

Toxicity of Conventional Insecticides against Southern Green Stink Bug, *Nezara viridula* L. in Greengram, *Vigna radiata* L.

G. Kiruthiga* and C. Durairaj

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Tamil Nadu Agricultrual University, Coimbatore- 641 003.

Southern green stink bug, *Nezara viridula* has slowly gained importance as a major pest in greengram. Conventional insecticides used in pulses ecosystem were tested against *N. viridula* under laboratory and field conditions. Under *in vitro* condition, out of three methods of insecticidal applications, the adult dip and feeding method was found to be more effective. At 1 Hour After Treatment (HAT), triazophos 40 EC (1.5 mL.L⁻¹) and dimethoate 30 EC (2.0 mL.L⁻¹) were highly effective against the adults of *N. viridula* with earliest (100%) mortality than other treatments. Under the field condition also, triazophos 40 EC (1.5 mL.L⁻¹) and dimethoate 30 EC (2.0 mL.L⁻¹) had 100 per cent population reduction over control and were found to be more effective than other insecticides *viz.*, chlorantraniliprole, thiamethoxam, neemazal and imidacloprid. Results of toxicity tests concluded that triazophos 40 EC (@ 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ and dimethoate 30 EC (@ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹) were highly effective against the adults of *N. viridula* with high mortality than other treatments under both laboratory and field conditions.

Key words: Nezara viridula, Greengram, Triazophos, Dimethoate.

Greengram is an important pulse crop in India. About 64 insect species attack green gram (Lal, 1985). The average loss due to the insect pests in Vigna radiata was estimated as 28.7 per cent (Singh et al., 2015). The economically important pests of green gram include whitefly (Bemisia tabaci Gennadius), spotted borer (Maruca vitrata Fabricius), red hairy caterpillar (Amasacta sp), pod borer (Helicoverpa armigera Hubner), stem fly (Ophiomyia phaseoli Tryon), bruchids (Callosobruchus sp) and aphids (Aphis craccivora Koch.). In south India, southern green stink bug (Nezara viridula L.) has slowly gained importance as a major pest in greengram (Durairaj and Kumar, 2013). N. viridula is a polyphagous pest which is cosmopolitan in distribution. Nymphs and adults of stink bugs suck the sap from pods during pod formation stage resulting in chaffiness of grains. The management practices followed worldwide include sterile insect technique, biological control through release of biocontrol agents like predators, parasitoids and entomopathogens, trap crops, resistant varieties, conservation of natural enemies and usage of pesticides (Dhillon, 2007; Todd, 1989) of which pesticides play an important role in stink bugs control. Insecticides which are being used in pulse ecosystem viz., triazophos, dimethoate, chlorantraniliprole, thiamethoxam, neemazal and imidacloprid were evaluated against N. viridula under laboratory and field condition.

Material and Methods

Laboratory and field experiments were conducted with conventionally used insecticides in greengram (Table 1) to evaluate their efficacy against *N. viridula* *Corresponding author's email: kiruthidg.95@gmail.com individually 3 to 5 days old adults obtained from mass culture using beans were used for the laboratory studies.

Laboratory experiment

This experiment included three methods of application viz., contact, feeding and feeding plus adult dip method. In the contact method, filter paper was dipped in different insecticidal solutions and allowed for shade drying. Adults of N. viridula were allowed to be in contact with insecticide dipped filter paper kept in petriplates. In the feeding method, immature green gram pods dipped in different insecticidal solutions were provided as food source in petriplates. In feeding plus adult dip method, adults were dipped in insecticidal solution for few seconds and allowed to feed on insecticides/ neem formulation treated green gram pods. For all the above experiments, prestarved adults of N. viridula were released at 10 per petriplate (9 cm dia.)/ plastic basin of size 10 x 10 cm. Adults released on water sprayed filter paper and green gram served as control. Each experimental setup was replicated thrice. Observations on mortality of adults were taken at 3,6,9,12,18 and 24 HAT for contact and feeding method. For feeding and adult dip method, observations on number of dead insects were made from 1, 2 and 3 HAT for 24 hours. The corrected per cent cumulative mortality was worked out for statistical analyses.

Field experiment

A field trial in green gram (CO 6) was laid out during Summer 2018 at TNAU in Coimbatore in a randomized block design with a plot size of 4 × 3 m with three replications. All agronomic practices were followed as per the TNAU recommendation except plant protection measures. The treatment details are as in the Table 4. Precount on *N. viridula* population was made before the initial spray by counting the number of nymphs and adults in 10 plants selected at random. First round of insecticidal spray was given at pod formation stage followed by another spray at 10 days interval. Population of *N. viridula* (both nymphs and adults) was assessed after each spray. Observations were made on 3 and 7 days after first and second spray.

Results and Discussion

Laboratory toxicity studies

Feeding method

The results of the experiment on toxicity of insecticides against *N. viridula* by feeding method were given in Table 1. At 12 HAT, the highest mortality was observed in triazophos 40 EC @1.5 mL.L⁻¹ (90.00%) followed by dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L^{-1} (43.33%) and imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.5

luce estimistes	Dose Adult r		ortality %	Mean	
Insecticides	(mL.L ⁻¹)	12 HAT	24 HAT		
Imidacloprid 17.8 SL	0.5	13.33 °	16.66 ^b	14.99°	
		(21.14)	(23.35)	(22.24)	
Dimethoate 30 EC	2.0	43.33 ^b	100.0 ª	71.67 ^b	
		(41.05)	(89.34)	(65.20)	
Triazophos 40 EC	1.5	90.00 ª	100.0 ª	95.00ª	
		(71.54)	(89.34)	(80.44)	
Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC	0.2	6.66 ^{cd}	6.66 ^{bc}	6.66 ^{cd}	
		(12.31)	(12.31)	(12.31)	
Thiamethoxam 25 WG	0.5	3.33 ^d	3.3 ^{cd}	3.33 ^{de}	
	(g/l)	(6.18)	(6.18)	(6.18)	
Neemazal 1 EC	2.0	0.00 ^d	0.00 ^d	0.00 e	
		(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	
Control	-	0.00 ^d	0.00 ^d	0.00 ^e	
		(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	
SEd	-	6.41	5.32	5.46	
CD (p= 0.05)	-	13.76**	11.42**	11.72**	

Table 1. Toxicity of insecticides against Nezara viridula by feeding method

All values are mean of three replications; HAT – Hours After Treatment;**Significant

Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values

In a column, means followed by the common letter(s) are not significantly different by LSD (p < 0.05)

mL.L⁻¹ (13.33%). At 24 HAT, population in triazophos 40 EC (a) 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ and dimethoate 30 EC (a) 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ treated green gram pods registered cent per cent mortality followed by 16.66, 92.2, 3.33 and 3.33 and 57.7 per cent in imidacloprid 17.8 SL (a) 0.5 mL.L⁻¹, chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC (a) 0.2 mL.L⁻¹ and thiamethoxam 25 WG (a) 0.5 g.L⁻¹ treated pods. Whereas, no mortality was observed in neemazal 1 EC (a) 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ treated pods. Hence, in feeding method, triazophos 40 EC (a) 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ was found to be highly effective against *N. viridula* adults with higher mortality when compared to other treatments.

Contact method

In contact toxicity method, at 12 HAT, triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ recorded 83.33 per cent mortality. In all other insecticides, the mortality percentage ranged from 16.66 (dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹) to 33.33 per cent (thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.5 g.L⁻¹). At 24 HAT, triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ recorded 90.00 per cent mortality followed by dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ (63.33 %), imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.5 mL.L⁻¹ (43.33 %) and thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.5 g.L⁻¹ (38.84 %) respectively. Whereas, there was no mortality of *N. viridula* in neemazal 1 EC at 12 and 24 HAT. Over all mean mortality showed that triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L^{-1} was statistically significant and superior than other insecticides with 86.67 per cent mortality (Table 2).

Adult dip and Feeding method

After one HAT, triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ and dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ recorded 100 per cent mortality followed by thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.5 g.L⁻¹ (3.33 %). There was no mortality in imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.5 mL.L⁻¹ and neemazal 1 EC @ 2 mL.L⁻¹ and chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC @ 0.2 mL.L⁻¹. At 2 HAT, imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.5 mL.L⁻¹ caused 13.33 per cent mortality which was statistically on par with thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.5 g.L⁻¹ (10 %) and chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC @ 0.2

represented that triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L^{-1} and dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L^{-1} were highly effective against the adults of *N. viridula* with earliest mortality than other treatments (Table 3).

Table 2. Toxicity	y of insecticides	against Nezara	i <i>viridula</i> by	y contact method
-------------------	-------------------	----------------	----------------------	------------------

	Dose	Adult m	Mean	
Insecticides	 (mL.L⁻¹)	12 HAT	24 HAT	_
Imidacloprid 17.8 SL	0.5	26.66 ^b	43.33ª	29.99 ^b
		(30.28)	(41.14)	(35.71)
Dimethoate 30 EC	2.0	16.66 ^b	63.33 ª	39.99 ^b
		(23.85)	(52.84)	(38.34)
Triazophos 40 EC	1.5	83.33ª	90.0 ª	86.67 ª
		(69.84)	(53.65)	(61.74)
Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC	0.2	0.0 °	0.0 ^b	0.0 °
		(0.06)	(6.26)	(0.06)
Thiamethoxam 25 WG	0.5	33.33 ^b	40.0 ª	36.67 [♭]
	(g/l)	(34.91)	(38.84)	(36.88)
Neemazal 1 EC	2.0	0.0°	0.0 ^b	0.0 °
		(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)
Control		0.0 °	0.0 ^b	0.0 °
	-	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)
SEd	-	7.04	15.23	10.44
CD (p= 0.05)	-	15.09**	32.67**	22.39**

All values are mean of three replications; HAT – Hours After Treatment;**Significant; Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values In a column, means followed by the common letter(s) are not significantly different by LSD (p < 0.05)

Field toxicity studies

Field spray of insecticides on N. viridula was carried out during 2017- 2018 in Department of Pulses, TNAU, Coimbatore. In the experimental plots, the population of N. viridula was found to be uniformily distributed (2-3 bugs/plant) before insecticidal sprays. Observation (first spray) on the mean population of N. viridula per ten plants (Table 4) on 3 and 7 Day After Spraying (DAS) ranged from 0.0 in dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ and triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ to 29.10 in neemazal 1 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ against 29.8 in control respectively. However, during second spray, there was no population in dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ and triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ sprayed plots. Mean population of N. viridula per ten plants on 3 and 7 DAS ranged from 0.0 in dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ and triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ to 30.60 in control respectively.

Data on pooled mean population of *N. viridula* in 10 plants revealed that, after first spray the bug population per 10 plants ranged from 0.0 in dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ and triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ to 19.37 bugs in neemazal 1 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ against 29.85 in control. Observation after second spray also recorded with the same trend. There was cent per cent reduction over control in triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L^{-1} and dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L^{-1} compared to other treatments.

Insecticides which are being used in pulse ecosystem were evaluated against *N. viridula* under field condition. During 1980s and 1990s many workers have studied the effectiveness of pyrethroids against *N. viridula* in various crops (Corso and Gazzoni, 1998; Seymour *et al.*, 1995)1995. The effectiveness of insecticides depends on reach of insecticides to the target *N. viridula*. Hence, the insecticides were evaluated on the possible route of reach i.e., feeding, contact and feeding plus adult dip methods under laboratory condition against *N. viridula*.

In the present study, under *in vitro* condition, out of three methods of insecticidal applications, adult dip and feeding method was found to be more effective than other methods. In the present study, thiamethoxam 25 WG proved to be moderately toxic in all methods. This in accordance with the reports by Tillman (2006) who found that thiamethoxam were moderately toxic to *N. viridula* with 82.5 and 80 per cent mortality in residual toxicity and food toxicity studies. In all types of *invitro* toxicity study and field conditions, Neemazal (neem formulation) has no expected control but have some effect (reduction in population) due to antifeedant and repellant. In contrary to this result, Singha *et al.* (2007)A

Table 3. Toxicity of insecticides against Nezara viridula by adult dip and feeding method

Dose		Adult mortality %			
(mL.L ⁻¹)	1 HAT	2 HAT	3 HAT		
0.5	0.0 ^b	13.33 ^b	16.67 ^b	30.65⁵	
0.5	(0.06)	(21.41)	(23.25)	(14.85)	
2.0	100.00 ª	100.00 ª	100.00 ª	100.00ª	
2.0	(89.34)	(89.34)	(89.34)	(89.34)	
15	100.00ª	100.00 ª	100.00ª	100.00ª	
1.5	(89.34)	(89.34)	(89.34)	(89.34)	
0.2	0.0 ^b	6.66 ^b	6.66 ^{bc}	6.66 ^{bc}	
0.2	(0.06)	(12.31)	(12.31)	(8.22)	
0.5	3.33 ^b	10.00 ^b	10.00 ^b	10.00 ^b	
(g/l)	(6.18)	(15.01)	(15.01)	(12.07)	
2.0	0.0 ^b	0.0 °	0.0 °	0.0 ^c	
2.0	(0.06)	(0.06)	(6.26)	(0.06)	
	0.0 ^b	0.0 °	0.0 °	0.0 ^c	
-	(0.06)	(0.06)	(6.26)	(0.06)	
-	3.27	5.50	5.93	4.48	
-	7.02**	11.81**	12.72**	9.61**	
	(mL.L ^{.1}) 0.5 2.0 1.5 0.2 0.5 (g/l) 2.0 -	$\begin{array}{c c} (mL.L^{-1}) & 1 \text{ HAT} \\ & 0.5 & 0.0^{b} \\ (0.06) \\ & 0.6 \\ 2.0 & (89.34) \\ & 0.0^{a} \\ (89.34) \\ & 0.0^{b} \\ (89.34) \\ & 0.0^{b} \\ (0.06) \\ & 0.5 & 3.33^{b} \\ (g/l) & (6.18) \\ & 0.0^{b} \\ (0.06) \\ & 0.0^{b} \\ & 0.0^{b} \\ (0.06) \\ & 0.0^{b} \\ & $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } \hline \textbf{(mL.L-1)} & \textbf{1 HAT} & \textbf{2 HAT} \\ \hline \ 0.5 & 0.0^{b} & 13.33^{b} \\ \hline (0.06) & (21.41) \\ \hline \ 2.0 & 100.00^{a} & 100.00^{a} \\ \hline (89.34) & (89.34) \\ \hline \ 1.5 & 100.00^{a} & 100.00^{a} \\ \hline (89.34) & (89.34) \\ \hline \ 0.2 & 0.0^{b} & 6.66^{b} \\ \hline \ (0.06) & (12.31) \\ \hline \ 0.5 & 3.33^{b} & 10.00^{b} \\ \hline \ (g/l) & (6.18) & (15.01) \\ \hline \ 2.0 & 0.0^{b} & 0.0^{c} \\ \hline \ (0.06) & (0.06) \\ \hline \ - & 0.0^{b} & 0.0^{c} \\ \hline \ (0.06) & (0.06) \\ \hline \ - & 3.27 & 5.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(mL.L-1)1 HAT2 HAT3 HAT 0.5 0.0^{b} 13.33^{b} 16.67^{b} 0.5 0.06 (21.41) (23.25) 2.0 100.00^{a} 100.00^{a} 100.00^{a} 2.0 (89.34) (89.34) (89.34) 1.5 100.00^{a} 100.00^{a} 100.00^{a} 1.5 (89.34) (89.34) (89.34) 0.2 0.0^{b} 6.66^{bc} 6.66^{bc} 0.2 (0.06) (12.31) (12.31) 0.5 3.33^{b} 10.00^{b} 10.00^{b} (g/l) (6.18) (15.01) (15.01) 2.0 0.0^{b} 0.0^{c} 0.0^{c} <	

All values are mean of three replications; HAT – Hours After Treatment;**Significant

Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values

In a column, means followed by the common letter(s) are not significantly different by LSD (p < 0.05)

author><author>Thareja, V</author><author>Singh, AK</author></authors></contributors><titles><title >Application of neem seed kernel extracts result in mouthpart deformities and subsequent mortality in Nezara viridula (L. reported that Neem seed kernel extracted using hexane (2.5 %) caused 100 per cent mortality and Neem seed kernel aqueous suspension caused 70 per cent mortality on fourth instar nymphs of *N. viridula*. Similarly, Riba *et al.* (2003) found that 95 per cent azadirachtin (neem formulation) caused cent

Table 4. Effect of insecticides a	aqainst <i>Nezara viridula</i> or	greengram under field condition

			Population of N. viridula* (Nos. /10 plants)												
Insecticides Dose (mL.L ⁻¹)		ртс	I Spray		Mean	II Spray		Pooled mean of 2 sprays	Reduction over control #(%)						
	()		3 DAS	7 DAS		3 DAS	7 DAS	Mean							
	00	17.70°	19.67 ^d	18.68 ^{cd}	18.68 ^{cd}	13.77 ^b	16.23 ^₅	17.45 ^{bc}	41.33 ^{bc}						
midacloprid 17.8 SL	0.5	20	(4.27)	(4.27)	(4.44)	(4.38)	(3.78)	(4.03)	(4.23)	(39.97)					
Dimethoate 30 EC		22	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	100.00ª					
Dimethoate 30 EC	2.0	22	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(89.34)					
							0.4	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª	100.00ª
Triazophos 40 EC	1.5	24	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(89.34)					
Oblassa ta a 10 5 00		05	19.60 ^d	13.72⁵	16.66 ^b	16.66 ^{bc}	22.54 ^d	19.60°	18.13 ^{bc}	39.13 ^{bc}					
Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC	0.2	25	(4.48)	(3.77)	(4.20)	(4.14)	(4.80)	(4.48)	(4.30)	(38.67)					
Thiamethoxam 25 WG	0.5	24	15.52⁵	17.46°	16.49 ^{bc}	16.49 ^b	17.46°	16.98°	16.73 ^₅	43.96 ^b					
mametrioxam 25 WG	(g/l)	24	(4.00)	(4.24)	(4.18)	(4.12)	(4.24)	(4.18)	(4.15)	(41.52)					
		22	18.12°	20.18 ^d	19.13 ^d	19.13 ^d	20.13 ^d	19.63⁵	19.37°	34.85°					
Neemazal 1 EC	2.0	2.0 22	(4.31)	(4.54)	(4.48)	(4.43)	(4.54)	(4.48)	(4.45)	(36.08)					
Control -		- 26	26.00 ^d	32.11°	29.10°	29.10°	32.00°	30.60 ^d	29.85 ^d						
	-		(5.16)	(5.71)	(5.48)	(5.44)	(5.71)	(5.58)	(5.50)	-					
SEd	-		0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.119	0.116	0.115	2.07					
CD (P = 0.05)	-		0.246**	0.248**	0.246**	0.247**	0.260**	0.254**	0.251**	4.51**					

Mean of three replications. DAS – Days after spray. **Significant

*Figures in Parentheses are square root) values; #Figures in Parentheses are arc sine transformed values.

In a column means followed by the same letter (s) are not significant by LSD (p < 0.05)

per cent mortality at 200ng dose/fifth instar nymph. Though chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC @ 0.2 mL.L⁻¹ was highly effective for pests in pulse ecosystem (Patange and Chiranjeevi, 2017; Sambathkumar *et al.*, 2015), present study results showed no expected control to stink bugs. Triazophos 40 EC @ 1.5 mL.L⁻¹ and dimethoate 30 EC @ 2.0 mL.L⁻¹ were highly effective against *N. viridula* with greater reduction in population than control under field conditions.

References

- Corso, I.C. and D.L. Gazzoni. 1998. Sodium chloride: an insecticide enhancer for controlling pentatomids on soybeans. Área de Informação da Sede-Artigo em periódico indexado (ALICE)., **30**: 268-272.
- Dhillon, M.K. 2007. Chickpea and Lentil Crop Protection Compendium. St Paul, Mienesota, USA American Phytopathological Society.
- Durairaj, C. and S. Sambath Kumar. 2013. A Record of Red Banded Shield Bug, *Piezodorus hybneri* on Mung Bean. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, **41(3)**: 198-201.
- Lal, S. 1985. A review of insect pests of mungbean and their control in India. *International Journal of Pest Management*, **31(2)**: 105-114.
- Patange, N. and B. Chiranjeevi. 2017. Bioefficacy of newer insecticides against pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) pod borers population. *Journal of Pest Management*, **10(11)**: 12-13.

- Riba, M., Marti, J. and A. Sans. 2003. Influence of azadirachtin on development and reproduction of *Nezara viridula* L.(Het., Pentatomidae). *Journal of Applied Entomology*, **127(1)**: 37-41.
- Sambathkumar, S., Durairaj, C., Ganapathy, N. and S. Mohankumar. 2015. Field evaluation of newer insecticide molecules and botanicals against pod borers of Red gram. *Field studies*, 38(2): 261-262.
- Seymour, J., Bowman, G. and M. Crouch. 1995. Effects of neem seed extract on feeding frequency of *Nezara viridula* L.(Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on pecan nuts. *Austral Entomology*, **34(3)**: 221-223.
- Singh, S., Prakash, V., Kumar, S. and S. Dwivedi. 2015. Pulses production in India: present status, bottleneck and way forward. *Journal of Agri search*, 2(2): 75-83.
- Singha, A., Thareja, V. and A. Singh. 2007. Application of neem seed kernel extracts result in mouthpart deformities and subsequent mortality in *Nezara viridula* (L.)(Hem: Pentatomidae). *Journal of Applied Entomology*, **131(3)**: 197-201.
- Tillman, P.G. 2006. Susceptibility of pest *Nezara viridula* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) and parasitoid *Trichopoda pennipes* (Diptera: Tachinidae) to selected insecticides. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **99(3)**: 648-657.
- Todd, W. 1989. Ecology and behavior of *Nezara viridula*. Annual review of Entomology., **34(1)**: 273-292.

Received : May 10, 2018; Revised : May 25, 2018; Accepted : June 10, 2018