

## **Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statements**

Publication malpractice is an unfortunate occurrence in the world of scholarly literature. The prevention of publication malpractice is the responsibility of every author, editor, reviewer, publisher, and institution. As per the requirement of any scholarly indexing services, Madras Agricultural Journal (MAJ), published by the Madras Agricultural Student's Union, a non-profit service organization, follows a strict policy on publication ethics and publication malpractice based on existing COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors (<https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>) and Elsevier policies (<https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies>)

Papers describing essentially the same research should not be published in more than one Journal or primary publication. Hence, authors should not submit for consideration a manuscript that has already been published in another journal. Submission of a manuscript concurrently to more than one Journal is unethical publishing behavior and unacceptable.

### **Statements**

The following are the standards of expected ethical behavior for all parties involved in publishing the Journal of Madras Agricultural Journal, including the editors, authors, and related parties.

1. The chief editor of Madras Agricultural Journal is responsible for deciding submitted articles to publish in the Journal. The editor considers recommendations of corresponding editors and legal requirements regarding defamation, copyright infringement, and plagiarism. The editor may consult with the editorial board or reviewers in making decisions.
2. An editor should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or the authors' political philosophy.
3. The chief editor/editors and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a manuscript under review to anyone other than the author, reviewers, or the publisher.
4. Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions, and through editorial communications with the author, reviewers may also assist the author in improving the manuscript.
5. Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or who knows that its prompt review be impossible should excuse themselves from the review process by notifying the editor.
6. A reviewer who receives a manuscript must treat it as confidential and should not disclose it.

7. Reviewers should submit neutral comments that do not include personal criticism of the author and express their views with supporting arguments.
8. Facts or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential
9. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have a conflict of interest resulting in undue influence over their ability to evaluate the manuscript professionally.
10. Directly competitive or collaborative relationships between the reviewer and the author seeking publication in Madras Agricultural Journal may constitute a conflict of interest, and if the chief editor concludes such a conflict exists, an alternate reviewer will evaluate the manuscript.
11. Authors of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed and an objective discussion of its significance.
12. An author should accurately describe findings in the manuscript with sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work.
13. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical and unacceptable behavior, and they may result in disqualification from publication with the editor's determination.
14. The article's entire content should be of original work.
15. If the authors have used the work and/or words of others, they have appropriately cited or quoted it.
16. Plagiarism takes many forms, from masking another author's work as the author's own, copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of other works without attribution, to claiming results from research conducted by others. Plagiarism, in all its forms, constitutes unethical and unacceptable publishing behavior. If the editor determines it to have inadvertently taken place in an article submitted for publication, the author must comprehensively revise any area(s) in question with a failure to do so, resulting in the article's rejection.
17. An author should not publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one Journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical and unacceptable publishing behavior. If a manuscript under review appears in substantially the same form as an article published elsewhere, it is the editor's prerogative to remove the manuscript from potential publication in Madras Agricultural Journal.
18. The work should be adequately acknowledged. Authors should cite publications that have influenced the nature of the reported work. As in conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties, information obtained privately must be accompanied by explicit, written permission from the source. Information obtained in the course of confidential services, such as refereeing manuscripts or grant applications, must be

accompanied by the author's explicit written permission of the work involved in these services.

19. Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the material considered for publication. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors and others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should include only appropriate co-authors with the manuscript and ensure that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the draft and have agreed to its submission for publication.
20. All authors should disclose in their manuscript any potential conflict of interest (financial or substantive) that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their work. They should disclose all sources of financial support for the project.
21. If authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in a manuscript they have published, they must promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the published version of the manuscript.
22. The Madras Agricultural Journal has editorial boards of potential researchers in the specified fields of agriculture and technology. The full names and affiliations of the members are provided on the Journal's Web site.
23. Two research articles in a year can be published for free for by the members' MASU. However, non-members can also publish their research by paying appropriate article publishing charges. Our journal site specifies the charges to be paid by the authors. Further, the authors should also be willing to participate in the peer-review process, significant contribution to the research, obliged to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes and also forbidden to publish same research in more than one Journal.
24. A journal's plan for electronic backup and preservation of access to the journal content in the event a journal is no longer published. However, back up, if available in the Journal's office may be obtained.
25. Copyright and licensing are described on the Journal's Web site. All the published individual articles are available to readers for free under Creative Commons license.