



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Integrated Management of Papaya Ring Spot Virus (PRSV) In Papaya

Muthulakshmi P

Department of Plant Pathology, Tamil Nadu agricultural University,
Coimbatore-641003,India.

Corresponding author mail id: muthupathology@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Papaya Ring Spot Virus (PRSV) is one of the major obstacles for boosting papaya production in the country. There is no curative control measure available through conventional methods. Precautionary measures for PRSV incidence viz. rouging, cross protection, vector controls separately are not effective. Hence an integrated management strategy involving raising of papaya seedlings under Nylon net (40-60 mesh) and spraying of acephate 1.5g/litre, 3 days before planting. Use of two rows of border crop viz. maize (sown 15 days before planting)+. Spraying of urea @ 10g/liter + zinc sulphate @1.5g + boron @ 1.0g per litre recorded reduced PRSV incidence of 42.7 % with maximum average yield of 49.68 kg/tree compared to control with maximum PRSV incidence of 95.6 % incidence with reduced yield of 23.76 kg/tree at 150 days after planting.

Key words : PRSV, Papaya, IDM, Micronutrients, Yield

INTRODUCTION

Papaya (*Carica papaya* L) belongs to the family Caricaceae is one of the most important fruit crops of the country. Papaya fruit is known for its high nutritive and medicinal value (Azad et.al 2012). It is a richest source of vitamin A, B, C and proteolytic enzymes viz. papain, chymopapain etc. Beta carotene helps in prevention of cancer, diabetes, and heart diseases. It is also used in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries (Retuta.et.al 2012) .The papaya production industry is threatened by most serious disease i.e. papaya ring spot disease worldwide. It is caused by papaya ring spot virus (PRSV), it is a member of the genus potyvirus. Symptoms of PRSV are prominent mosaic pattern on the leaf lamina, wet-oily

streaks on the petioles and on the tree trunk, and complete distortion of young leaves. The fruit exhibits bumps and the classic "ringspot". This leads to 50 percent or even more reduction in fruit production. PRSV has been recognized as a destructive disease in many tropical and subtropical areas viz. USA, South America, Africa , India(Tripathi,et.al., 2008) Thailand, Taiwan, China and the Philippines , Mexico , Australia , Japan , French Polynesia, and the Cook islands resulting in the decline in fruit production. This disease leads to 100 percent yield loss in papaya. This virus is transmitted by aphids in a non-persistent manner. It is a non-persistent virus. There is no known resistance to PRSV, although certain varieties are more symptomatic than others .

There are four main methods of control for PRSV, quarantine and geographic displacement, rouging and netting, cross protection, and genetic modification of the host plant. Because PRSV is a non-persistent virus and is consequently transmitted to healthy plants by aphids within a very short time period, insecticidal control is difficult and impractical. Hence the present study was conducted with integrated technologies including several methods to manage the virus and to get higher yield.

.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted for two consequent years at orchard, HC& RI, TNAU, Coimbatore using CO.8 papaya. Papaya seedlings were raised under nylon net (40-60mesh) insect proof net house and spraying of Acephate 1.5g per litre, 3 days before planting was carried out. Two rows of border crop viz. maize (Maize sown 15 days before planting) was taken up for all treatments in the main field. The experiment was conducted with five treatments with four replications in RBD design. For each replication 12 plants were maintained and planting was taken up with a spacing of 6mx6m. The regular cultural practices were followed as recommended in crop production manual of TNAU, Coimbatore. The treatment details are given below. The observation on disease incidence was recorded at 30 days interval along with yield and aphid population for all the treatments.

Treatments

T ₁	:	Raising of papaya seedlings under Nylon net (40-60 mesh) and spraying of Acephate 1.5g/litre, 3 days before planting. Use of two rows of border crop viz. maize (sown 15 days before planting.
T ₂	:	T ₁ +application of Neem oil 1% + acephate 1.5 g/litre at 15 days interval
T ₃	:	T ₁ +spraying of 1.5g Zinc sulphate at 30 days interval
T ₄	:	T ₁ +spraying of Boron @ 1.0g per litre at 30 days interval
T ₅	:	T ₁ +spraying of Urea @ 10g/liter + Zinc sulphate @1.5g + Boron @ 1.0g per litre
T ₆	:	Control with equal number of plants

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results revealed that the treatment T₄ ie., T₁+ spraying of urea @ 10g/liter + zinc sulphate @1.5g + boron @ 1.0g per litre recorded reduced PRSV incidence of 40.7 % with maximum average yield of 40.2 kg/tree compared to control with maximum PRSV incidence of 93.6 % incidence with reduced yield of 26.9 kg/tree at 150 days after planting. The treatment T₂ (T₁ + application of neem oil 1% + acephate 1.5 g/l at 15 days interval) recorded 44.4 % PRSV incidence on par with T₅; with an average yield of 32.6 kg/tree.(Table 1)

Both the treatments T₃ (T₁+ spraying of 1.5g zinc sulphate at 30 days interval)and T₄ (T₁+ spraying of boron @ 1.0g/l at 30 days interval) though recorded 55.5 and 51.8 % incidence respectively an average yield of 37.2 and 38.9 was obtained

The pooled mean analysis of two year data revealed that the treatment T₄ ie., T₁+spraying of urea @ 10g/liter + zinc sulphate @1.5g + boron @ 1.0g per litre recorded reduced PRSV incidence of 42.7 % with maximum average yield of 49.68 kg/tree compared to control with maximum PRSV incidence of 95.6 % incidence with reduced yield of 23.76 kg/tree at 150 days after planting. The treatment T₂ (T₁+application of neem oil 1% + acephate 1.5 g/l at 15 days interval) recorded 49.8 % PRSV incidence with an average yield of 35.18 kg/tree.

In the other treatments T₃(T₁+spraying of 1.5g zinc sulphate at 30 days interval)and T₄ (T₁+spraying of boron @ 1.0g/l at 30 days interval) an average yield of 34.0 and 43.32 kg/tree was obtained respectively although 52.4 and 58.2 % incidence was

prevalent in these respective treatments (Table 2). Similar findings were reported by several workers. (Chandrashekar et.al. 2015 and Sharma, et.al. 2010). Chalak et.al 2017 reported that PRSV is transmitted through the aphids. The aphid management at regular interval should be started at nursery stage. It can be done by using systemic insecticides. These systemic insecticides viz. carbosulphan, imidacloprid, acetamiprid *etc.* should be used in low concentrations at nursery stage and at regular concentrations at field stage. This can be an effective way of PRSV control at its source. Netting can also be used to prevent insect vectors from spreading the virus. (Gonsalves and Garnsey, 1989). Premchand et al., 2021 observed that different combination of insecticides and bio-rationals differed in their effectiveness in delaying PRSV infection. A combination of insecticides i.e. tolfeprad 15%EC @ 1 ml/lt, imidacloprid 17.8%SL @ 0.2 ml/lt, thiacloprid 21.7 SC @ 1 ml/lt and dinotefuran 20 % SG @ 0.5g/lt. sprayed along with micronutrients at every 30 days intervals was the most effective treatment with less PRSV infection. Out of nine treatments complete protection could not be obtained in any of the treatment combinations probably due to the non-persistent nature of virus transmission by vectors.

CONCLUSION

For the management of Papaya Ring Spot Virus, spraying of urea @ 10g/litre + zinc sulphate @ 1.5g + boron @ 1.0g per litre recorded reduced PRSV incidence of 42.7 % with maximum average yield of 49.68 kg/tree compared to control with maximum PRSV incidence of 95.6 % incidence with reduced yield of 23.76 kg/tree at 150 days after planting. The treatment T2 (T1+ application of neem oil 1% + acephate 1.5 g/l at 15 days interval) recorded 49.8 % PRSV incidence with an average yield of 35.18 kg/tree.

REFERENCES

1. Azad, M.A.K., Rabbani, M.G and L.Amin. 2012. Plant regeneration and somatic embryogenesis from immature embryos derived through inter specific hybridization among different *Carica* species. *International Journal of Molecular Science*. **13**:17065–17076. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms131217065>.
2. Retuta, A.M.O., Magdalita, P.M., Aspuri, E.T and R.R.C. Espino. 2012. Evaluation of selected transgenic papaya (*Carica papaya* L) lines for inheritance of resistance to papaya ring spot virus and horticultural traits, *Plant Biotechnology*, vol.2012; **29**:339-349. DOI:10.5511/plantbiotechnology.12.0215b

3. Tripathi, S., Suzuki, J.Y, Ferreira, S.A and D.Gonsalves. 2008. Papaya ring spot virus-P: characteristics, pathogenicity, sequence variability and control, *Molecular Plant Pathology*. 2008;**9(3)**:269-280. DOI: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2008.00467.x
4. Chandrashekar, K, Chavan, V.M, Sharma, S.K and A.B Bhosle. 2015.Management of PRSV-P in papaya through time of planting and border cropping. *Indian J. Hort.* 2015; **72(3)**:423-425, DOI:10.5958/0974-0112.2015.00083.3
5. Sharma, S.K, Zote, K.K, Kadam, U.M, Sonwane, A.U. 2010. Integrated management of Papaya ring spot virus. *Acta Hortic.* 2010; **851**:473-480, DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2010.851.73,<https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2010.851.73>
6. Chalak, S. U, Hasbnis, S. N and V .S. Supe. 2017. Papaya ring spot disease management: A review. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2017; **6(5)**: 1911-1914., JPP 2017; 6(5): 1911-1914
7. Gonsalves , D, Garnsey, S.M.1989. Cross protection techniques for control of plant virus diseases in the tropics. *Plant Dis.* 1989; **73**:592-597. DOI: 10.1094/PD-73-0592A.
- 8.Premchand, U, Mesta, R.K, Basavarajappa ,M.P, Waseem, M.A, Mahesh, Y.S, Sarvamangala Cholin and D.P. Prakash.2021. Management of Papaya Ringspot Virus (PRSV) using Insecticides and bio Rationals under Field Conditions. *Biological Forum – An International Journal* **13(3a)**: 743-748(2021),<https://www.researchgate.net/Publications/355796376>

Table 1.Integrated management of Papaya ring spot virus (I st Trial)

Disease incidence (%)				Aphid popul ation (No./5 sq.cm)	Plant height at flowering (cm)	Average Yield/tree (Kg)
T_{rt}	90 DAP	120DAP	150 DAP			
T ₁	38.5 (38.4)	62.9 (52.5)	74.0 (59.3)	7.8	108	28.7
T ₂	22.2 (28.1)	37.0 (37.5)	44.4 (41.8)	6.5	88.3	32.6
T ₃	33.3 (35.2)	40.7 (39.6)	55.5 (48.2)	7.6	83.0	37.2
T ₄	37.2 (37.6)	48.1 (43.9)	51.8 (46.0)	8.5	96.7	38.9
T ₅	33.2 (35.2)	37.0 (37.5)	40.7 (39.6)	8.0	131.0	40.2
T ₆	55.5 (48.2)	81.4 (64.4)	93.6 (75.4)	8.9	87.0	26.9
SEd	3.4	4.7	4.9	0.3	13.0	2.4
CD (5%)	7.5	10.5	11.0	0.7	30.3	5.5

Mean of three replications. The numbers in the parenthesis are arcsine-transformed values

T1-: Raising of papaya seedlings under Nylon net (40-60 mesh) and spraying of Acephate 1.5g/litre, 3 days before planting. Use of two rows of border crop viz. maize (sown 15 days before planting)

T2: T₁ + Application of neem oil 1% + acephate 1.5 g/l at 15 days interval

T3: T₁+ spraying of 1.5g zinc sulphate at 30 days interval

T4: T₁+ Spraying of boron @ 1.0g/l at 30 days interval

T5 : T₁ + Spraying of urea @ 10g/l + zinc sulphate @1.5 g + boron @ 1.0g/l

T6 : Control

Table 2.Integrated management of Papaya ring spot virus-Coimbatore (II nd trial)

Treat ments	Disease incidence (%)						Aphid popul ation (No./5 sq.cm	Total No. of Mark etable fruits	Total No. of un mark etabl e fruits	Average Yield/tree (Kg)
	30 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP	180 DAP				
T1	12.8	24.3	32.6	42.4	58.3	72.8	8.8	30.0	7.56	24.86
T2	10.6	12.4	26.3	38.0	49.8	66.8	7.5	35.42	5.39	35.18
T3	11.3	16.2	36.8	42.7	52.4	70.0	7.6	40.25	6.59	34.00
T4	10.2	14.6	36.4	52.6	58.2	72.0	8.0	32.60	6.33	43.32
T5	9.8	12.8	22.4	32.5	42.7	60.2	7.0	41.60	4.21	49.68
T6	22.2	38.5	74.5	80.4	95.6	100.0	9.0	28.00	8.75	23.76
SEd	1.49	0.90	1.20	1.74	1.96	2.03	0.2	1.37	1.61	4.30
CD (5%)	3.17	1.12	2.50	3.70	4.18	4.33	0.5	3.42	3.20	8.70
CV %	16.50	7.59	6.14	5.33	5.25	4.67		22.44	12.60	25.15

Mean of three replications

T1-: Raising of papaya seedlings under Nylon net (40-60 mesh) and spraying of Acephate 1.5g/litre, 3 days before planting. Use of two rows of border crop viz. maize (sown 15 days before planting)

T2-: Application of neem oil 1% + acephate 1.5 g/l at 15 days interval

T3: Spraying of 1.5g zinc sulphate at 30 days interval

T4: Spraying of boron @ 1.0g/l at 30 days interval

T5 : Spraying of urea @ 10g/l + zinc sulphate @1.5 g + boron @ 1.0g/l

T6: Control with equal number of plants.

Fig.1- Field view of the Papaya Ring Spot Virus Management Trial



Fig.2.Management of Papaya Ring spot Virus-Best Treatment

