12   | 2.4                 | 3.0  | 2.7  |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.63           | 0.65            | 0.64 | 12.3                  | 18.2            | 15.3 | 0.12            | 0.12  | 0.12   | 2.3                 | 3.4  | 2.9  |
| 4              | 0.60           | 0.59            | 0.59 | 12.8                  | 15.8            | 14.3 | 0.12            | 0.12  | 0.12   | 2.5                 | 3.2  | 2.8  |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 0.55           | 0.55            | 0.55 | 16.8                  | 19.8            | 18.3 | 0.13            | 0.13  | 0.13   | 4.0                 | 4.7  | 4.4  |
| 6              | 0.55           | 0.53            | 0.54 | 15.0                  | 16.0            | 15.5 | 0.14            | 0.14  | 0.14   | 3.7                 | 4.2  | 4.0  |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 0.56           | 0.57            | 0.56 | 14.2                  | 15.8            | 15.0 | 0.12            | 0.12  | 0.12   | 3.0                 | 3.3  | 3.2  |
| 8              | 0.46           | 0.46            | 0.46 | 8.1                   | 8.5             | 8.3  | 0.15            | 0.15  | 0.15   | 2.6                 | 3.0  | 2.8  |
| T9             | 0.50           | 0.49            | 0.49 | 11.0                  | 12.8            | 11.9 | 0.14            | 0.14  | 0.13   | 3.0                 | 3.5  | 3.2  |
| 10             | 0.44           | 0.46            | 0.45 | 7.6                   | 10.3            | 9.0  | 0.17            | 0.18  | 0.17   | 3.3                 | 4.8  | 4.0  |
| 11             | 0.45           | 0.45            | 0.45 | 12.6                  | 13.9            | 13.3 | 0.14            | 0.15  | 0.14   | 3.9                 | 4.6  | 4.3  |
| 12             | 0.83           | 0.85            | 0.84 | 18.8                  | 23.8            | 21.3 | 0.12            | 0.13  | 0.12   | 2.8                 | 3.5  | 3.2  |
| S.Em. ±        | 0.01           | 0.01            | 0.01 | 1.9                   | 0.9             | 1.8  | 0.001           | 0.001 | 0.0007 | 0.8                 | 0.4  | 0.3  |
| CD at 5%       | 0.03           | 0.02            | 0.03 | 6.0                   | 2.9             | 5.6  | 0.003           | 0.003 | 0.002  | 0.5                 | 0.3  | 1.1  |

having FYM, green manure and crop residue. This might be due to slow and continuous supply of N in the soil under these treatments throughout the crop growth period as a result of reduced losses. Paikaray *et al.* (2001) also reported similar results. The inorganic source of nutrient alone also increased N content in wheat (treatments  $T_3$  and  $T_5$ ) while its content was less as compared to INM treatments because inorganic sources are subjected to various losses due to which its available amount decreases at later stages when crop requirement is high. Similar observations have been made by Tiwari (1988). Significant increase in

N concentration in grain and straw of wheat due to N application through urea and organic manure was recorded. This effect of N application may be attributed to increased absorption of N by the crop. Similar results were observed by Kansal *et al.* (1974). Nitrogen uptake in grain and straw varied from 16.0 to 60.70 kg ha-1 (Table 2) and 5.7 to 22.2 kg ha-1 (Table 4), respectively. In N treatments with P N uptake in straw was highest under T<sub>10</sub> and without P it was highest under T<sub>7</sub>. The combined effect of N treatments with P and without P resulted in highest N uptake in grain and straw under T<sub>5</sub> treatment. This is largely due to highest grain yield under T<sub>5</sub>

treatment. The highest straw yield was found in treatment  $T_{11}$  which resulted highest N uptake in this treatment. The lowest N concentration and uptake was recorded in control plot. The lowest N concentration in control plot might be due to no addition of fertilizers and manures which caused least N uptake. Addition of N significantly enhanced its uptake over control. These results corroborate the findings of Gupta *et al.* (1989).

# Phosphorus Concentration and Uptake in wheat grain and straw

The P concentration of wheat grain and straw ranged from 0.28 to 0.42 (Table 2) and 0.09 to 0.19 percent (Table 4), respectively. The P concentration in grain was highest in  $T_{10}$  treatment while in straw it was highest in  $T_9$  treatment where 75% N dose was applied through urea and 25% through wheat straw

Table 4. Effect of various long-term treatments on nitrogen, phosphorus concentration (%) & uptake (kg ha-1) in wheat straw.

| Treatment      | N conc         | . (Straw)       | Mean | N upta         | ke (Straw)      | Mean | P conc.        | (Straw)         | Mean | P uptal        | ke (Straw) | Mean |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------|----------------|-----------------|------|----------------|-----------------|------|----------------|------------|------|
|                | P <sub>0</sub> | P <sub>40</sub> |      | P <sub>0</sub> | P <sub>40</sub> |      | P <sub>0</sub> | P <sub>40</sub> |      | P <sub>0</sub> | P          |      |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.31           | 0.32            | 0.31 | 6.0            | 5.5             | 5.7  | 0.08           | 0.10            | 0.09 | 1.6            | 1.7        | 1.6  |
| 2              | 0.39           | 0.39            | 0.39 | 14.9           | 15.2            | 15.1 | 0.16           | 0.17            | 0.16 | 6.2            | 6.6        | 6.4  |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.47           | 0.47            | 0.47 | 12.1           | 19.5            | 15.8 | 0.18           | 0.18            | 0.18 | 4.6            | 7.1        | 5.9  |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 0.38           | 0.38            | 0.38 | 19.4           | 16.3            | 17.8 | 0.13           | 0.14            | 0.14 | 6.6            | 6.0        | 6.3  |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 0.47           | 0.48            | 0.47 | 23.4           | 20.9            | 22.2 | 0.15           | 0.15            | 0.15 | 7.4            | 6.6        | 7.0  |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 0.48           | 0.49            | 0.48 | 19.2           | 18.0            | 18.6 | 0.18           | 0.19            | 0.17 | 7.2            | 8.4        | 7.8  |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 0.46           | 0.46            | 0.46 | 25.2           | 18.2            | 21.7 | 0.13           | 0.15            | 0.14 | 7.1            | 5.9        | 6.5  |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 0.41           | 0.42            | 0.42 | 11.4           | 11.7            | 11.5 | 0.14           | 0.13            | 0.14 | 3.9            | 3.6        | 3.7  |
| T <sub>9</sub> | 0.43           | 0.43            | 0.43 | 16.3           | 19.0            | 17.6 | 0.16           | 0.17            | 0.19 | 6.4            | 6.3        | 6.3  |
| 10             | 0.44           | 0.44            | 0.44 | 20.0           | 22.5            | 21.2 | 0.14           | 0.14            | 0.14 | 6.4            | 7.1        | 6.7  |
| 1<br>11        | 0.42           | 0.41            | 0.42 | 15.5           | 17.8            | 16.7 | 0.13           | 0.13            | 0.13 | 4.8            | 5.6        | 5.2  |
| 12             | 0.41           | 0.43            | 0.42 | 16.5           | 19.2            | 17.9 | 0.17           | 0.19            | 0.18 | 6.8            | 8.5        | 7.6  |
| S.Em. ±        | 0.01           | 0.01            | 0.01 | 2.2            | 1.8             | 1.8  | 0.01           | 0.01            | 0.01 | 0.7            | 0.6        | 0.6  |
| CD at 5%       | 0.02           | 0.02            | 0.02 | 6.8            | 5.5             | 5.6  | 0.02           | 0.01            | 0.02 | 2.3            | 1.9        | 1.9  |

followed by T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> treatment. Relatively higher P concentration in treatments receiving organic sources might be due to increase in microbial population by the addition of organic manures which solublize P and it is readily utilized by the plants. Among N treatments highest value of P concentration (0.19 percent) and uptake (7.8 kg ha-1) was observed under  $T_{9}$  followed by T<sub>6</sub> treatment. Among N treatments without P phosphorus concentration was highest in T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> and uptake was highest in T5. Effect of N with P on P concentration was found highest under T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> than all other treatments. In N with P the P uptake was highest (8.5 kg ha-1) under T12 treatment. Phosphorus uptake in wheat grain and straw ranged from 3.4 to 11.9 (Table 2) and 1.6 to 7.8 kg ha-1 (Table 4), respectively. The variation in

uptake of phosphorus by wheat grain and straw was significant and lowest P uptake was in control plot. This indicated that continuous cropping without fertilization led to depletion of soil P status. Application of P along with N had enhanced P uptake. Similar results were also reported by Dhillon and Dev (1988). In grain P uptake was highest in T<sub>5</sub> significantly followed by T 6 treatment. This might be due to highest grain yield in T5 treatment and better P concentration in T<sub>6</sub> treatment. In N treatments with P highest P uptake by wheat straw was recorded in T<sub>12</sub> while in N treatments without P it was highest under T<sub>5</sub>. This could be due to difference in straw yield in these two treatments. Combined application of N with P and without P gave highest P uptake under T<sub>6</sub> treatment where 50% N was applied

Table 5. Effect of various long-term treatments on potassium, sulphur concentration (%) & uptake (kg ha-1) in wheat straw.

| Treatment      | K conc. (Straw) M |      | Mean | Mean K uptake (Straw) |      |      | Mean S conc. (Straw) |      |      | Mean S uptake (Straw) Mean |      |      |  |
|----------------|-------------------|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|----------------------|------|------|----------------------------|------|------|--|
|                | P <sub>0</sub>    | P    |      | P <sub>0</sub>        | P    |      | P <sub>0</sub>       | P    |      | P <sub>0</sub>             | P_40 |      |  |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.38              | 0.38 | 0.38 | 7.7                   | 6.8  | 7.2  | 0.08                 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 1.6                        | 1.7  | 1.7  |  |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.44              | 0.43 | 0.43 | 16.9                  | 16.8 | 16.8 | 0.10                 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 4.0                        | 3.8  | 3.9  |  |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.56              | 0.55 | 0.55 | 14.1                  | 21.5 | 17.8 | 0.10                 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 3.1                        | 4.8  | 3.9  |  |
| $T_4$          | 0.50              | 0.50 | 0.49 | 25.4                  | 21.4 | 23.4 | 0.10                 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 4.9                        | 4.6  | 4.7  |  |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 0.52              | 0.53 | 0.52 | 25.5                  | 23.1 | 24.3 | 0.12                 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 4.7                        | 4.4  | 4.6  |  |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 0.52              | 0.54 | 0.53 | 20.8                  | 19.9 | 20.4 | 0.11                 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 4.2                        | 4.0  | 4.1  |  |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 0.51              | 0.51 | 0.51 | 28.1                  | 20.0 | 24.1 | 0.11                 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 6.2                        | 4.5  | 5.3  |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 0.40              | 0.37 | 0.39 | 10.6                  | 10.4 | 10.5 | 0.11                 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 2.9                        | 3.0  | 3.0  |  |
| T9             | 0.39              | 0.40 | 0.40 | 15.1                  | 17.5 | 16.3 | 0.10                 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 3.9                        | 4.6  | 4.2  |  |
| 10             | 0.41              | 0.36 | 0.39 | 17.7                  | 18.1 | 17.9 | 0.11                 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 4.8                        | 5.3  | 5.0  |  |
| 11             | 0.41              | 0.40 | 0.41 | 15.3                  | 17.1 | 16.2 | 0.11                 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 3.9                        | 4.4  | 4.1  |  |
| 12             | 0.69              | 0.70 | 0.69 | 27.4                  | 31.0 | 29.2 | 0.11                 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 4.3                        | 4.9  | 4.6  |  |
| S.Em. ±        | 0.01              | 0.01 | 0.01 | 2.2                   | 1.8  | 1.8  | 0.01                 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.5                        | 0.4  | 0.44 |  |
| CD at 5%       | 0.04              | 0.02 | 0.04 | 6.9                   | 5.6  | 5.6  | 0.01                 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.6                        | 1.2  | 1.4  |  |

through urea and 50 % through FYM in *kharif* and 100% N through urea in *rabi* season followed by T<sub>12</sub>. The increased uptake of P in FYM treated plots may be due to the better availability and conservation of nutrients and better physical condition which increased the yield and ultimately lead to high P absorption. Similar findings were reported by Lal and Mathur (1989).

# Potassium Concentration and Uptake in Wheat Grain & Straw

Potassium concentration of wheat grain and straw ranged from 0.42 to 0.84 (Table 3) and 0.39 to

0.69 percent (Table 5), respectively. The K concentration in grain and straw was highest in T12 where 100 percent NPK through fertilizers was applied in kharif as well as rabi season followed by the T<sub>3</sub> treatment. This might be due to the addition of potassium through fertilizer in to the soil. This could be due to significantly higher K availability in the T12 receiving K fertilization which might have resulted in its better absorption by wheat plant. Bajwa and Paul (1978) also reported similar results. K concentration was the lowest in control plot. This could be due to continuous cropping without fertilization and manuring. In the absence of potash fertilization, the concentration of potassium in plants declined (Chakravorty et al. 1987). Potassium uptake in wheat grain and straw ranged from 5.5 to 21.3 (Table 3) and 7.2 to 29.2 kg ha-1 (Table 5), respectively and the lowest K uptake was in control plot. This might be due to no use of fertilizers and manure which results

low yield in control plot. In N treatments with P highest K uptake by wheat straw was recorded in T<sub>12</sub> while in N treatments without P it was highest under T<sub>7</sub>. This could be due to the difference in straw yield in these two treatments. The combined application of N with P and without P in grain as well as in wheat straw gave highest K uptake in T<sub>12</sub> treatment (where 100% NPK was applied) followed by T<sub>5</sub>. This is due to relatively high K concentration in wheat plant in these treatments. Chakravorty *et al.* (1987) reported that K application resulted in higher K uptake by wheat as compared with no K treatment. The results were also similar with the findings of Singh and Singh (1998).

# Sulphur concentration and uptake in wheat grain and straw

The sulphur concentration of wheat grain and straw ranged from 0.11 to 0.17 (Table 3) and 0.09 to 0.12 percent (Table 5), respectively. Relatively higher concentration of S was recorded in wheat grain than in wheat straw. It was because S plays a significant role in protein synthesis in grain as it is constituent of three essential amino acids namely, cystine, cysteine, and methionine. It also provides disulphide bonds (-S-S-) linking polypeptide helping in protein formation (Allaway and Thompson, 1966). In grain highest concentration of S was found under T<sub>10</sub> followed by T<sub>8</sub> while in straw it was highest under T<sub>5</sub> followed by T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>. Application of P had significant effect on S concentration in grain as well as in straw. This might be due to addition of sulphur

Table 6. Relationship between soil properties and plant parameters

| Soil properties     | pН        | EC        | Organic  | Cation    | Alkaline               | Olsen's P | Amm.      | CaCl <sub>2</sub> |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Plant parameters    |           |           | carbon   | capacity  | KivinO <sub>4</sub> -N |           | acetate-K | exilaciable-0     |
| No. of tillers      | 0.139 ns  | 0.628**   | 0.485*   | 0.114 ns  | 0.616**                | 0.451*    | 0.608**   | 0.543**           |
| Plant height        | 0.348 ns  | 0.537**   | 0.466*   | 0.139 ns  | 0.618**                | 0.228 ns  | 0.296ns   | 0.487*            |
| N conc. in Grain    | 0.282 ns  | 0.659**   | 0.628**  | 0.657**   | 0.678**                | 0.494*    | 0.409*    | 0.578*            |
| N conc. in Straw    | 0.409*    | 0.435*    | 0.525**  | 0.623**   | 0.510*                 | 0.327 ns  | 0.425*    | 0.480*            |
| P conc. in Grain    | 0.321 ns  | 0.764**   | 0.758**  | 0.703**   | 0.716**                | 0.672**   | 0.648**   | 0.854**           |
| P conc. in Straw    | 0.426*    | 0.079 ns  | 0.246 ns | 0.388 ns  | 0.015 ns               | 0.214 ns  | 0.530**   | 0.185 ns          |
| K conc. in Grain    | 0.474*    | -0.138 ns | 0.106 ns | -0.034 ns | -0.370 ns              | 0.025 ns  | 0.612**   | -0.083 ns         |
| K conc. in Straw    | 0.446*    | -0.195 ns | 0.155 ns | 0.073 ns  | -0.317 ns              | -0.068 ns | 0.580**   | -0.075 ns         |
| S conc. in Grain    | -0.075 ns | 0.814**   | 0.619**  | 0.408*    | 0.806**                | 0.545**   | 0.428*    | 0.770**           |
| S conc. in Straw    | 0.367 ns  | 0.231 ns  | 0.369 ns | 0.366 ns  | 0.352 ns               | 0.198 ns  | 0.387 ns  | 0.256 ns          |
| Grain yield 2008-09 | 0.325 ns  | 0.422*    | 0.463*   | 0.311 ns  | 0.424*                 | 0.487*    | 0.380 ns  | 0.359 ns          |
| Straw yield 2008-09 | 0.424*    | 0.508*    | 0.490*   | 0.195 ns  | 0.426*                 | 0.326 ns  | 0.435*    | 0.405*            |
| Grain yield 2009-10 | 0.526**   | 0.484*    | 0.605**  | 0.459*    | 0.572**                | 0.461*    | 0.578**   | 0.487*            |
| Straw yield 2009-10 | 0.600**   | 0.549**   | 0.623**  | 0.434*    | 0.472*                 | 0.437*    | 0.623**   | 0.498*            |

\*Significant at 5% level; \*\*Significant at 1% level

in soil through organic manures and S bearing phosphate fertilizers. This result is in conformity with that reported by Yogendra Pal (1991). Sulphur uptake by wheat grain and straw ranged from 1.3 to 4.4 and 1.7 to 5.3 kg ha.<sub>1</sub> (Table 3 & 6), respectively. In N treatments without P highest sulphur uptake by wheat grain and wheat straw was recorded under T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>, respectively while in N treatments with P it was highest under T<sub>10</sub>. This could be due to

difference in yield and concentration in these treatments. The combined application of N with P and without P in grain as well as in wheat straw gave highest S uptake in T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> treatments (where 50% and 25% of nitrogen was replaced by the addition of FYM, respectively). Addition of FYM and crop residue resulted significant increase in uptake of sulphur in wheat grain and straw. This may be attributed to higher yield due to addition of nitrogen

through urea and organic manure. Phosphorus application also enhanced sulphur uptake in wheat grain and straw. Similar result was observed by Setia *et al.* (2004). The lowest S uptake was recorded in control plots. The reduction in sulphur uptake under control was associated with the decline in available sulphur of the soil as a result of continuous cropping without fertilizer and manure application. This result was in agreement with the sulphur uptake by wheat noticed at Jabalpur (Nambiar and Abrol, 1989) and Pantnagar (Tiwari et al. 1995) under long term experiments.

## Correlation studies between Soil Properties and Plant Parameters

The correlation among various soil parameters and plant parameters studied in the experiment are shown in Table 6. Nitrogen concentration in straw, K concentration in grain as well as in straw and straw yield of year 2008-09 were positively and significantly correlated with the soil pH at 5% level and the correlation of grain and straw yields of year 2009-10 was positive and highly significant with pH (at 1% level). Electrical conductivity of soil had positive correlation with number of tillers, plant height, N concentration in grain, P concentration in grain, S concentration in grain and straw yield of year 2009-10 at 1% level. The N concentration in straw, grain yield of year 2008-09 and 2009-10 and straw yield of year 2008-09 recorded 5% level of positive and significant correlations with soil EC. Soil organic carbon had positive correlation with N, P and S concentration in grain, N concentration in straw, grain and straw yield of year 2009-10 significant at 1% level while at 5% level of significant correlation of tiller number, plant height and grain and straw yield of year 2008-09 with soil organic carbon was observed. Correlation of cation exchange capacity with N concentration in grain and in straw, P concentration in grain was positive and highly significant (at 1% level). The S concentration in grain and grain and straw yield of year 2009-10 were positively and significantly correlated with cation exchange capacity at 5% level. Correlation of available nutrients in soil with number of tillers, plant height, and concentration of nutrients in plant and the grain and straw yield was partially significant. Crop yield of year 2009-10 was found better correlated with the availability of nutrients in the soil. This may probably be due to the yield trend of the year was found more consistent with the treatment applied as mentioned earlier. Biswas et al. (1971), Gattani et al. (1976) reported similar results.

## Conclusion

Continuous use of FYM and crop residues in partial replacement of fertilizer nitrogen significantly improved plant growth and yield and also increment of N dose through urea (particularly in the  $T_3$  and  $T_5$ -treatments) also had significant effect on, plant growth and yield. *Moong* straw proved to be the best

among organic sources of N studied in respect of its effect on yield. K concentration and uptake in wheat was highest under  $T_{12}$  treatment where 100% NPK was applied through fertilizers in both *kharif* and *rabi* season.

### References

Allaway, F.J. and Thompson, J. F. 1966. Sulphur in nutrition of plants and animals. *Soil Sci.*, **101**: 179-182.

- Awan, I. U., Jaskani, A.G. and Nadeem, M. A. 2000. Nitrogen use efficiency in rice (*Oryza sativa*) as affected by green manuring plant Dhaincha (*Sesbania aculeata* L.). *Pakistan J. Biological Sci.*, **3**: 1827-1828.
- Bajwa, M.S. and Paul, J. 1978. Effect of continuous application of NPK and Zn on yield and nutrient uptake of wheat and maize and available nutrients in a tropical acid brown soil. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, **26**: 160-165
- Banwasi, Rakesh and Bajpai, R.K. 2001. Effect of integrated nutrient management on root growth of wheat in a rice-wheat cropping system. *Agric. Sci. Digest.*, **21**: 1-4.
- Biswas, T.D., Jain, B.L. and Mandal, S.C. 1971. Cumulative effect of different levels of manure on the physical properties of soil. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 19: 31-37.
- Chakarvorty, S.P., Biddappa, C.C. and Patnaik, S. 1987. Dynamic of potassium in submerged soils growing rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) . Influence of continuous cropping on dry matter production and potassium nutrition of the plant. *J. Potassium Res.* **3**: 98-106.
- Chalk, P.M., Heng, L.K. and Moutonnet, P. 2003. Nitrogen fertilization and its environmental impact. In *Proc* 12<sup>th</sup> *Int. World Fertilizer Cong.*, *Beijing* 2003. p. 1-15.
- Chesnin, L. and Yien, C. H. 1950. Turbidimetric determination of available sulphates. *Soil Sci. Am. Proc.*, **15**: 139-151.
- Dhillon, K. S. and Dhillon, S.K. 1991. Effect of crop residues and phosphorus level on yield of groundnut and wheat grown in rotation. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, **39**: 104-108.
- Dhillon, N.S. and Dev, G. 1988. Transformation of soil inorganic P fractions under various crop rotations. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, **36**: 709-713.
- Gattani, P.D., Jain, S.V. and Seth, S.P. 1976. Effect of continuous use of chemical fertilizers and manures on soil physical and chemical properties. *J. Indian Society Soil Sci.*, **24**: 284-289.
- Gupta, A.P., Narwal, R.P. and Antil, R.S. 1989. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and sewage sludge on wheat. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* **42**: 284-286.
- Jackson, M.L. 1967. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall, India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. pp. 263-293.
- Kansal, B.D., Bhumbla, D.R. and Kanwar, J.S. 1974. Variation in fertilizer response of different varieties of wheat and rice. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 44: 55-59.
- Lal, S. and Mathur, B.S. 1989. Effect of long-term fertilization manuring and liming of an alfisol on maize, wheat and soil properties-I. Maize and Wheat. *J. Indian Society Soil Sci.*, **37**: 717-724
- Maurya, P.R. and Ghosh, A.B. 1972. Effect of long term manuring and rotational cropping on fertility status of alluvial calcareous soil. *J. Indian Soc. Sci.*, **20**: 31-43.

- Nambiar, K.M.M. and Abrol, I. P. 1989. Long term fertilizer experiments in India (1971-82) *LTFE Re. Bull.*, IARI, New Delhi. 101 p.
- Paikaray, R.L., Mahapatra, B.S. and Sharma, G.L. 2001. Integrated nitrogen management in rice (*Oryza* sativa)-Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Indian J. Agron.*, **46**: 592-600.
- Perur, N.G., Subramanian, C.K., Mihir, G.R. and Ray, H.E. 1973. Soil fertility evaluation to some Indian Farmers. Mysore Department of Agriculture, Bangalore.
- Piper, C.S. 1996. Soil and Plant Analysis. *Inter Science Publishers*, INC 7. New York: 225-275.
- Setia, R.K., Sharma, K.N. and Rathod, R. K. 2004. Sulphur regime of an arable soil (Typic Ustrochrept) under long term differential fertilization at various growth stages of wheat. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 52: 150-155.
- Singh, B. and Singh, C.P. 1998. Effect of time of nitrogen application in wheat. *Indian J. Agron.*, **36**: 326-328.
- Singh, V.P. 1999. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on rainfed wheat (*T. aestivum*). Indian J. Agron., 44: 347-352.

- Tiwari, C. 1988. Long range effect of rice-wheat cropping and manuring on productivity and soil fertility. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, G.B.P.U.A & T, Pantnagar, India.
- Tiwari, H.C., Gangwar, M.S. and Nand Ram. 1995. Effect of continuous cropping and fertilization on the total, organic and available sulphur in a Hapludoll. *Trop. Agri. (Trinidad).* **72**: 274-276.
- Wang, K.R., Liu X, Zhou, W.J., Xie, X.L. and Buresh, R. J. 2004. Effect of nutrient recycling on soil fertility and sustainable rice production. J. Agro-Environ.Sci., 23: 1041-1045.
- Yadav, R.L. 2004. Enhancing efficiency of fertilizer N use in rice-wheat systems of Indo Gangetic Plains of intercropping Sesbania aculeata in direct seeded upland rice for green manuring. *Bioresource Technol.*, **93**: 213-215.
- Yogendra Pal. 1991. Status of soil sulphur under different doses of fertilizers and its relationship with performance of wheat crop in a long term experiment at Pantnagar. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, G.B.P.U.A .& T., Pantnagar, India.

Received: October 3, 2012; Accepted: March 21, 2013