

Research Notes

Entrepreneurial behaviour of rural dairy women

S. SUBRAMANYESWARI AND K. VEERARAGHAVA REDDY

Department of Veterinary & A.H.Extension, College of Veterinary Science, Tirupathi, Andhra Pradesh.

The change in the knowledge, skill and attitude of women livestock farmers towards dairy enterprise is known as entrepreneurial behaviour. Entrepreneurs are found in a variety of fields of social endeavour, business, industry, education and agriculture etc. The flow of entrepreneurs from all strata of population may be considered pre-requisite for accelerated economic development and the betterment of society largely depends upon the involvement of women entrepreneurs (Agarwal, 1996). The operation food programme based on Anand pattern of cooperative dairying recognizes that dairying at household level is largely the domain of women, and they can control the income and production from dairying. But factors like low literacy, and resistance from upper socio-economic section of community towards poor are hampering

the success of dairy women (Hirway and Unni, 1990).

Keeping this in view a study has been conducted in 1997, in Chittoor district of Andhra Pradesh to assess the personal, socio-economic and psychological contributory factors of rural dairywomen towards their entrepreneurial behaviour. A total of 120 respondents covering six villages were selected proportionately and were categorized (Sharma, 1984) as small (with 1 milch cattle), medium (2 milch cattle), and large (3 and above milch cattle). The data were collected through pre-tested interview schedule and the findings were tabulated and analyzed.

In the present study, out of fourteen variables (Table 1) on personal, socio-economic and psychological aspects, nine were found to

Table 1. Relationship between personal, socio-economic and psychological variables and entrepreneurial behaviour of rural dairywomen

N=120

S.No.	Variable	Correlation coefficient (r)			Overall
		Category of dairy women			
		Small	Medium	Large	
1.	Age	0.0580	0.0591	0.0959	0.0325
2.	Dairy farming experience	0.2607*	0.3449*	0.4574	0.0281
3.	Education	0.1457	0.0363	0.4159*	0.2043*
4.	Social participation	0.0753	0.0648	0.4883*	0.1702
5.	Land holding	-0.3499**	-0.0931	-0.4658*	0.3374**
6.	Caste	0.1554	-0.2802	-0.2904	0.0435
7.	Material possession	0.1779	-0.1684	-0.2552	0.3232**
8.	Management orientation	0.2624*	0.3766*	0.6206**	0.3018**
9.	Value orientation	0.0396	0.6190**	-0.2439	0.4252**
10.	Training received	0.2675*	0.0182	0.2191	0.0074
11.	Milk production	-0.1740	0.4846**	0.4107	0.6838**
12.	Milk consumption	-0.1349	-0.3196	-0.0040	0.3408**
13.	Dairy income	0.0060	0.1578	0.6944**	0.7378**
14.	Adoption of dairy practices	-0.0358	-0.0182	-0.0583	0.2387*

* Significant at <0.01

* Significant at <0.05

Table 2. Multiple linear regression analysis of independent variables with entrepreneurial behaviour of dairy women

Sl. No.	Variable	Category of dairy women							
		Small		Medium		Large		Overall	
		bi	t	bi	t	bi	t	bi	t
1.	Age	0.2201	1.2415	0.6602	2.9301*	0.0600	0.3957	0.0255	0.1615
2.	Dairy farming	0.0806	2.4703*	0.5921	1.9134	0.0845	0.3529	0.0999	2.5263*
3.	Education	1.7022	1.7200	-	0.8680	0.2199	0.3050	0.3562	0.3837
4.	Social participation	-0.3500	0.1646	6.3350	2.7665*	-0.0010	0.0121	3.2282	2.7844*
5.	Land holding	0.4137	0.2627	-1.4796	1.4834	-0.1208	0.2254	-0.4832	0.4788
6.	Caste	0.53777	0.5898	-1.4592	1.5974	0.4879	0.8278	0.1043	0.1232
7.	Material possession	-0.1240	0.6604	0.0694	0.4958	-0.0712	0.8678	0.0836	0.5867
8.	Management orientation	0.0261	2.6845*	0.2672	0.8902	0.0499	2.2571*	0.4556	1.5058
9.	Value orientation	-0.0168	0.0353	1.7857	2.7658*	-0.4493	1.6148	0.8676	1.7693
10.	Training received	0.1401	2.2483*	0.0175	0.2098	0.0015	0.0262	0.0495	2.6743*
11.	Milk production	-0.1898	0.1866	0.7849	2.7652*	2.3502	2.6706*	0.9215	1.0250
12.	Milk consumption	0.1243	0.0520	4.2375	0.4147	-1.6275	1.2908	-1.3817	0.7623
13.	Dairy income	0.0001	0.1579	0.0016	2.1403*	0.0015	2.3585*	0.0021	3.8858*
14.	Adoption of dairy practices	-0.1031	0.3405	-0.1752	0.7818	0.1198	0.5095	0.3118	1.2020

 $R_2 = 0.5282$
 $R_2 = 0.6240$
 $R_2 = 0.6336$
 $R_2 = 0.6137$
 $R = 0.7267$
 $R = 0.7899$
 $R = 0.7959$
 $R = 0.7833$

* Significant <0.05 level.

have significant relationship with entrepreneurial behaviour of rural dairy women. These findings are in line with the findings of Mundhwa and Pandheria (1996). Educated women have greater access to information sources and have greater capacity to grasp new things, and are more prone to communication methods, which influence largely their entrepreneurial behaviour. These findings get support from the findings of Manjula (1995). Farmers with large land holding can utilize their resources to expand their dairy activity thereby possessing a good entrepreneurship.

Farmers with good entrepreneurship behaviour will naturally have sufficient material possession.

Farmers with large land holding can utilize their resources to expand their dairy activity thereby possessing a good entrepreneurship. Farmers with good entrepreneurial behaviour will naturally have sufficient material possession. The variables like management and value orientation may be contributing to adopt the improved dairy scientific practices and leading to get large amounts of milk production which in turn indicating their high entrepreneurial behaviour.

Analysis of bi values (Table 2), indicated that four variables namely dairy farming experience, social participation, training received, and dairy income are found to be significant in explaining the variation in entrepreneurial behaviour of rural dairy women as 't' values of these were found to be significant. Farmers with more experience in dairying and high rationality might be having better skills and business attitude and showing good entrepreneurial behaviour. These findings are inline with the findings of Patel (1990). Social participation and more exposure to training may be leading them to contribute significantly towards entrepreneurial behaviour.

A good dairy income reflects their economic activity and sound financial position which in turn revealing their significant entrepreneurial behaviour. These findings get support from the findings of Chauhan (1989).

From this it is clear that variables like dairy farming experience, social participation, training received, and dairy income were found to contribute significantly towards entrepreneurial behaviour. Government and extension organizations should make intensive efforts in the areas like educating the farmers, making them aware of advanced scientific dairy management practices which in turn will improve their management orientation, value orientation and thus lead them towards a high entrepreneurial behaviour.

References

- Agarwal, G.K. (1996): Empowerment of women through rural industrialization: An Indian experience, *National Bank News Review Bombay*, 13: 1.
- Chauhan, P.P. (1989). A study of some socio-personal, economic and entrepreneurial role performance of milk producers of Karnal city, M.V.Sc. Thesis, NDRI, Karnal.
- Hirway, I. and Unni, J. (1990). Employment and occupational diversification of women in India, 52 pp: 14 tab, ARTEP working paper, OQEH, 52 ref.
- Manjula, S. (1995). A study of entrepreneurial behaviour of rural women in Ranga Reddy district of Andhra Pradesh, M.Sc. (H.Sc.) Thesis APAU, Hyderabad.
- Mundhwa, A.B. and Pandheria, M.M. (1996). A study on the entrepreneurial behaviour of dairy women among their different variable categories, *Gujarat Agricultural University, Research Journal*, 23: 72-76.
- Patel, B.P. (1990). Entrepreneurial behaviour of progressive and non-progressive farmers. A comparative analysis, Ph.D. Thesis, MAU, Parbhani.
- Sharma, K.N.S. (1984) An economic study of crossbred cattle in Bangalore. Ph.D. Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

(Received : August 2001; Revised : January 2003)



Madras Agric. J. 90 (1-3) : 175-177 January-March 2003

Research Notes

Management of cotton stem weevil *Pempherulus affinis* Fist. using botanical pesticides

J.R. SAMINATHAN, S. JAYARAJ AND A. REGUPATHY

Dept. of Agrl. Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai - 625 104, Tamil Nadu.

A field trial was conducted at Chellampatty in Madurai district in randomized block design during summer 1999, an endemic area for cotton stem weevil, on 3-4 months old LRA 5166 cotton. EC formulations of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) (NO 80 EC), pungam (*Pongamia glabra*)

(PO 80 EC) and madhuca (*Madhuca indica*) (MO 80 EC) were prepared using aromix as solvent and Unitax A and P as emulsifier. 'Bassina' a commercial formulation of *Beauveria bassiana* and imidacloprid was also used in addition to the farmer's practice. The spray