

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Prediction of Post-harvest Soil Test Values and Fertilizer Calibrations for a Maize Based Cropping Sequence under Integrated Plant Nutrition System

R. Suresh¹* and R. Santhi¹

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry $^{\rm 1}$ Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, India.

ABSTRACT

| | Field experiments were conducted based on Inductive cum targeted yield concept on Typic Haplustert soils (Pilamedu series - black calcareous) to predict the post-harvest soil test values for prescribing soil test crop response based fertilizer doses under Integrated Plant Nutrition System (STCR-IPNS) for maize based cropping sequence. The experiment comprised of 24 treatments with four levels each of N (0,100,200 and 300 kg ha ⁻¹), |
|--|--|
| Received : 29 th October, 2018 | P_2O_5 (0,40,80 and 120 kg ha ⁻¹) and K_2O (0,40,80 and 120 kg ha ⁻¹) and |
| Revised : 14 th November, 2018 | three levels of FYM (0, 6.25 and 12.5 t ha-1) for the test crop maize (TNAU |
| Accepted : 15 th November, 2018 | Maize hybrid CO 6) laid out in fractional factorial design. Making use of the experimental data on initial and post-harvest soil test values, fertilizer doses and the grain yield obtained or uptake of N,P and K by maize, Post-Harvest Soil Test Values (PHSTVs) prediction equations with high R ² values (>0.90) were developed, which could be used with confidence for the prediction of post-harvest KMnO ₄ -N,Olsen-P and NH ₄ OAc-K. Using the predicted PHSTVs after kharif maize, soil test based fertilizer prescription for desired yield target of succeeding crop <i>viz.</i> , cotton could be prescribed. |

Keywords: Post harvest soil test values, Maize based cropping sequence, STCR-IPNS, Vertisol

Multi-nutrient deficiencies are emerging widely in India and adopting general or blanket fertilizer recommendations which are not based on soil fertility led to either over or under usage of fertilizer inputs resulting in imbalanced nutrition and declined fertilizer use efficiency. This warranted for the development of yield target based fertilizer prescriptions for a given soil - crop situation through "Inductive cum targeted yield approach" which is unique as it not only indicates soil test based fertilizer dose but also the level of yield that can be obtained if appropriate practices are followed in raising the crop (Ramamoorthy et al., 1967). This approach provides scientific basis for balanced fertilization not only between the nutrients from the external sources but also with soil available nutrients. Prescription based fertilizer application is crop and site specific, taking into the nutrient requirement of crops, contribution of nutrients from soil, fertilizer and organic manures and it is more scientific than conventional fertilizer recommendations based on soil testing. Nutrient availability in soil after the harvest of a crop is much influenced by the initial soil nutrient status, the amount of fertilizer nutrients applied and the nature of the crop raised. Of late, monoculture is being replaced by cropping sequence and for adopting soil test based fertilizer prescriptions in a sequence, the soils are to be tested after each crop which is not always practicable. Therefore, it has become necessary to predict the soil test values after the harvest of the crop in the sequence. It is done by developing post - harvest soil test values (PHSTVs) prediction equations by making use of the initial and post-harvest soil test values, applied fertilizer doses and the yields obtained or uptake of nutrients by the crop (Ramamoorthy et al., 1971).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was carried out during 2016-2017 *kharif* season at farmer's holding, Manickapuram village of Bodinayakanur taluk, Theni District in Southern zone of Tamil Nadu state, which falls between 9°581' N latitude and 77°245'E longitude. The soil of the experimental field was deep, black calcareous, moderately drained and clayey. The pH of the soil was slightly alkaline, non-saline and available N,P and K status was low, medium and high respectively. A gradient experiment was conducted according to the approved layout plan of

All India Coordinated Research Project on Soil Test Crop Response (STCR) and a unique experimental technique (inductive methodology) developed by Ramamoorthy *et al.* (1967) was adopted in the present investigation. For this purpose, the experimental field was divided into three equal strips and denoted as Strip I (SI), Strip II (SII) and Strip III (SIII) *viz.*, $N_0P_0K_0N_1P_1K_1$ and $N_2P_2K_2$ respectively. Fertility gradients were created by applying the graded doses of fertilizer N, P_2O_5 and K for obtaining the operational range of soil test values in various soil fertility strips. The standard dose of fertilizer P_2O_5 and K_2O (P_1K_1) were fixed based on the phosphorus (100 kg P ha⁻¹) and potassium (80 kg K ha⁻¹) fixing capacities of the soil and the standard dose of N (N_1) was fixed as per the blanket recommendation for fodder sorghum (90 kg ha⁻¹). Fodder sorghum (*var.* CO 30) was raised during summer 2016 as an exhaust crop (gradient crop) and an operational range of soil test values in respect of available N, P and K was created. The data on post-harvest soil available N, P and K, fodder yield and uptake of N, P and K by fodder sorghum confirmed the creation of soil fertility gradients among the three fertility strips.

After the establishment of fertility gradients, in the second phase of the field experiment, each strip was divided into 24 plots so as to accommodate 24 treatments with four levels each of N (0,100,200 and 300 kg ha⁻¹), P_2O_5 (0,40,80 and120 kg ha⁻¹) and K_2O (0,40,80 and120 kg ha⁻¹) and the experiment was laid out in fractional factorial design. There were three levels of FYM (0, 6.25 and 12.5 t ha⁻¹) and the IPNS treatments *viz.*,NPK+FYM@6.25 t ha⁻¹, NPK+FYM@ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ and NPK alone treatments were super imposed across the strips thus forming a total of 72 plots. The 21 fertilizer treatments and three controls were randomized in such a way that all the 24 treatments were present in all the three strips on both the directions. The treatment structure is given in Table 1 and 2. The pre-sowing soil samples were collected from each plot before the application of fertilizers and manures and analyzed for alkaline KMnO₄-N (Subbiah and Asija,1956),Olsen-P (Olsen et *al.*, 1954) and NH₄OAc-K status (Hanway and Heidal,1952).The test crop maize (TNAU Maize hybrid CO 6) was raised during July 2016 and the crop was grown to maturity and the grain and stover yields were recorded plot-wise ; grain and straw samples from each plot were collected, processed and analyzed for total N (Humphries,1956),P and K (Piper,1966) contents and the uptake of N, P and K by maize was computed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An attempt was made in the present study to predict the post-harvest soil test values by multiple regression model, which were obtained by the statistical evaluation of the dependence of the post-harvest soil test values based on initial soil test values and other associated parameters like yield or uptake and fertilizer doses. The functional relationship is as follows:

YPHS = f (F, ISTV, yield / nutrient uptake)

where, YPHS is the post-harvest soil test value; F is the applied fertilizer nutrient and ISTV is the initial soil test value of the respective nutrient. The equation will take the mathematical form,

where, a is the absolute constant; b₂ and b₃ are the respective regression co-efficients.

Using these regression equations, the post-harvest soil test values of N, P and K after maize crop were predicted. In this regard, in the present investigation, post-harvest soil test values (PHSTVs) prediction equations were developed for the prediction of post-harvest soil test values after maize and are furnished in Table 3 to 5 along with the concerned R² values. In case of prediction of KMnO₄-N, when grain yield was considered, the predictability values under NPK alone, NPK plus FYM @ 6.25 t ha⁻¹ and NPK plus FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ treatments were 99.2, 98.3 and 97.7 per cent, respectively, while the predictability values were 99.1, 98.3 and 97.3 per cent respectively when nitrogen uptake was considered. For the purpose of comparison, the observed and predicted data based on yield and uptake for a set of selected treatments from each block (NPK alone, NPK + FYM @ 6.25 t ha⁻¹ and NPK + FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹) are furnished in Table 5. The observed mean KMnO₄-N values were 180.8 kg ha⁻¹ while the mean predicted value using grain yield and uptake were 181.7 and 181.9 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The mean variation between observed and predicted value was 0.8 and 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ when yield and uptake respectively were used for prediction.

The extent of predictability with respect to Olsen-P was 98.3, 96.3 and 97.4 per cent, while yield was used for prediction and 98.4, 97.3 and 97.8 per cent, while uptake of phosphorus was used in the case of NPK alone, NPK plus FYM @ 6.25 t ha⁻¹ and NPK plus FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ treatments, respectively (Table 3 to 5). The observed mean Olsen-P value was 28.9 kg ha⁻¹, while the predicted mean value using grain yield and uptake (Table 6) was 29.2 and 29.1 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The mean variation between observed and predicted values were 0.3 and 0.2 kg ha⁻¹ for both yield and uptake respectively were used.

| Treatment | combination | | Levels of nutrients (kg ha-1) | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|---|-------------------------------|----------|------------------|--|--|
| N | Р | K | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 80 | 80 | | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 40 | 40 | | |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 80 | 40 | | |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 40 | 80 | | |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 80 | 80 | | |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 200 | 40 | 40 | | |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 200 | 0 | 80 | | |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 200 | 40 | 80 | | |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 200 | 80 | 80 | | |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 200 | 80 | 40 | | |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 200 | 80 | 0 | | |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 200 | 80 | 120 | | |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 200 | 120 | 80 | | |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 200 | 120 | 120 | | |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 300 | 40 | 40 | | |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 300 | 80 | 40 | | |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 300 | 80 | 80 | | |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 300 | 120 | 40 | | |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 300 | 120 | 80 | | |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 300 | 80 | 120 | | |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 300 | 120 | 120 | | |

Table 1. Treatment structure for test crop experiment on Maize

Likewise, in case of NH₄OAc-K, the predictability was 96.7, 98.3 and 98.5 per cent, when yield was used and 96.8, 98.6 and 98.5 per cent, when potassium uptake was used for the prediction of post-harvest soil K status under NPK alone, NPK plus FYM @ 6.25 t ha⁻¹ and NPK plus FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹treatments, respectively (Table 3 to 5). The observed mean NH₄OAc-K value was 569.6kg ha⁻¹, while the mean predicted value using grain yield and uptake (Table 6) was 571.3 and 569.9 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The mean variation between observed and predicted values was 1.7 and 0.3 kg ha⁻¹ for both yield and uptake respectively were used.

Table 2. Levels of fertilizer nutrients and FYM for maize

| Level | N (kg ha ⁻¹) | $P_{2}O_{5}(kg ha^{-1})$ | K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹) | FYM (t ha ⁻¹) |
|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 100 | 40 | 40 | 6.25 |
| 2 | 200 | 80 | 80 | 12.5 |
| 3 | 300 | 120 | 120 | - |

The data on observed and predicted soil test values of available N, P and K were in good agreement with each other, proving the validity of the post-harvest soil test values prediction equations as evidenced by highly significant correlation (r = 0.99** and 0.99** respectively for N with yield as well as uptake). While it was r = 0.99** and 0.99** for P with regard to yield and uptake respectively and in the case of K, r = 0.99** and 0.99**, respectively for yield and uptake. The difference between the predicted and observed (experimental) soil test values for the treated plots (five plots in each block) were found to be negligible and agree very closely.

Fertilizer prescription for desired yield target of maize-cotton cropping sequence based on initial soil test values

Using the fertilizer prescription equations (FPEs) for maize (FN= 3.78 T - 0.78 SN - 0.89 ON; FP₂O₅ = 1.47 T - 2.02 SP - 0.91 OP; FK₂O = 1.79 T - 0.14 SK - 0.62 OK) and an average initial soil test value of available N,P and K (190:18:525 kgha⁻¹), fertilizer prescriptions were computed for a range of desired yield target under

Table 3. Prediction equations for post-harvest soil test values of available N, P and K for maize under NPK alone

| PHSTVs Prediction equations | R ² |
|---|-----------------------|
| YPHN = 6.933 + 0.918**SN + 0.033* FN + 0.0009 yield | 0.992** |
| YPHN = 5.297 + 0.942**SN + 0.038** FN+ 0.023 uptake | 0.991** |
| YPHP = 0.452 + 0.963** SP + 0.044** FP - 0.0001 yield | 0.983** |
| YPHP = 0.902 + 0.970** SP + 0.049** FP - 0.02 uptake | 0.984** |
| YPHK = 2.853 + 0.992** SK + 0.091** FK - 0.0006** yield | 0.967** |
| YPHK = 4.17 + 0.990** SK + 0.088** FK - 0.051** uptake | 0.968** |

*Significant at P = 0.05; **Significant at P = 0.01; PH = Post-Harvest; FN, FP and FK = fertilizer N, P_2O_5 and K_2O respectively in kg ha⁻¹; SN, SP and SK = Soil available N, P and K, respectively in kg ha⁻¹.

NPK alone and IPNS (NPK + FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹). The post-harvest soil test values were predicted using the PHSTVs prediction equations for maize. A perusal of the data in Table 7 showed that the quantity of fertilizers required to produce 9.0, 10.0 and 11.0 t ha⁻¹of grain yield was 192, 230 and 268 kg N ha⁻¹; 96,111 and 125 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ and 88, 106 and 123 kg K_2O ha⁻¹, respectively under NPK alone. When FYM was applied @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹along with fertilizers, the fertilizer requirements were 147, 185 and 223 kg N ha⁻¹; 74,89 and 103 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ and 56,74 and 91 kg K_2O ha⁻¹.

Table 4. Prediction equations for post-harvest soil test values of available N, P and K for maize underNPK+ FYM @ 6.25 t ha⁻¹

| PHSTVs Prediction equations | R ² |
|---|-------------------------|
| YPHN = 4.421 + 0.950** SN + 0.035 FN + 0.0003* yield | 0.983** |
| YPHN = 4.049 + 0.956** SN + 0.036 FN - 0.009* uptake | 0.983** |
| YPHP = 2.849 + 1.014** SP + 0.072** FP - 0.0009 yield | 0.963** |
| YPHP = 1.284 + 0.986**SP + 0.079** FP - 0.189* uptake | 0.973** |
| YPHK = 3.598 + 0.993** SK + 0.082** FK - 0.0002 yield | 0.983** |
| YPHK = 4.428 + 0.994**SK + 0.085** FK -0.034** uptake | 0.986** |
| YPHP = 1.284 + 0.986**SP + 0.079** FP - 0.189* uptake YPHK = 3.598 + 0.993** SK + 0.082** FK - 0.0002 yield YPHK = 4.428 + 0.994**SK + 0.085** FK -0.034** uptake | 0.973 0.983 0.986 |

*Significant at P = 0.05; **Significant at P = 0.01; PH = Post-Harvest; FN, FP and FK = fertilizer N, P_2O_5 and K_2O respectively in kg ha⁻¹; SN, SP and SK = Soil available N, P and K, respectively in kg ha⁻¹.

The predicted post-harvest soil test values were 195, 196 and 200 kg ha⁻¹ of KMnO₄-N; 21.0, 21.0 and 21.0 kg ha⁻¹ Olsen-P and 526, 527 and 528 kg ha⁻¹ NH₄OAc-K respectively under NPK alone for 9.0, 10.0 and 11.0 t ha⁻¹ of yield targets of maize. Similarly the post-harvest soil test values were calculated under IPNS and the values were 197,198 and 201 kg ha⁻¹ of KMnO₄-N, 23.0, 24.0 and 24.0 kg ha⁻¹ of Olsen-P and 531,534 and 537 kg ha⁻¹ of NH₄OAc-K under NPK plus FYM 12.5 t ha⁻¹. The results indicated that irrespective of yield targets, there was either maintenance or built up of post-harvest soil available N, P and K as compared to the initial status and the magnitude was higher with increasing yield targets. Between NPK alone and IPNS, the magnitude of built-up was relatively higher with IPNS.

Table 5. Prediction equations for post-harvest soil test values of available N, P and K for maize underNPK+ FYM @ 12.5 t ha¹

| PHSTVs Prediction equations | \mathbb{R}^2 |
|--|----------------|
| YPHN = 9.528 + 0.809** SN + 0.022 FN + 0.003* yield | 0.977** |
| YPHN = 4.365 + 0.906 ** SN + 0.038 FN + 0.066 ** uptake | 0.973** |
| $\text{YPHP} = 2.383 + 0.918^{**} \text{ SP} + 0.106^{**} \text{ FP} - 0.0004 \text{ yield}$ | 0.974** |
| YPHP = 1.079 + 0.912 **SP + 0.111 **FP - 0.092 **uptake | 0.978** |
| YPHK = 10.535 + 0.970** SK + 0.140** FK + 0.0004 yield | 0.985** |
| YPHK = 4.089 + 0.984**SK + 0.145** FK + 0.015** uptake | 0.985** |

*Significant at P = 0.05; **Significant at P = 0.01; PH = Post-Harvest; FN, FP and FK = fertilizer N, P_2O_5 and K_2O respectively in kg ha⁻¹; SN, SP and SK = Soil available N, P and K, respectively in kg ha⁻¹.

Volume 106 | Issue 1-3 | 66

Using the predicted PHSTVs and already existing fertilizer prescription equations (FPEs) for any succeeding crop *viz.*, cotton on similar or allied soil series, fertilizer prescriptions can very well be computed

| | KM | InO ₄ -N (kg ha ⁻¹) | 1 | Olsen | Olsen-P (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | NH ₄ OAc-K (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | |
|--|------------|--|--------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Treat- | Observed | Predicted ba | sed on | Observed | Predicted b | ased on | Obsorvad | Predicted | based on | | | |
| ments | Observed = | Yield | Uptake | Observeu - | Yield | Uptake | Observeu | Yield | Uptake | | | |
| | | | | NPK alone | | | | | | | | |
| N ₀ P ₀ K ₀ | 155 | 152 | 155 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 541 | 543 | 540 | | | |
| $N_0P_2K_2$ | 194 | 192 | 192 | 38 | 37 | 39 | 584 | 585 | 585 | | | |
| N ₁ P ₁ K ₁ | 207 | 202 | 208 | 39 | 39 | 40 | 574 | 570 | 570 | | | |
| N ₂ P ₂ K ₂ | 189 | 195 | 190 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 572 | 571 | 564 | | | |
| N ₃ P ₃ K ₃ | 193 | 197 | 195 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 583 | 585 | 585 | | | |
| | | | NPK | + FYM @ 6.25 t | ha-1 | | | | | | | |
| $N_0 P_0 K_0$ | 162 | 166 | 163 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 552 | 551 | 556 | | | |
| $N_0 P_2 K_2$ | 181 | 186 | 180 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 575 | 574 | 580 | | | |
| $N_{1}P_{1}K_{1}$ | 191 | 190 | 189 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 570 | 571 | 572 | | | |
| $N_2P_2K_2$ | 167 | 169 | 170 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 562 | 567 | 569 | | | |
| N ₃ P ₃ K ₃ | 174 | 176 | 179 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 560 | 568 | 561 | | | |
| | | | NPK | + FYM @ 12.5 t | ha ⁻¹ | | | | | | | |
| $N_0 P_0 K_0$ | 154 | 148 | 155 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 549 | 548 | 545 | | | |
| $N_0P_2K_2$ | 160 | 162 | 157 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 569 | 571 | 571 | | | |
| $N_1P_1K_1$ | 166 | 164 | 167 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 558 | 555 | 559 | | | |
| $N_{2}P_{2}K_{2}$ | 206 | 207 | 207 | 44 | 43 | 41 | 591 | 591 | 589 | | | |
| N ₃ P ₃ K ₃ | 214 | 210 | 213 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 604 | 605 | 602 | | | |
| Mean | 181 | 181 | 181 | 28.9 | 29.2 | 29.1 | 570 | 570 | 570 | | | |
| 'r' value | | 0.98** | 0.99** | | 0.99** | 0.99** | | 0.98** | 0.97** | | | |

| Table 6. Observed and predicted post-harvest soil KMnO | N. | Olsen-P and NH | .OAc-K f | or maize |
|---|----|----------------|----------|----------|
| Tuble of escerted and predicted poot har root con thing | 4 | | 4 | or maile |

**=significant at P=0.01

under different nutrient management practices. Such a computed model is furnished in Table 6. The data emanated from the model clearly revealed that both STCR-NPK alone and STCR-IPNS resulted in sustained soil fertility at the end of the sequence. Between the two situations, STCR - IPNS resulted in relatively higher post - harvest soil fertility.

Table 7. Fertilizer prescriptions for maize-cotton sequence based on initial soil test values under NPK alone and IPNS

i.NPK alone

| Yield target | | Fi | rst crop (m | aize) | | | Yield Second crop (cotton) | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------|----|--------|------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|----|-----|
| | Fertilize | r doses (kg l | PHSTV(kg ha-1) | | | target | Fertilizer doses* (kg ha-1) | | | PHSTV(kg ha ⁻¹) | | | |
| | FN | FP ₂ O ₅ | FK ₂ O | Ν | Р | K | (q ha ⁻¹) | FN | FP ₂ O ₅ | FK ₂ O | Ν | Р | K |
| 9.0 | 192 | 96 | 88 | 195 | 21 | 526 | 30.0 | 164 | 122 | 103 | 191 | 21 | 521 |
| 10.0 | 230 | 111 | 106 | 196 | 21 | 527 | 35.0 | 206 | 144 | 136 | 193 | 22 | 529 |
| 11.0 | 268 | 125 | 123 | 200 | 21 | 528 | 40.0 | 246 | 167 | 169 | 199 | 24 | 537 |

ii. IPNS (NPK+FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹)

| Yield target (t ha ⁻¹) | | Fir | st crop (mai | ize) | | | | Second crop (cotton) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----|-----|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----|----|-----|
| | Fertilizer doses(kg ha-1) | | | PHSTV(kg ha-1) | | | Yield target | Fertiliz | PHSTV(kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
| | FN | FP ₂ O ₅ | FK ₂ O | Ν | Р | K | ((4 114) _ | FN | FP ₂ O ₅ | FK ₂ O | Ν | Р | K |
| 9.0 | 147 | 74 | 56 | 197 | 23 | 531 | 30.0 | 123 | 101 | 68 | 195 | 22 | 529 |
| 10.0 | 185 | 89 | 74 | 198 | 24 | 534 | 35.0 | 165 | 123 | 101 | 198 | 24 | 537 |
| 11.0 | 223 | 103 | 91 | 201 | 24 | 537 | 40.0 | 206 | 145 | 133 | 203 | 26 | 545 |

NB: PHSTV: Post-harvest soil test value; Initial soil test value (ISTV): $KMnO_4$ -N=190 kg ha⁻¹; Olsen-P=18 kg ha⁻¹ and NH₄OAc-K=525 kg ha⁻¹. Blanket dose for cotton (Hybrid): 120:60:60 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹.

*computed using the already existing fertilizer prescription equations for cotton (varieties) on black calcareous soils.

** maintenance dose (50 per cent of the blanket dose).

Earlier prediction equations were reported by Santhi and Selvakumari (1998) for rice-riceblackgram, Srivastava *et al.* (1999) for pigeon pea-wheat, Bera *et al.* (2006) for rice-rice, Praveena *et al.* (2013) for cotton based sequence, Dey and Das (2014) for rice-wheat, rice-maize and rice-rice sequences, Dhinesh (2015) for brinjal-aggregatum onion sequence, Coumaravel *et al.*(2016) for maize-tomato sequence and Udayakumar (2017) for pearl millet-black gram and pearl millet-bhendi sequence.

CONCLUSION

The PHSTVs prediction equations developed in the present study found to have high predictability for $KMnO_4$ -N, Olsen-P and NH_4OAc -K suggesting that the prediction equations could be used with confidence for the prediction of soil available N, P and K after maize. These prediction equations could be used for predicting the post-harvest soil test values after maize and the predicted soil available N, P and K would become the initial soil test values for the succeeding crop in the sequence. Using the predicted initial soil test values and the fertilizer prescription equations for the corresponding crop in the sequence after maize, fertilizer doses can be prescribed for the succeeding crop viz., cotton.

REFERENCES

- Bera, R., Seal, A., Bhattacharyya, P., Das, T.H., Sarkar, D. and K.Kangjoo, 2006. Targeted yield concept and a framework of fertilizer recommendation in irrigated rice domains of subtropical India. *J.Zhejiang Univ.*, **7**: 963-968.
- Coumaravel, K., Santhi, R. and S.Maragatham, 2016. Prediction of post-harvest soil test values and fertilizer calibrations for maize under integrated plant nutrition system on Alfisol. *Int.J. Adv. Res. Rev.*, **1(6)**:146-155.
- Dey, P. and H. Das. 2014. Progress report (2010-13) of the All India Coordinated Project for Investigations on Soil Test Crop Response. IISS, Nabi Bagh, Berasia road, Bhopal.
- Dhinesh, V. 2015. Soil test crop response based integrated plant nutrition system for brinjal on Alfisol. Ph.D. (Ag.) Thesis, submitted to TNAU, Coimbatore.
- Hanway, J. J. and H. Heidal. 1952. Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa State College. Agric. Bull. 57: 1-13.
- Humphries, E.C. 1956. Mineral components and ash analysis. Modern methods of plant analysis. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1). pp: 468-562.
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S and L. Dean. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S.D.A. Circ. 939. U.S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington, DC. pp:1-19
- Piper, C.S. 1966. Soil and plant analysis. Hans Publications, Bombay. pp:284-296
- Praveena Katharine, S., R. Santhi and A. Bhaskaran. 2013. Prediction of Post-Harvest Soil Test Values and Fertilizer Calibrations for Cotton under Integrated Plant Nutrition System. Madras Agric. J.100 (*Special issue*): 139 - 144.
- Ramamoorthy, B., R.K. Narasimham and R.S. Dinesh. 1967. Fertilizer application for specific yield targets of Sonora 64 (wheat). *Indian Fmg.*, **17**: 43-45.
- Ramamoorthy, B. and M. Velayutham. 1971. Soil Test Crop Response Correlation work in India. World soil resources report No. 41: 96-105, FAO, Rome.
- Santhi. R. and G. Selvakumari. 1998. Prediction of post-harvest soil test values and fertilizer calibrations for a rice based cropping sequence under integrated plant nutrition system. *Oryza*, **35(3)**: 246-251.
- Srivastava, S., K.D. Singh and A. Subba Rao. 1999. Fertilizer recommendations for pigeonpea-wheat cropping system based on initial soil test values. *J. Trop. Agric.*, **7(1-4)**: 83-89.
- Subbiah, B.V. and G.L. Asija. 1956. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.*, 25: 259-260.
- Udayakumar.S. 2017. Soil test and yield target based fertilizer prescription under integrated plant nutrition system for pearl millet on Inceptisol. Ph.D. Dissertation, TNAU, Coimbatore.